arXiv:1404.5429v4 [math.AG] 21 Jan 2016

# FLOOR DIAGRAMS RELATIVE TO A CONIC, AND GW-W INVARIANTS OF DEL PEZZO SURFACES

ERWAN BRUGALLÉ

Abstract. We enumerate, via floor diagrams, complex and real curves in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ blown up in $n$ points on a conic. As an application, we deduce Gromov-Witten and Welschinger invariants of Del Pezzo surfaces. These results are mainly obtained using Li's degeneration formula and its real counterpart.

Contents

1. Introduction ..... 1
2. Enumeration of curves ..... 7
3. Floor diagrams relative to a conic ..... 13
4. Absolute invariants of $X_6$ ..... 20
5. Proof of Theorems and 3.6 and 3.12 ..... 22
6. Absolute invariants of $X_7$ ..... 32
7. Absolute invariants of $X_8$ ..... 41
8. Concluding remarks ..... 46
References ..... 47

## 1. Introduction

The main question addressed in this paper is "Given a Del Pezzo surface $X$, how many algebraic curves of a given genus and homology class pass through a given configuration of points $\underline{x}$?". The cardinality of $\underline{x}$ is always chosen such that the number of curves is finite. Recall that a Del Pezzo surface is either isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ or to $\mathbb{C}P^2$ blown up in a generic configuration of $n \leq 8$ points. We denote by $X_n$ a surface of this latter type.

A possible approach to solve such an enumerative problem is to construct configurations $\underline{x}$ for which one can exhibit *all* curves of a given genus and homology class passing through $\underline{x}$. Such configurations are called *effective*. The main advantage of effective configurations is to provide simultaneous enumeration of both complex and real curves, furthermore *without* assuming any invariance with respect to $\underline{x}$. This is particularly useful in real enumerative geometry where invariants are lacking.

The goal of this paper is to construct effective configurations of points in Del Pezzo surfaces, and to compute the corresponding Gromov-Witten and Welschinger invariants. This is done in two steps. I first enumerate in Theorems 3.6 and 3.12, via floor diagrams, curves passing through an effective configuration of points in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ blown up at $n$ points located on a conic, the resulting complex surface is denoted by $\widetilde{X}_n$. Next, by a suitable degeneration of $X_6$ and $X_7$, the computations of their enumerative invariants are reduced to enumeration of curves in the surfaces $\widetilde{X}_n$ with $n \leq 8$. See Theorems 4.1 and 4.3 in the case of $X_6$, and Theorems 6.6 and 6.9 in the case of $X_7$. The first

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14P05, 14N10; Secondary 14N35, 14P25.
*Key words and phrases.* Gromov-Witten invariants, Welschinger invariants, degeneration formula, Del Pezzo surfaces.

degeneration is classical: one degenerates $X_6$ into the union of $\widetilde{X}_6$ and $\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$, which basically corresponds to degenerating $X_6$ to a nodal Del Pezzo surface. Enumerative invariants of $X_6$ are then computed by enumerating curves on $\widetilde{X}_6$ thanks to the Abramovich-Bertram-Vakil formula [Vak00a] and its real versions [BP13, BP14]. By blowing up an additional section of the previous degeneration of $X_6$, one produces a degeneration of $X_7$ into the union of $\widetilde{X}_6$ and $\widetilde{X}_2$. A very important feature of these two degenerations is that *no* multiple covers appear. As a consequence, this method extends to the case of $X_8$, see Theorems 7.2 and 7.5. By blowing up an additional section, one degenerates $X_8$ to the union of $\widetilde{X}_{6,1}$ and $\widetilde{X}_2$, where $\widetilde{X}_{6,1}$ denotes the blow up of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ at seven points, six of them lying on a conic. Some non-trivial ramified coverings might appear during this degeneration, however all of them are regular and can be treated using results from [SS13]. To the best of my knowledge, this is the first explicit computation of Gromov-Witten invariants in any genus of $X_8$ (see [CH98, Vak00a, SS13] for similar computations in other Del Pezzo surfaces).

Another nice property of effective configurations is that, in addition to allowing computations of enumerative invariants, they often bring out some of their qualitative properties. Results about the sign of Welschinger invariants, their sharpness, their arithmetical properties, their vanishing, and comparison of real and complex invariants were for example previously obtained in this way in [IKS03, IKS04, IKS09, IKS13c, IKS13b, IKS13a, Wel07, BP13, BP14]. Several extensions of those results are deduced from the methods presented here, see Corollaries 4.4, 4.5, 6.10, 6.11, 7.6, 7.7, 7.8, and Proposition 8.1.

Among the available techniques to construct effective configurations, one can cite methods based on *Tropical geometry* [Mik05], and on the degeneration of the target space $X$, such as *Li's degeneration formula* [Li02, Li04] in the algebraic setting, *symplectic sum formulas* in the symplectic setting [IP04, LR01, TZ14], or more generally *symplectic field theory* [EGH00]. The results of this paper are obtained by degenerating the target space. As a rough outline, these methods consist of degenerating the ambient space $X$ into a union $\bigcup_i Y_i$ of "simpler" spaces $Y_i$, and to recover enumerative invariants of $X$ out of those of the $Y_i$'s. Note that to achieve this second step, one has to consider Gromov-Witten invariants of the surfaces $Y_i$ *relative* to the divisors $E_{i,j} = Y_i \cap Y_j$. In other words, one has to enumerate curves satisfying some incidence conditions *and* intersecting the divisors $E_{i,j}$ in some prescribed way. A very practical feature of these degeneration methods is that, in nice cases, including the absence of ramified coverings, deformations of a curve in $\bigcup_i Y_i$ to a curve in $X$ only depend on the intersections of the curve with the divisors $E_{i,j}$. In particular, if one knows how to construct effective configurations in the surfaces $Y_i$, one can construct effective configurations in $X$. Since I am interested here in the computation of enumerative invariants of Del Pezzo surfaces, I made the choice to work in the algebraic category, and to use Li's degeneration formula. Nevertheless the whole paper should be easily translated in the symplectic setting using symplectic sum formulas.

Using this general strategy, it usually remains a non-trivial task to find a suitable degeneration $\bigcup_i Y_i$ of a particular variety $X$, from which one can deduce effective configurations in $X$. The *floor decomposition technique*, elaborated in collaboration with Mikhalkin [BM07, BM08], provides in some cases such a useful degeneration. The starting observation is that configurations containing at most two points in a Hirzebruch surface (i.e. holomorphic $\mathbb{C}P^1$-bundle over $\mathbb{C}P^1$) are effective. Then the strategy is to choose a suitable rational curve $E$ in $X$, to degenerate $X$ into the union of $X$ and a chain of copies of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_{E/X} \oplus \mathbb{C})$, and to choose a configuration of at most two points in each of these copies. In lucky situations, the union of all those points can be deformed into an effective configuration $\underline{x}$ in $X$. When this is the case, all complex and real curves passing through $\underline{x}$ can be encoded into purely combinatorial objects called *floor diagrams*. A more detailed outline of this technique together with its relation to Caporaso and Harris approach is given in Section 1.1.

*Personne n'est jamais assez fort pour ce calcul.* Guided by this french adage, I illustrated the general theorems 4.1, 4.3, 6.6, 6.9, 7.2, and 7.5 by explicit examples including detailed computations. I usually find it very useful, as a reader as well as an author, that a paper provides details in passing from the general theory to particular examples. This is specifically the case in enumerative geometry, where formulas, sometimes abstruse at first sight, may hinder the reader's understanding of the geometrical phenomenons they describe. Moreover, working out concrete examples in full details is an efficient way to check the consistency of general theorems, and that no subtility escaped the notice. I hope that the detailed computations given here will help the reader to acquire a concrete feeling of the general and sometimes subtle phenomenons coming into play.

In the same range of ideas, I chose to dedicate a separate section to each of the surfaces $X_6$, $X_7$, and $X_8$, despite the fact that Section 4 is formally contained in Section 6, which in turn is partially contained in Section 7. Indeed, the combinatorics becomes more involved as the number of blown-up points increases, and reducing results about $X_n$ to $X_{n-1}$ still requires some work. By giving a specialized formula in each case, I hope to make concrete computations accessible to the reader.

The plan of the paper is the following. In the remaining part of the introduction, I explain the basic ideas underlying the floor decomposition technique, relate the results presented here with other works, and settle the notations and convention used throughout this paper. Complex and real enumerative problems considered in this text are defined in Section 2, which also contain a few elementary computations. Floor diagrams and their relation with effective configurations of points in $\widetilde{X}_n$ is given in Section 3. This immediately applies to compute absolute invariants of $X_6$, which is done in Section 4. Section 5 is devoted to the proof of Theorems 3.6 and 3.12. In Section 6, I reduce the enumeration of curves in $X_7$ to enumeration of curves in $\widetilde{X}_8$. The reduction of enumerative problems of $X_8$ to enumerative problems in $\widetilde{X}_{8,1}$ is proved in Section 7. Finally, this paper ends in Section 8 with some comments and possible generalizations of the material presented here.

1.1. **Floor diagrams and their relation to Caporaso-Harris type formulas.** For the sake of simplicity, I restrict to the problem of counting curves of a given genus, realizing a given homology class in $H_2(X;\mathbb{Z})$, and passing through a generic configuration $\underline{x}$ of points on a (maybe singular) complex algebraic surface $X$. Recall that the cardinality of $\underline{x}$ is such that the number of curves is finite.

The paradigm underlying a Caporaso-Harris type formula is the following. Choose a suitable irreducible curve $E$ in $X$, and specialize points in $\underline{x}$ one after the other to $E$. After the specialization of sufficiently many points, one expects that curves under consideration degenerate into reducible curves having $E$ as a component. By forgetting this component, one is reduced to an enumerative problem in $X$ concerning curves realizing a "smaller" homology class. With a certain amount of optimism, one can then hope to solve the initial problem by induction.

This method has been first proposed and successfully applied by Caporaso and Harris [CH98] in the case of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ together with a line, and has been since then applied in several other situations. Directly related to this paper, one can cite the work of Vakil in the case of $\widetilde{X}_6$ together with the strict transform of the conic [Vak00a], and its generalization by Shoval and Shustin [SS13] to the case of $\widetilde{X}_{n,1}$. As a very nice fact, it turned out that this approach also provided a way to compute certain Welschinger invariants for configuration $\underline{x}$ only composed of real points [IKS09, IKS13c, IKS13b, IKS13a].

When $X$ and $E$ are smooth, Ionel and Parker observed in [IP98, Section 5] that the method proposed by Caporaso and Harris could be interpreted in terms of degeneration of the target space $X$. I present below the algebro-geometric version of this interpretation [Li04, Section 11]. The ideas underlying symplectic interpretation are similar, however the two formalisms are quite different. I particularly refer to [Li04] for an introduction to this degeneration technique in enumerative geometry. Given $X$ and $E$ as above, denote by $\mathcal{N}_E = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_{E/X} \oplus \mathbb{C})$, and do the following:

(1) degenerate $X$ into a reducible surface $Y = X \cup \mathcal{N}_E$, and specialize exactly one point in $\mathcal{N}_E$ during this degeneration;
(2) determine all possible degenerations in $Y$ of the enumerated curve;
(3) for each such limit curve in $Y$, compute the number of curves of which it is the limit.

This method produces recursive formulas à la Caporaso-Harris if all limit curves in $Y$ can be recovered by solving separate enumerative problems in its components $X$ and $\mathcal{N}_E$.

The idea behind floor diagrams is to get rid of any recursion, which implicitly refers to some invariance property of the enumerative problem under consideration. To do so, one considers a single degeneration of $X$ into the union $Y_{max}$ of $X$ and a chain of copies of $\mathcal{N}_E$, and specializes exactly one element of $\underline{x}$ to each copy of $\mathcal{N}_E$. Floor diagrams then correspond to dual graphs of the limit curves in $Y_{max}$, and the way they meet the points in $\underline{x}$ is encoded in a *marking*. In good situations, all limit curves in $Y_{max}$ can be completely recovered only from the combinatorics of the marked floor diagrams. In particular, effective configurations in $X$ can be deduced from effective configurations in $\mathcal{N}_E$.

This method have been first successfully applied in collaboration with Mikhalkin in [BM07, BM08], in the case when $X$ is a toric surface and $E$ is a toric divisor satisfying some *h-transversality* condition. We used methods from tropical geometry, which in particular allowed us to get rid of the smoothness assumption on $X$ and $E$ required in Li's degeneration formula. Note that when both floor diagrams and Caporaso-Harris type formulas are available, it follows from the above description that these two methods provide two different, although equivalent, ways of clustering curves under enumeration. Passing from one presentation to the other does not present any difficulty [ABLdM11].

When both $X$ and $E$ are chosen to be real, floor diagrams can also be adapted to enumerate real curves passing through a real configurations of $r$ real points and $s$ pairs of complex conjugated points:

(1') degenerate $X$ to the union $Y'_{max}$ of $X$ and a chain of $r+s$ copies of $\mathcal{N}_E$, specializing exactly one real point or one pair of complex conjugated points of $\underline{x}$ to each copy of $\mathcal{N}_E$;
(2') determine real curves in step (2) above;
(3') adapt computations of step (3) above to determine real curves converging to a given real limit curve.

As in the complex situation, one can associate floor diagrams to real limit curves in $Y'_{max}$, each of them being now naturally equipped with an involution induced by the real structure of $X$. Again in many situations, all necessary information about enumeration of real curves in $Y'_{max}$ are encoded by the combinatorics of these *real marked floor diagrams*. In the case of toric surfaces equipped with their tautological real structure, this has been done in [BM08] under the $h$-transversality assumption. The present paper shows that this is also the case when $X = \widetilde{X}_n$. This reduction of an algebraic problem to a purely combinatorial question might not seem so surprising when all points in $\underline{x}$ are real, since then the situation is similar to the complex one. However in the presence of complex conjugated points, I am still puzzled by the many cancellations that allow this reduction.

The floor diagram technique clearly takes advantage over the Caporaso-Harris method when one wants to count real curves passing through general real configuration of points. In the enumeration of complex curves, or of real curves interpolating configurations of real points, the use of any of these two methods is certainly a matter of taste. From my own experience, I could notice that floor diagrams provide a more geometrical picture of curve degenerations which helps sometimes to minimize mistakes in practical computations. Finally, it is worth stressing that floor diagrams also led to the discovery of new phenomenons also in complex enumerative geometry, for example concerning the (piecewise-)polynomial behavior of Gromov-Witten invariants, e.g. [FM10, Blo11, AB13, LO14, AB].

1.2. **Related works.** Higher dimensional versions exist of both Caporaso-Harris [Vak00b, Vak06] and floor diagram techniques [BM07, BM].

Tropical geometry [Mik05] provides also a powerful tool to construct effective configurations. Historically it provided the first computations of Welschinger invariants of toric Del Pezzo surfaces.

Methods and results from [Wel07] constituted the main source of motivation for me to study floor diagrams relative to a conic. In this paper Welschinger uses symplectic field theory to decompose a real symplectic manifold into the disjoint union of the complement of a connected component of its real part on one hand, with the cotangent bundle of this component on the other hand. To the best of my knowledge, [Wel07] is the first explicit use of degeneration of the target space in the framework of real enumerative geometry. Similar results using symplectic sums were also obtained in [Teh13].

In collaboration with Puignau, we also used symplectic field theory in [BP13, BP14] to provide relations among Welschinger invariants of a given 4-symplectic manifold with possibly different real structures, and to obtain vanishing results.

As mentioned above, Itenberg, Kharlamov, and Shustin used the Caporaso-Harris approach to study Welschinger invariants in the case of configurations of real points. In a series of paper [IKS13c, IKS13b, IKS13a], they thoroughly studied the case of all real structures on Del Pezzo surfaces of degree at least two. Due to methods presenting some similarities, the present paper and [IKS13b, IKS13a] contain some results in common, nevertheless obtained independently and more or less simultaneously.

Another treatment of effective configurations has been proposed in [CP12].

A real version of the WDVV equations for rational 4-symplectic manifolds have been proposed by Solomon [Sol]. Those equations provide many relations among Welschinger invariants of a given real 4-symplectic manifold, that hopefully reduce the computation of all invariants to the computation of finitely many simple cases. This program has been completed in [HS12] in the case of rational surfaces equipped with a standard real structure, i.e. induced by the standard real structure on $\mathbb{C}P^2$ via the blowing up map. In a work in progress in collaboration with Solomon [BS], we combine symplectic field theory and real WDVV equations to cover the case of all remaining real rational algebraic surfaces. At the time I am writing these lines, this project has been completed for all minimal real rational algebraic surfaces, except for the minimal Del Pezzo surface of degree 1. As a side remark, I would like to stress that if real WDVV equations are definitely better from a computational point of view than floor diagrams, it seems nevertheless very difficult to extract from them qualitative information about Welschinger invariants.

A real WDVV equation in the case of odd dimensional projective spaces has also been proposed by Georgieva and Zinger [GZ13].

1.3. **Conventions and notations.**

1.3.1. A real algebraic variety $(X, c)$ is a complex algebraic variety $X$ equipped with a antiholomorphic involution $c : X \to X$. The real part of $(X, c)$, denoted by $\mathbb{R}X$, is by definition the set of points of $X$ fixed by $c$. When the real structure $c$ is clear from the context, I sometimes use the notation $\overline{p}$ instead of $c(p)$.

The complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^N$ is always considered equipped with its standard real structure given by the complex conjugation.

I assume that the reader has some acquaintance with the classification of real rational algebraic surfaces. For some refreshment on the subject, I recommend [Kol97, DK00].

1.3.2. The connected sum of $1 + k$ copies of $\mathbb{R}P^2$ is denoted by $\mathbb{R}P^2_k$.

1.3.3. The blow up of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ at $n$ points in general position is denoted by $X_n$. The blow up of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ at $n$ points lying on a smooth conic $E$ is denoted by $\widetilde{X}_n$. The blow up of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ at $n + 1$ points, exactly

$n$ of them lying on a smooth conic $E$, is denoted by $\widetilde{X}_{n,1}$. Surfaces $\widetilde{X}_{n,1}$ will only appear in Section 7. The strict transform of $E$ in $\widetilde{X}_n$ or $\widetilde{X}_{n,1}$ is still denoted by $E$. In particular if $n \leq 5$, the surface $\widetilde{X}_n$ denotes the surface $X_n$ together with the distinguished curve $E$.

The normal bundle of $E$ in $\widetilde{X}_n$ is denoted by $\mathcal{N}_{E/\widetilde{X}_n}$, and I will use the notation $\mathcal{N} = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_{E/\widetilde{X}_n} \oplus \mathbb{C})$ throughout the text. The surface $\mathcal{N}$ contains two distinguished non-intersecting rational curves $E_\infty = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_{E/\widetilde{X}_n} \oplus \{0\})$ and $E_0 = \mathbb{P}(E \oplus \{1\})$. Moreover the line bundle $\mathcal{N}_{E/\widetilde{X}_n}$ induces a canonical $\mathbb{C}P^1$-bundle $\pi_E : \mathcal{N} \to E_\infty$.

Suppose in addition that $E$ is a smooth real conic in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ and that $\widetilde{X}_n$ is obtained by blowing up $n - 2\kappa$ points on $\mathbb{R}E$ and $\kappa$ pairs of complex conjugated points on $E$. The real structure on $\widetilde{X}_n$ induced by the real structure on $\mathbb{C}P^2$ via the blow up map is denoted by $\widetilde{X}_n(\kappa)$. In particular $\mathbb{R}\widetilde{X}_n(\kappa) = \mathbb{R}P^2_{n-2\kappa}$. If $n = 2\kappa$, the connected component of $\mathbb{R}\widetilde{X}_n(\kappa) \setminus \mathbb{R}E$ with Euler characteristic $\varepsilon \in \{0, 1\}$ is denote by $\widetilde{L}_\varepsilon$.

1.3.4. All invariants considered in this text do not depend on the deformation class of the complex or real algebraic surface under consideration, see [IKS14]. Consequently, the surfaces $X_n$ and the pairs $(\widetilde{X}_n, E)$ are always implicitly considered up to deformation.

1.3.5. The class realized in $H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ by an algebraic curve $C$ in a complex algebraic surface $X$ is denoted by $[C]$.

1.3.6. The image $f(C)$ of an algebraic map $f : C \to X$ denotes its scheme theoretic image, i.e. irreducible components of $f(C)$ are considered with multiplicities. If $Y \subset X$ is a divisor intersecting $f(C)$ in finitely many points, the pull back of $Y$ to $C$ is denoted by $f^*(Y)$.

An isomorphism between two algebraic maps $f_1 : C_1 \to X$ and $f_2 : C_2 \to X$ is an isomorphism $\phi : C_1 \to C_2$ such that $f_1 = f_2 \circ \phi$. Maps are always considered up to isomorphisms. The group of automorphisms of a map $f$ is denoted by $Aut(f)$.

1.3.7. If $X$ is a complex algebraic surface, the intersection product of two elements $d_1, d_2 \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is denoted by $d_1 \cdot d_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

1.3.8. Given a vector $\alpha = (\alpha_i)_{1 \leq i \leq \infty} \in \mathbb{Z}^\infty_{\geq 0}$, I use the notation

$$|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i, \quad I\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i\alpha_i, \quad \text{and} \quad I^\alpha = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} i^{\alpha_i}.$$

The vector in $\mathbb{Z}^\infty_{\geq 0}$ whose all coordinates are equal to 0, except the $i$th one which is equal to 1, is denoted by $u_i$.

1.3.9. The sets of vertices and edges of a finite graph $\Gamma$ are respectively denoted by $Vert(\Gamma)$ and $Edge(\Gamma)$. If $\Gamma$ is oriented, it is said to be *acyclic* if it does not contain any non-trivial oriented cycle. Its set of sources (i.e. vertices such that all their adjacent edges are outgoing) is denoted by $Vert^\infty(\Gamma)$, and $Edge^\infty(\Gamma)$ denotes the set of edges adjacent to a source.

**Acknowledgment.** I started to think about floor diagrams relative to a conic during the fall 2009 program *Tropical Geometry* held at MSRI in Berkeley. Significant progress have been made in fall 2011 during my stay at IMPA in Rio de Janeiro, and a consequent part of this manuscript has been written during the program *Tropical geometry in its complex and symplectic aspects* held in spring 2014 at CIB in Lausanne. I would like to thank these three organizations for excellent working conditions, as well as the two institutions I have been affiliated to during this period, Université Pierre et Marie Curie and École Polytechnique.

I am also grateful to Benoît Bertrand, Nicolas Puignau, and Kristin Shaw for their comments on a preliminary version of the text.

## 2. Enumeration of curves

2.1. **Absolute invariants of Del Pezzo surfaces.** Recall that $X_n$ denotes $\mathbb{C}P^2$ blown up in a generic configuration of $n$ points. The group $H_2(X_n;\mathbb{Z})$ is the free abelian group generated by $[D],[E_1],\dots,[E_n]$ where $E_1,\dots,E_n$ are the exceptional curves of the $n$ blow-ups, and $D$ is the strict transform of a line not passing through any of those $n$ points. The first Chern class of $X_n$ is given by

$$c_1(X_n) = 3[D] - \sum_{i=1}^{n}[E_i].$$

Given $n \leq 8$ and $d \in H_2(X_n;\mathbb{Z})$, the number of complex algebraic curves of genus $g$, realizing the class $d$, and passing through a generic configuration $\underline{x}$ of $c_1(X_n)\cdot d - 1 + g$ points in $X_n$ is finite and does not depend on $\underline{x}$ [Vak00a, Section 4.3]. We denote this number, known as a *Gromov-Witten invariant* of $X_n$, by $GW_{X_n}(d,g)$.

Suppose now that $X_n$ is endowed with a real structure $c$. Then one may consider *real* configurations of points $\underline{x}$, i.e. satisfying $c(\underline{x}) = \underline{x}$, and count real algebraic curves. In this case, the number of such curves usually heavily depends on the choice of $\underline{x}$. Nevertheless, in the case when $g = 0$, Welschinger [Wel03, Wel05a] proposed a way to associate a sign to each real curve so that counting them with this sign produces an invariant.

More precisely, let $L$ be a connected component of $\mathbb{R}X_n$, and choose a decomposition $c_1(X_n)\cdot d - 1 = r + 2s$ with $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Let $\underline{x}$ be a generic real configuration of $c_1(X_n)\cdot d - 1$ points in $X_n$ such that $|\mathbb{R}\underline{x}| = r$ and $\mathbb{R}\underline{x} \subset L$. We denote by $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{C}_L(d,\underline{x})$ the set of real rational algebraic curves $C$ in $X_n$ realizing the class $d$, passing through all points in $\underline{x}$, and[1] such that $|\mathbb{R}C \cap L| = +\infty$. Recall that a *solitary node* $p \in \mathbb{R}X$ of a real algebraic curve $C$ in a real algebraic surface $(X,c)$ is the transverse intersection of two smooth $c$-conjugated branches of $C$. To each curve $C \in \mathbb{R}\mathcal{C}_L(d,\underline{x})$, we associate two *masses*[2]:

(1) $m_{\mathbb{R}Xn}(C)$ is the total number of solitary nodes of $C$;
(2) $m_L(C)$ is the number of solitary nodes of $C$ contained in $L$.

Given $n \leq 8$ and $L' = \mathbb{R}X_n$ or $L' = L$, the number

$$W_{(X_n,c),L,L'}(d,s) = \sum_{C\in\mathbb{R}\mathcal{C}_L(d,\underline{x})} (-1)^{m_{L'}(C)}$$

does not depend on $\underline{x}$ as long as $|\mathbb{R}\underline{x}| = r$, neither on the deformation class of $(X_n,c)$ [Wel03, Wel05a, IKS13b]. Those numbers are known as *Welschinger invariants* of $(X_n,c)$. When $\mathbb{R}X_n$ is connected, we use the shorter notation $W_{(X_n,c)}(d,s)$ instead of $W_{(X_n,c),\mathbb{R}X_n,\mathbb{R}X_n}(d,s)$

2.2. **Relative invariants of $\widetilde{X}_n$.** Recall that $\widetilde{X}_n$ denotes $\mathbb{C}P^2$ blown up in $n$ distinct points on a conic $E$. Again, we denote by $E_1,\dots,E_n$ the exceptional divisors of $n$ blow ups, and by $D$ the strict transform of a line not passing through any of these $n$ points. The group $H_2(\widetilde{X}_n;\mathbb{Z})$ is the free

[1] This latter condition is empty as soon as $r \geq 1$.

[2] The mass $m_{\mathbb{R}X}(C)$ is the quantity originally considered by Welschinger in [Wel03]. Itenberg, Kharlamov, and Shustin observed in [IKS13b] that Welschinger's proof actually leaves room for different choices in the mass one could associate to a real algebraic curve in order to get an invariant. I decided to restrict here to these two particular masses since they seem to be the most meaningful ones according to [Wel07, BP14].

abelian group generated by $[D], [E_1], \dots, [E_n]$, and we have

$$c_1(\widetilde{X}_n) = 3[D] - \sum_{i=1}^{n} [E_i] \quad \text{and} \quad [E]^2 = 4 - n.$$

To define Gromov-Witten invariants of $\widetilde{X}_n$ *relative* to the curve $E$, it is more convenient to consider maps $f : C \to \widetilde{X}_n$ rather that algebraic curves $C \subset \widetilde{X}_n$, because of the appearance of non-trivial ramified coverings. Note that in the definition of Gromov-Witten invariants of $X_n$ with $n \leq 8$ given in Section 2.1, all curves under consideration in $X_n$ are reduced, hence it makes no difference to consider immersed or parametrized curves.

Let $d \in H_2(\widetilde{X}_n; \mathbb{Z})$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\infty}$ such that

$$I\alpha + I\beta = d \cdot [E].$$

Choose a configuration $\underline{x} = \underline{x}^\circ \sqcup \underline{x}_E$ of points in $\widetilde{X}_n$, with $\underline{x}^\circ$ a configuration of $d \cdot [D] - 1 + g + |\beta|$ points in $\widetilde{X}_n \setminus E$, and $\underline{x}_E = \{p_{i,j}\}_{0 \leq j \leq \alpha_i, i \geq 1}$ a configuration of $|\alpha|$ points in $E$. Let $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\beta}(d, g, \underline{x})$ be the set of holomorphic maps $f : C \to \widetilde{X}_n$ such that

- $C$ is a connected algebraic curve of arithmetic genus $g$;
- $f(C)$ realizes the homology class $d$ in $\widetilde{X}_n$;
- $\underline{x} \subset f(C)$;
- $E$ is not a component of $f(C)$;
- $f^*(E) = \sum_{i \geq 1} \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha_i} i q_{i,j} + \sum_{i \geq 1} \sum_{j=1}^{\beta_i} i \tilde{q}_{i,j}$, with $f(q_{i,j}) = p_{i,j}$.

The Gromov-Witten invariant $GW^{\alpha,\beta}_{\widetilde{X}_n}(d, g)$ relative to $E$ is defined as

$$GW^{\alpha,\beta}_{\widetilde{X}_n}(d, g) = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\beta}(d,g,\underline{x})} \mu(f, \underline{x}^\circ)$$

for a generic choice of $\underline{x}$, where

$$\mu(f, \underline{x}^\circ) = \frac{1}{|\mathrm{Aut}(f)|} \prod_{p \in \underline{x}^\circ} |f^{-1}(p)|.$$

When $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = (d \cdot [E])u_1$, I use the shorter notation $GW_{\widetilde{X}_n}(d, g)$. Define also

$$\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\beta}_*(d, g, \underline{x}) = \left\{ f(C) \mid (f : C \to \widetilde{X}_n) \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\beta}(d, g, \underline{x}) \right\}.$$

Next proposition is a particular case of [SS13, Proposition 2.1].

**Proposition 2.1** ([SS13, Proposition 2.1])**.** *For a generic configuration $\underline{x}$, the set $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\beta}_*(d, g, \underline{x})$ is finite, and its cardinal does not depend on $\underline{x}$. Moreover, if $d \neq l[E_i]$ with $l \geq 2$, then the map $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\beta}(d, g, \underline{x}) \to \mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\beta}_*(d, g, \underline{x})$ is one-to-one, and any element $f : C \to \widetilde{X}_n$ of $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\beta}(d, g, \underline{x})$ satisfies the following properties:*

- *the curve $C$ is smooth and irreducible;*
- *$f$ is an immersion, birational onto its image (in particular it has no non-trivial automorphism);*
- *$f(C)$ intersects the curve $E$ at non-singular points.*

**Remark 2.2.** Note that if $l \geq 2$, the set $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\beta}(l[E_i], g, \emptyset)$ might not be finite, however $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\beta}_*(l[E_i], g, \underline{x})$ is either empty or consists of the curve $E_i$ with multiplicity $l$.

**Proposition 2.3** ([SS13, Proposition 2.5])**.** *Suppose that $d\cdot[D]-1+g+|\beta|=0$. Then the number $GW^{\alpha,\beta}_{\widetilde{X}_n}(d,g)$ is non-zero only in the following cases:*

$$GW^{0,u_1}_{\widetilde{X}_n}([E_i],0)=GW^{2u_1,0}_{\widetilde{X}_n}([D],0)=GW^{u_2,0}_{\widetilde{X}_n}([D],0)=1,$$
$$GW^{u_1,0}_{\widetilde{X}_n}([D]-[E_i],0)=GW^{0,0}_{\widetilde{X}_n}([D]-[E_i]-[E_j],0)=1,$$

*and*

$$GW^{0,u_l}_{\widetilde{X}_n}(l[E_i],0)=+\infty \text{ if } l\geq 2,$$

*where $i,j=1,\dots,n$ and $i\neq j$.*

**Remark 2.4.** The value $GW^{0,u_l}_{\widetilde{X}_n}(l[E_i],0)=+\infty$ comes from the fact that $\mathcal{C}^{0,u_l}(l[E_i],0,\emptyset)$ has dimension strictly bigger than the expected one. To define a better "enumerative" invariant, one should consider the virtual fundamental class of this space. This is doable, however useless for the purposes of this paper. Note however that it follows from Li's degeneration formula [Li02] combined with the proof of Corollary 5.4 that this finer invariant should be equal to 0.

2.3. **Enumeration of real curve in $\widetilde{X}_n$.** Recall that $\widetilde{X}_n(\kappa)$ is the real surface obtained by blowing up $\mathbb{C}P^2$ at $\kappa$ pairs of conjugated points and $n-2\kappa$ real points on $E$.

**Definition 2.5.** *A real configuration $\underline{x}^\circ$ in $\widetilde{X}_n(\kappa)$ is said to be $(E,s)$-compatible if $\underline{x}^\circ\cap E=\emptyset$ and $\underline{x}^\circ$ contains $s$ pairs of complex conjugated points. If $L$ is a connected component of $\mathbb{R}\widetilde{X}_n(\kappa)\setminus\mathbb{R}E$, we say that $\underline{x}^\circ$ is $(E,s,L)$-compatible if $\mathbb{R}\underline{x}^\circ$ is in addition contained in $L$.*

*Given $\alpha^{\Re},\alpha^{\Im}\in\mathbb{Z}^\infty_{\geq 0}$, a real configuration $\underline{x}_E=\{p_{i,j}\}_{0\leq j\leq\alpha^{\Re}_i,\ i\geq 1}\sqcup\{q_{i,j},\overline{q_{i,j}}\}_{0\leq j\leq\alpha^{\Im}_i,\ i\geq 1}$ in $E\setminus\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i$ is said to be of type $(\alpha^{\Re},\alpha^{\Im})$ if $\{p_{i,j}\}\subset\mathbb{R}E$ and $\{q_{i,j}\}\subset E\setminus\mathbb{R}E$.*

Choose $d\in H_2(\widetilde{X}_n;\mathbb{Z})$ so that $d\neq l[E_i]$ with $l\geq 2$, choose $r,s\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and $\alpha^{\Re},\beta^{\Re},\alpha^{\Im},\beta^{\Im}\in\mathbb{Z}^\infty_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$d\cdot[D]-1+g+|\beta^{\Re}|+2|\beta^{\Im}|=r+2s\quad\text{and}\quad I\alpha^{\Re}+I\beta^{\Re}+2I\alpha^{\Im}+2I\beta^{\Im}=d\cdot[E].$$

Choose a generic real configuration $\underline{x}=\underline{x}^\circ\sqcup\underline{x}_E$ of points in $\widetilde{X}_n$, with $\underline{x}^\circ$ a $(E,s)$-compatible configuration of $d\cdot[D]-1+g+|\beta^{\Re}|+2|\beta^{\Im}|$ points, and $\underline{x}_E$ a configuration of type $(\alpha^{\Re},\alpha^{\Im})$. Denote by $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{C}^{\alpha^{\Re},\beta^{\Re},\alpha^{\Im},\beta^{\Im}}(d,s,\underline{x})$ the set of real maps $f:\mathbb{C}P^1\to\widetilde{X}_n(\kappa)$ in $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha^{\Re}+2\alpha^{\Im},\beta^{\Re}+2\beta^{\Re}}(d,0,\underline{x})$ such that for any $i\geq 1$, the curve $f(C)$ has exactly $\beta^{\Re}_i$ real intersection points (resp. $\beta^{\Im}_i$ pairs of conjugated intersection points) with $E$ of multiplicity $i$ and disjoint from $\underline{x}_E$. Then define the following number

$$W^{\alpha^{\Re},\beta^{\Re},\alpha^{\Im},\beta^{\Im}}_{\widetilde{X}_n(\kappa)}(d,s,\underline{x})=\sum_{f\in\mathbb{R}\mathcal{C}^{\alpha^{\Re},\beta^{\Re},\alpha^{\Im},\beta^{\Im}}(d,s,\underline{x})}(-1)^{m_{\mathbb{R}\widetilde{X}_n(\kappa)}(f(C))}.$$

Suppose now that $n=2\kappa$, in particular $\mathbb{R}E$ disconnects $\mathbb{R}\widetilde{X}_n(\kappa)$. Given $L$ a connected component of $\mathbb{R}\widetilde{X}_n(\kappa)\setminus\mathbb{R}E$, and a $(E,s,L)$-compatible configuration $\underline{x}^\circ$, denote by $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{C}^{\alpha^{\Re},\beta^{\Re},\alpha^{\Im},\beta^{\Im}}_L(d,s,\underline{x})$ the set of elements of $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{C}^{\alpha^{\Re},\beta^{\Re},\alpha^{\Im},\beta^{\Im}}(d,s,\underline{x})$ such that $f(\mathbb{R}P^1)\subset L\cup\mathbb{R}E$. For $L'=\mathbb{R}\widetilde{X}_n(\kappa)$ or $L'=L$, define:

$$W^{\alpha^{\Re},\beta^{\Re},\alpha^{\Im},\beta^{\Im}}_{\widetilde{X}_n(\kappa),L,L'}(d,s,\underline{x})=\sum_{f\in\mathbb{R}\mathcal{C}^{\alpha^{\Re},\beta^{\Re},\alpha^{\Im},\beta^{\Im}}_L(d,s,\underline{x})}(-1)^{m_{L'}(f(C))}.$$

Note that these three series of numbers may vary with the choice of $\underline{x}$.

The following lemma will be needed later on, in particular in the proof of Theorem 3.12. Recall that $\mathbb{R}P^2\setminus\mathbb{R}E$ has two connected components: one is homeomorphic to a disk and is called the *interior* of $\mathbb{R}E$, while the other is homeomorphic to a Möbius band and is called its *exterior*.

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let $D$ be a non-real line in $\mathbb{C}P^2$, intersecting $E \setminus \mathbb{R}E$ in the points $p$ and $q$ ($p = q$ if $D$ is tangent to $E$). Then $D$ intersects $\mathbb{R}P^2$ in the interior of $\mathbb{R}E$ if and only if $p$ and $q$ are in the same connected component of $E \setminus \mathbb{R}E$.*

*Proof.* By continuity, the connected component of $\mathbb{R}P^2 \setminus \mathbb{R}E$ containing $D \cap \mathbb{R}P^2$ only depends on whether $p$ and $q$ are in the same connected component of $E \setminus \mathbb{R}E$ or not.

Clearly, the two lines tangent to $E$ and passing through a point $p$ in $\mathbb{R}P^2$ are real if and only if $p$ lyes in the exterior of $\mathbb{R}E$. Hence we get that $D \cap \mathbb{R}P^2$ is in the interior of $\mathbb{R}E$ if $p$ and $q$ are in the same connected component of $E \setminus \mathbb{R}E$. Since there exist non-real lines intersecting $\mathbb{R}P^2$ in the exterior of $\mathbb{R}E$, the converse is proved. ☺

2.4. **Relative invariants of $\mathcal{N}$.** Recall that $\mathcal{N} = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_{E/\widetilde{X}_n} \oplus \mathbb{C})$, and that $\mathcal{N}$ contains two distinguished disjoint sections $E_\infty$ and $E_0$ of the $\mathbb{C}P^1$-bundle $\pi_E : \mathcal{N} \to E_\infty$. One computes easily that

$$[E]^2 = [E_0]^2 = -[E_\infty]^2 = 4 - n.$$

The group $H_2(\mathcal{N}; \mathbb{Z})$ is the free abelian group generated by $[E_\infty]$ and $[F]$, where $F$ is a fiber of $\pi_E$, and the first Chern class of $\mathcal{N}$ is given by

$$c_1(\mathcal{N}) = 2[E_\infty] + (6 - n)[F].$$

Note that $[E_0] = [E_\infty] + (4 - n)[F]$. Let $d \in H_2(\mathcal{N}; \mathbb{Z})$ and $\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\infty$ such that

$$I\alpha + I\beta = d \cdot [E_0] \quad \text{and} \quad I\alpha' + I\beta' = d \cdot [E_\infty].$$

Choose a configuration $\underline{x} = \underline{x}^\circ \sqcup \underline{x}_{E_0} \sqcup \underline{x}_{E_\infty}$ of points in $\mathcal{N}$, with $\underline{x}^\circ$ a configuration of $2d \cdot [F] - 1 + g + |\beta| + |\beta'|$ points in $\mathcal{N} \setminus (E_0 \cup E_\infty)$, and $\underline{x}_{E_0} = \{p_{i,j}\}_{0 \leq i \leq \alpha_j, j \geq 0}$ (resp. $\underline{x}_{E_\infty} = \{p'_{i,j}\}_{0 \leq i \leq \alpha'_j, j \geq 0}$) a configuration of $|\alpha|$ (resp. $|\alpha'|$) points in $E_0$ (resp. $E_\infty$). Let $\mathcal{F}^{\alpha,\beta,\alpha',\beta'}(d, g, \underline{x})$ be the set of holomorphic maps $f : C \to \widetilde{X}_n$ with $C$ a connected algebraic curve of arithmetic genus $g$, such that $f(C)$ realizes the homology class $d$ in $\mathcal{N}$, contains $\underline{x}$, does not contain neither $E_0$ nor $E_\infty$ as a component, and

$$f^*(E_0) = \sum_{i \geq 1} \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha_i} i q_{i,j} + \sum_{i \geq 1} \sum_{j=1}^{\beta_i} i \tilde{q}_{i,j} \quad \text{with} f(q_{i,j}) = p_{i,j}$$

and

$$f^*(E_\infty) = \sum_{i \geq 1} \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha'_i} i q'_{i,j} + \sum_{i \geq 1} \sum_{j=1}^{\beta'_i} i \tilde{q}'_{i,j} \quad \text{with} f(q'_{i,j}) = p'_{i,j}.$$

The corresponding Gromov-Witten invariant relative to $E_0 \cup E_\infty$ is defined by

$$GW_{\mathcal{N}}^{\alpha,\beta,\alpha',\beta'}(d, g) = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}^{\alpha,\beta,\alpha',\beta'}(d,g,\underline{x})} \mu(f, \underline{x}^\circ)$$

for a generic configuration $\underline{x}$, where $\mu(f, \underline{x}^\circ)$ is defined as in Section 2.2.

**Proposition 2.7** ([Vak00a, Section 3])**.** *The number $GW_{\mathcal{N}}^{\alpha,\beta,\alpha',\beta'}(d, g)$ is finite and does not depend on $\underline{x}$.*

*If $d \neq l[F]$ with $l \geq 2$, then any element $f : C \to \mathcal{N}$ of $\mathcal{F}^{\alpha,\beta,\alpha',\beta'}(d, g, \underline{x})$ satisfies the following properties:*

- *the curve $C$ is smooth and irreducible;*
- *$f$ is an immersion, birational onto its image (in particular it has no non-trivial automorphism);*
- *$f(C)$ intersects the curves $E_0$ and $E_\infty$ at non-singular points.*

*If $d = l[F]$ with $l \geq 2$, then the set $\mathcal{F}^{\alpha,\beta,\alpha',\beta'}(d, g, \underline{x})$ is either empty or has a unique element $f : C \to \mathcal{N}$ which is a ramified covering of degree $l$ to its image, with exactly two ramification points, one of them is mapped to $E_0$, and the other to $E_\infty$.*

**Proposition 2.8.** *Suppose that $2d \cdot [F] - 1 + g + |\beta| + |\beta'| = 0$. Then the number $GW_{\mathcal{N}}^{\alpha,\beta,\alpha',\beta'}(d, g)$ is non-zero only in the following cases*

$$GW_{\mathcal{N}}^{u_l,0,0,u_l}(l[F], 0) = GW_{\mathcal{N}}^{0,u_l,u_l,0}(l[F], 0) = \frac{1}{l}.$$

*Suppose that $2d \cdot [F] - 1 + g + |\beta| + |\beta'| = 1$. Then the number $GW_{\mathcal{N}}^{\alpha,\beta,\alpha',\beta'}(d, g)$ is non-zero only in the following cases:*

$$GW_{\mathcal{N}}^{\alpha,0,\alpha',0}([E_\infty] + l[F], 0) = GW_{\mathcal{N}}^{0,u_l,0,u_l}(l[F], 0) = 1,$$

*where $I\alpha = l$ and $I\alpha' = n - 4 + l$.*

*Suppose that $2d \cdot [F] - 1 + g + |\beta| + |\beta'| = 2$. Then the number $GW_{\mathcal{N}}^{\alpha,\beta,\alpha',\beta'}(d, g)$ is non-zero only in the following cases:*

$$GW_{\mathcal{N}}^{\alpha,u_j,\alpha',0}([E_\infty] + l[F], 0) = GW_{\mathcal{N}}^{\alpha,0,\alpha',u_j}([E_\infty] + lF, 0) = j.$$

*where $I\alpha = l$ and $I\alpha' = n - 4 + l - j$ in the former case, and $I\alpha = l - j$ and $I\alpha' = n - 4 + l$ in the latter case.*

*Proof.* The cases $2d \cdot [F] - 1 + g + |\beta| + |\beta'| \leq 1$ are considered in [Vak00a, Section 8], so suppose that $2d \cdot [F] - 1 + g + |\beta| + |\beta'| = 2$. Writing $d = l_\infty[E_\infty] + l[F]$ we get that

$$2l_\infty + g + |\beta| = 3.$$

In particular we have $l_\infty \leq 1$. One sees easily that in both case $l_\infty = 0$ and $l_\infty = g = 1$ we have $GW_{\mathcal{N}}^{\alpha,\beta,\alpha',\beta'}(d, g) = 0$. The value $GW_{\mathcal{N}}^{\alpha,u_j,\alpha',0}(E_\infty + lF, 0) = GW_{\mathcal{N}}^{\alpha,0,\alpha',u_j}(E_\infty + lF, 0) = j$ can be computed using the recursion formula [Vak00a, Theorem 6.12], nevertheless I give here a proof by hand that will be useful in Section 2.5.

The surface $\mathcal{N}$ is a toric compactification of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$, and for a suitable choice of coordinates, a curve in $\mathcal{F}^{\alpha,u_j,\alpha',0}(E_\infty + lF, 0, \underline{x})$ is the compactification of a curve in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ with equation

$$ay(x - b)^j = Q(x)$$

with $Q(x)$ a monic rational function of degree $4 - n + j$. Note that $Q(x)$ is entirely determined by $\underline{x}_{E_0} \sqcup \underline{x}_{E_\infty}$. If $\underline{x}^\circ = \{(x_0, y_0), (x_1, y_1)\}$, then $a$ and $b$ satisfy the two equations $ay_i(x_i - b)^j = Q(x_i)$. Hence $a$ is determined by $b$, and this latter is a solution of the equation

$$\left(\frac{x_0 - b}{x_1 - b}\right)^j = \frac{y_1 Q(x_0)}{y_0 Q(x_1)}$$

which clearly has exactly $j$ solutions. ☺

2.5. **Enumeration of real curves in $\mathcal{N}$.** Now suppose that $E$ is real with a non empty real part in $\widetilde{X}_n(\kappa)$. In this case the surface $\mathcal{N}$ has a natural real structure induced by the real structure on $\widetilde{X}_n(\kappa)$, and both curves $E_0$ and $E_\infty$ are real with a non-empty real part. Note that the map $\pi_E$ is a real map. The real part $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{N}$ is a Klein bottle if $n$ is odd, and a torus if $n$ is even. In this latter case $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{N} \setminus (\mathbb{R}E_0 \cup \mathbb{R}E_\infty)$ has two connected components that we denote arbitrarily by $N^\pm$.

**Lemma 2.9.** *Suppose that $\underline{x} = \underline{x}^\circ \sqcup \underline{x}_{E_0} \sqcup \underline{x}_{E_\infty}$ is a generic real configuration of points in $\mathcal{N}$ with $\underline{x}^\circ = \{(x_0, y_0), (\overline{x_0}, \overline{y_0})\}$. Then the $j$ elements $f : \mathbb{C}P^1 \to \mathcal{N}$ of $\mathcal{F}^{\alpha,u_j,\alpha',0}([E_\infty] + l[F], 0, \underline{x})$ are all real. If moreover $n$ is even, and each point in $\mathbb{R}x_{E_0} \sqcup \mathbb{R}\underline{x}_{E_\infty}$ is a point of even order of contact of*

*$f(\mathbb{C}P^1)$ with $E_0 \sqcup E_\infty$, then $\frac{j}{2}$ of those elements satisfy $f(\mathbb{R}P^1) \subset N^+ \cup E_0 \cup E_\infty$, and $\frac{j}{2}$ elements satisfy $f(\mathbb{R}P^1) \subset N^- \cup E_0 \cup E_\infty$.*

*An analogous statement holds for elements of $\mathcal{F}^{\alpha,0,\alpha',u_j}([E_\infty]+l[F],0,\underline{x})$.*

*Proof.* Let us use notations introduced in the proof of Proposition 2.8. With the additional assumption of the lemma, we have that $x_1 = \overline{x_0}$ and $y_1 = \overline{y_0}$, and that $Q(x)$ is real. The $j$ elements of $\mathcal{F}^{\alpha,u_j,\alpha',0}([E_\infty]+l[F],0,\underline{x})$ correspond to the $j$ solutions of the equation

$$\left(\frac{x_0-b}{\overline{x_0}-b}\right)^j = \frac{\overline{y_0}Q(x_0)}{y_0Q(\overline{x_0})}. \tag{1}$$

The projective transformation $b \mapsto \frac{x_0-b}{\overline{x_0}-b}$ maps the real line to the set of complex numbers of absolute value 1, hence all elements of $\mathcal{F}^{\alpha,u_j,\alpha',0}([E_\infty]+l[F],0,\underline{x})$ are real.

Suppose now that $n$ is even, and each point in $\mathbb{R}x_{E_0} \sqcup \mathbb{R}\underline{x}_{E_\infty}$ is a point of even order of contact of $f(\mathbb{C}P^1)$ with $E_0 \sqcup E_\infty$. In particular $j$ is even, and the sign of $Q(x)$ is constant. The real part of an element of $\mathcal{F}^{\alpha,u_j,\alpha',0}([E_\infty]+l[F],0,\underline{x})$ is mapped to $N^\pm \cup \mathbb{R}E_0 \cup \mathbb{R}E_\infty$ depending on the sign of $\frac{Q(b)}{a}$, that is to say depending on the sign of

$$a = \frac{Q(x_0)}{(x_0-b)^j y_0}.$$

Denoting by $e^{i\theta}$ any $j$-th root of $\frac{\overline{y_0}Q(x_0)}{y_0Q(\overline{x_0})}$, the $j$ solutions of Equation (1) are given by

$$b_k = \frac{x_0 - \overline{x_0}e^{i(\theta+\frac{2k\pi}{j})}}{1-e^{i(\theta+\frac{2k\pi}{j})}}, \quad k = 0,\dots,j-1,$$

and so

$$(x_0-b_k)^j = \left(\frac{-x_0e^{i(\theta+\frac{2k\pi}{j})} + \overline{x_0}e^{i(\theta+\frac{2k\pi}{j})}}{1-e^{i(\theta+\frac{2k\pi}{j})}}\right)^j = e^{ik\pi}\left(\frac{e^{\frac{i\theta}{2}}Im\,(x_0)}{sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}+\frac{k\pi}{j}\right)}\right)^j.$$

Hence the sign of $\frac{a_0}{a_k}$ coincides with $(-1)^k$, and the lemma is proved in the case of $\mathcal{F}^{\alpha,u_j,\alpha',0}([E_\infty]+l[F],0,\underline{x})$. The proof in the case of $\mathcal{F}^{\alpha,0,\alpha',u_j}([E_\infty]+l[F],0,\underline{x})$ is analogous. ☺

Both sets $E_0 \setminus \mathbb{R}E_0$ and $E_\infty \setminus \mathbb{R}E_\infty$ have two connected components, denoted respectively by $E_0^\pm$ and $E_\infty^\pm$ in such a way that a non-real fiber of $\mathcal{N}$ intersects both $E_0^+$ and $E_\infty^+$, or both $E_0^-$ and $E_\infty^-$. Given a complex algebraic curve $C$ in $\mathcal{N}$, denote by $n_C^\pm$ the sum of the multiplicities of intersection points of $C$ with $E_0 \cup E_\infty$ contained in $E_0^\pm \cup E_\infty^\pm$.

**Lemma 2.10.** *Suppose that $n$ is even, and let $C$ be a complex algebraic curve in $\mathcal{N}$ realizing the class $[E_\infty]+l[F]$, intersecting $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{N}$ transversely and in finitely many points, and with $C \cap (\mathbb{R}E_0 \cup \mathbb{R}E_\infty) = \emptyset$. Then $n_C^+ + n_C^-$ is even and both numbers $|C \cap N^+|$ and $|C \cap N^-|$ have the same parity as $\frac{n_C^+ - n_C^-}{2}$.*

*Proof.* By homological reasons $n_C^+ + n_C^-$ has the same parity as $n$, and is indeed even. Next by continuity, it is enough to consider the case when $C$ has equation $y = i(x-i)^a(x+i)^b$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a+b$ even. Since $(x-i)^a(x+i)^b = (x-i)^{a-b}(x^2+1)^b$, we can further restrict to the case when $C$ has equation $y = i(x-i)^a$ with $a \in 2\mathbb{Z}$. By setting $X = x-i$, intersection points of $C$ with $\mathbb{R}N^+$ and $\mathbb{R}N^-$ respectively correspond to the solutions of the systems of equations

$$\begin{cases} iX^a \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \\ X+i \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} iX^a \in \mathbb{R}_{<0} \\ X+i \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases}.$$

The number of solutions of these two systems is easily determined graphically, see Figure 1. ☺




FIGURE 1. Solutions of $iX^a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $X + i \in \mathbb{R}$, with $a$ even

## 3. Floor diagrams relative to a conic

3.1. **Floor diagrams.** A *weighted graph* is a graph $\Gamma$ equipped with a function $w : Edge(\Gamma) \to \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. The weight allows one to define the *divergence* at the vertices. Namely, for a vertex $v \in Vert(\Gamma)$ we define the divergence $div(v)$ to be the sum of the weights of all incoming edges minus the sum of the weights of all outgoing edges.

**Definition 3.1.** *A connected weighted oriented graph $\mathcal{D}$ is called a* floor diagram of genus $g$ and degree $d_{\mathcal{D}}$ *if the following conditions hold*

- *the oriented graph $\mathcal{D}$ is acyclic;*
- *any element in $Vert^{\infty}(\mathcal{D})$ is adjacent to exactly one edge of $\mathcal{D}$;*
- *$div(v) = 2$ or $4$ for any $v \in Vert(\mathcal{D}) \setminus Vert^{\infty}(\mathcal{D})$, and $div(v) \leq -1$ for every $v \in Vert^{\infty}(\mathcal{D})$;*
- *if $div(v) = 2$, then $v$ is a sink (i.e. all its adjacent edges are oriented toward $v$);*
- *the first Betti number $b_1(\mathcal{D})$ equals $g$;*
- *one has*

$$\sum_{v \in Vert^{\infty}(\mathcal{D})} div(v) = -2d_{\mathcal{D}}.$$

*A vertex $v \in Vert(\mathcal{D}) \setminus Vert^{\infty}(\mathcal{D})$ is called* a floor of degree $\frac{div(v)}{2}$.

Formally, those objects should be called *floor diagrams in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ relative to a conic*. However since these are the only floor diagrams considered in this text, I opted for an abusive but shorter name. Note that there are slight differences with the original definition of floor diagrams in [BM07], [BM08], and [BM].

Here are the convention I use to depict floor diagrams : floors of degree 2 are represented by white ellipses; floors of degree 1 are represented by grey ellipses; vertices in $Vert^{\infty}(\mathcal{D})$ are not represented; edges of $\mathcal{D}$ are represented by vertical lines, and the orientation is implicitly from down to up. We specify the weight of an edge only if this latter is at least 2.

**Example 3.2.** Figure 2 depicts all floor diagrams of degree 1, 2 and 3 with each edge in $Edge^{\infty}(\mathcal{D})$ of weight 1.

A map $m$ between two partially ordered sets is said to be *increasing* if

$$m(i) > m(j) \Longrightarrow i > j$$

Note that a floor diagram inherits a partial ordering from the orientation of its underlying graph.

**Definition 3.3.** *Choose two non-negative integers $n$ and $g$, a homology class $d \in H_2(\widetilde{X}_n; \mathbb{Z})$, and two vectors $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^{\infty}_{\geq 0}$ such that*

$$I\alpha + I\beta = d \cdot [E].$$

*Let $A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_n$ be some disjoint sets such that $|A_i| = d \cdot [E_i]$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and*

$$A_0 = \{1, \ldots, d \cdot [D] - 1 + g + |\alpha| + |\beta|\}$$




a) $d=1$, $g=0$ b) $d=2$, $g=0$ c) $d=3$, $g=1$ d) $d=3$, $g=0$ e) $d=3$, $g=0$

FIGURE 2. Examples of floor diagrams

*A $d$-marking of type $(\alpha,\beta)$ of a floor diagram $\mathcal{D}$ of genus $g$ and degree $d\cdot[D]$ is a map $m:\bigcup_{i=0}^{n}A_i\to\mathcal{D}$ such that*

1. *the map $m$ is injective and increasing, with no floor of degree 1 of $\mathcal{D}$ contained in the image of $m$;*
2. *for each vertex $v\in Vert^{\infty}(\mathcal{D})$ adjacent to the edge $e\in Edge^{\infty}(\mathcal{D})$, exactly one of the two elements $v$ and $e$ is in the image of $m$;*
3. *$m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}A_i\right)\subset Vert^{\infty}(\mathcal{D})$;*
4. *for each $i=1,\dots,n$, a floor of $\mathcal{D}$ is adjacent to at most one edge adjacent to a vertex in $m(A_i)$;*
5. *$m\left(\{1,\dots,|\alpha|\}\right)=m(A_0)\cap Vert^{\infty}(\mathcal{D})$;*
6. *for $1\leq k\leq\alpha_j$, the edge adjacent to $m(\sum_{i=1}^{j-1}\alpha_i+k)$ is of weight $j$;*
7. *exactly $\beta_j$ edges in $Edge^{\infty}(\mathcal{D})$ of weight $j$ are in the image of $m_{|A_0}$.*

Those conditions imply that all edges in $m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}A_i\right)$ are of weight 1. A floor diagram enhanced with a $d$-marking $m$ is called a *$d$-marked floor diagram* and is said to be marked by $m$.

**Definition 3.4.** *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a floor diagram equipped with two $d$-markings*

$$m:A_0\cup\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}A_i\to\mathcal{D}\quad\text{and}\quad m':A_0\cup\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}A'_i\to\mathcal{D}.$$

*The markings $m$ and $m'$ are called equivalent if there exists an isomorphism of weighted oriented graphs $\phi:\mathcal{D}\to\mathcal{D}$ and a bijection $\psi:A_0\cup\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}A_i\to A_0\cup\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}A'_i$, such that*

- $\psi_{|A_0}=Id$;
- $\psi_{|A_i}:A_i\to A'_i$ *is a bijection for* $i=1,\dots,n$;
- $m'\circ\psi=\phi\circ m$.

In particular, for $i=1,\dots,n$, the equivalence class of $(\mathcal{D},m)$ depends on $m(A_i)$ rather than on $m_{|A_i}$. From now on, marked floor diagrams are considered up to equivalence.

3.2. **Enumeration of complex curves.** The complex multiplicity of a marked floor diagram is defined as in [BM08, ABLdM11].

**Definition 3.5.** *The complex multiplicity of a marked floor diagram $(\mathcal{D},m)$ of type $(\alpha,\beta)$, denoted by $\mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D},m)$, is defined as*

$$\mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D},m)=I^{\beta}\prod_{e\in Edge(\mathcal{D})\setminus Edge^{\infty}(\mathcal{D})}w(e)^2.$$

Note that the complex multiplicity of a marked floor diagram only depends on its type and the underlying floor diagram.

**Theorem 3.6.** *For any $d \in H_2(\widetilde{X}_n;\mathbb{Z})$ such that $d\cdot[D] \geq 1$, and any genus $g \geq 0$, one has*

$$GW^{\alpha,\beta}_{\widetilde{X}_n}(d,g) = \sum \mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D},m)$$

*where the sum is taken over all $d$-marked floor diagrams of genus $g$ and type $(\alpha,\beta)$.*

As indicated in the introduction, one easily translates Theorem 3.6 to a Caporaso-Harris type formula following the method exposed in [ABLdM11]. One obtains in this way a formula similar to the one from [SS13, Theorem 2.1].

**Example 3.7.** Theorem 3.6 applied with $n \leq 5$, $\alpha = 0$, and $\beta = (d\cdot[D])u_1$ gives Gromov-Witten invariants of $X_n$. In particular, as a simple application of Theorem 3.6 one can use floor diagrams depicted in Figure 2 to verify that

$$GW_{\mathbb{C}P^2}([D],0) = GW_{\mathbb{C}P^2}(2[D],0) = GW_{\mathbb{C}P^2}(3[D],1) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad GW_{\mathbb{C}P^2}(3[D],0) = 4+8 = 12.$$

**Example 3.8.** We illustrate Theorem 3.6 with more details by computing $GW_{\widetilde{X}_6}(4[D]-\sum_{i=1}^6[E_i],0)$ and $GW_{\widetilde{X}_6}(6[D]-2\sum_{i=1}^6[E_i],0)$. These numbers have been first computed by Vakil [Vak00a].

In Figure 3 are depicted all floor diagrams of genus 0 admitting a $(4[D]-\sum_{i=1}^6[E_i])$-marking of type $(0,2u_1)$. Below each such floor diagram, I precised the sum of complex multiplicity of all $(4[D]-\sum_{i=1}^6[E_i])$-marked floor diagrams of type $(0,2u_1)$ with this underlying floor diagram (the signification of the array attached to each floor diagram will be explained in Section 3.3). In order to make the pictures clearer, I did not depict edges in $m\,(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i)$. Theorem 3.6 together with Figure 3 implies that

$$GW_{\widetilde{X}_6}(4[D]-\sum_{i=1}^6[E_i],0) = 616.$$

Similarly, Figures 4 and 5 depict all floor diagrams of genus 0 admitting a $(6[D]-2\sum_{i=1}^6[E_i])$-marking of type $(0,0)$. Together with Theorem 3.6, this imply that

$$GW_{\widetilde{X}_6}(6[D]-2\sum_{i=1}^6[E_i],0) = 2002.$$

3.3. **Enumeration of real rational curves.** Let $(\mathcal{D},m)$ be a $d$-marked floor diagram of genus 0, and let $\alpha^{\Re},\beta^{\Re},\alpha^{\Im},\beta^{\Im} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\infty}_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$I\alpha^{\Re} + I\beta^{\Re} + 2I\beta^{\Im} + 2I\beta^{\Im} = d\cdot[E].$$

Let $\zeta = d\cdot[D] - 1 + |\alpha^{\Re}| + |\beta^{\Re}| + 2|\alpha^{\Im}| + 2|\beta^{\Im}|$, and choose two integers $r,s \geq 0$ satisfying $\zeta = r + 2s + |\alpha^{\Re}| + 2|\alpha^{\Im}|$.

The set $\{i,i+1\} \subset A_0$ is a called *$s$-pair* if either $i = |\alpha^{\Re}| + 2k - 1$ with $1 \leq k \leq |\alpha^{\Im}|$, or $i = |\alpha^{\Re}| + 2|\alpha^{\Im}| + 2k - 1$ with $1 \leq k \leq s$. Denote by $\Im(m,s)$ the union of all the $s$-pairs $\{i,i+1\}$ where $m(i)$ is not adjacent to $m(i+1)$. Let $\psi_{0,s} : \{1,\dots,\zeta\} \to \{1,\dots,\zeta\}$ be the bijection defined by $\psi_{0,s}(i) = i$ if $i \notin \Im(m,s)$, and by $\psi_{0,s}(i) = j$ if $\{i,j\}$ is a $s$-pair contained in $\Im(m,s)$. Note that $\psi_{0,s}$ is an involution, and that $\psi_{0,0} = Id$.

Now chose an integer $0 \leq \kappa \leq \frac{n}{2}$ such that $d\cdot[E_{2i-1}] = d\cdot[E_{2i}]$ for $i = 1,\dots,\kappa$. For $i = 2\kappa+1,\dots,n$, define $\psi_{i,\kappa}$ to be the identity on $A_i$. For $i = 1,\dots,\kappa$, choose a bijection $\psi_{2i-1,\kappa} : A_{2i-1} \to A_{2i}$, and define $\psi_{2i,\kappa} = \psi^{-1}_{2i-1,\kappa}$. Finally define the involution $\rho_{s,\kappa} : \bigcup_{i=0}^n A_i \to \bigcup_{i=0}^n A_i$ by setting $\rho_{s,\kappa|A_0} = \psi_{0,s}$, and $\rho_{s,\kappa|A_i} = \psi_{i,\kappa}$ for $i = 1,\dots,n$. Note that $\rho_{s,\kappa} = Id$ if $s = \kappa = 0$.

**Definition 3.9.** *A $d$-marked floor diagram $(\mathcal{D},m)$ of genus 0 is called $(s,\kappa)$-real if the two marked floor diagrams $(\mathcal{D},m)$ and $(\mathcal{D},m\circ\rho_{s,\kappa})$ are equivalent.*

*A $(s,\kappa)$-real $d$-marked floor diagram $(\mathcal{D},m)$ is said to be of type $(\alpha^{\Re},\beta^{\Re},\alpha^{\Im},\beta^{\Im})$ if*




| $\kappa\backslash s$ | 0 | 1 | | 2 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

$\sum \mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D}, m) = 16$

| $\kappa\backslash s$ | 0 | 1 | | 2 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 18 |
| 1 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 12 |
| 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 6 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

$\sum \mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D}, m) = 54$

| $\kappa\backslash s$ | 0 | 1 | | 2 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

$\sum \mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D}, m) = 60$

| $\kappa\backslash s$ | 0 | 1 | | 2 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 20 |
| 1 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 8 |
| 2 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

$\sum \mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D}, m) = 20$

| $\kappa\backslash s$ | 0 | 1 | | 2 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 |

$\sum \mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D}, m) = 36$

| $\kappa\backslash s$ | 0 | 1 | | 2 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

$\sum \mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D}, m) = 96$

| $\kappa\backslash s$ | 0 | 1 | | 2 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 60 | 30 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 28 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 12 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 12 | 6 | 0 | 0 |

$\sum \mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D}, m) = 60$

| $\kappa\backslash s$ | 0 | 1 | | 2 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

$\sum \mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D}, m) = 24$

| $\kappa\backslash s$ | 0 | 1 | | 2 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 36 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 1 | 24 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 2 | 12 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

$\sum \mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D}, m) = 36$

| $\kappa\backslash s$ | 0 | 1 | | 2 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

$\sum \mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D}, m) = 16$

| $\kappa\backslash s$ | 0 | 1 | | 2 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

$\sum \mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D}, m) = 48$

| $\kappa\backslash s$ | 0 | 1 | | 2 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 30 | 0 | 30 | 30 |
| 1 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 12 |
| 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

$\sum \mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D}, m) = 30$

| $\kappa\backslash s$ | 0 | 1 | | 2 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

$\sum \mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D}, m) = 40$

| $\kappa\backslash s$ | 0 | 1 | | 2 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 60 | 36 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 40 | 24 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 20 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

$\sum \mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D}, m) = 60$

| $\kappa\backslash s$ | 0 | 1 | | 2 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 20 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 20 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 20 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 20 | 6 | 0 | 0 |

$\sum \mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D}, m) = 20$

FIGURE 3. $(4[D] - \sum_{i=1}^{6}[E_i])$-floor diagrams of genus 0 and type $(0, 2u_1)$

*(1) the marked floor diagram $(\mathcal{D}, m)$ is of type $(\alpha^{\Re} + 2\alpha^{\Im}, \beta^{\Re} + 2\beta^{\Im})$;*
*(2) exactly $2\beta_j^{\Im}$ edges of weight $j$ are contained in $Edge^{\infty}(\mathcal{D}) \cap m(\Im(m, s))$ for any $j \geq 1$.*

The set of $(s, \kappa)$-real $d$-marked floor diagrams of genus 0 and of type $(\alpha^{\Re}, \beta^{\Re}, \alpha^{\Im}, \beta^{\Im})$ is denoted by $\Phi^{\alpha^{\Re}, \beta^{\Re}, \alpha^{\Im}, \beta^{\Im}}(d, s, \kappa)$. Note that the involution $\rho_{s,\kappa}$ induces an involution, denoted by $\rho_{m,s,\kappa}$, on the underlying floor diagram of a real marked floor diagram.

The set of pairs of floors of $\mathcal{D}$ exchanged by $\rho_{m,s,\kappa}$ is denoted by $Vert_{\Im}(\mathcal{D})$. The subset of $Vert_{\Im}(\mathcal{D})$ formed by floors of degree $i$ is denoted by $Vert_{\Im,i}(\mathcal{D})$. To a pair $\{v, v'\} \in Vert_{\Im}(\mathcal{D})$, we associate the following numbers:

- $o_v$ is the sum of the degree of $v$ and the number of its adjacent edges which are in their turn adjacent to $m\left(\bigcup_{i=2\kappa+1}^{n} A_i\right)$;




FIGURE 4. $(6[D] - 2\sum_{i=1}^{6}[E_i])$-floor diagrams of genus 0 and type $(0, 0)$

- $o'_v$ is the number of edges of weight $2 + 4l$ adjacent to $v$.

The set of edges of $\mathcal{D}$ which are fixed (resp. exchanged) by $\rho_{m,s,\kappa}$ is denoted by $Edge_{\Re}(\mathcal{D})$ (resp. $Edge_{\Im}(\mathcal{D})$). The number of edges contained in $m(\{\zeta - r + 1, \dots, \zeta\})$ is denoted by $r_m$, and the number of edges contained in $Edge_{\Re}(\mathcal{D}) \cap m(\{1, \dots, \zeta - r\})$ is denoted by $r'_m$.

If $n = 2\kappa$ and $\varepsilon \in \{0, 1\}$, a marked floor diagram $(\mathcal{D}, m)$ is said to be *$\varepsilon$-sided* if any edge in $Edge_{\Re}(\mathcal{D})$ is of even weight, and, if $\varepsilon = 1$, any floor of degree 1 is contained in a pair in $Vert_{\Im}(\mathcal{D})$. It is said to be *significant* if it satisfies the three following additional conditions:

- any edge in $Edge_{\Im}(\mathcal{D}) \setminus m(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_i)$ is of even weight;
- any edge in $Edge_{\Re}(\mathcal{D}) \setminus Edge^{\infty}(\mathcal{D})$ has weight $2 + 4l$;



| $\kappa,\varepsilon\backslash s$ | 0 | 1 | 2 |
|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 60 | 60 | 20 |
| 1 | 24 | 24 | 8 |
| 2 | 12 | 12 | 4 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

$\sum \mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D},m)=60$




| $\kappa,\varepsilon\backslash s$ | 0 | 1 | 2 |
|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 0 | 48 | 8 | -4 |
| 1 | 48 | 8 | -4 |

$\sum \mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D},m)=48$




| $\kappa,\varepsilon\backslash s$ | 0 | 1 | 2 |
|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

$\sum \mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D},m)=144$



| $\kappa,\varepsilon\backslash s$ | 0 | 1 | 2 |
|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 90 | 30 | 30 |
| 1 | 36 | 12 | 16 |
| 2 | 6 | 2 | 10 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

$\sum \mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D},m)=90$



| $\kappa,\varepsilon\backslash s$ | 0 | 1 | 2 |
|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 120 | 48 | 24 |
| 1 | 80 | 32 | 16 |
| 2 | 40 | 16 | 8 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

$\sum \mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D},m)=120$




| $\kappa,\varepsilon\backslash s$ | 0 | 1 | 2 |
|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

$\sum \mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D},m)=96$



| $\kappa,\varepsilon\backslash s$ | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 120 | 120 | 60 |
| 1 | 48 | 48 | 24 |
| 2 | 8 | 8 | 4 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

$\sum \mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D},m)=120$

FIGURE 5. $(6[D]-2\sum_{i=1}^{6}[E_i])$-floor diagrams of genus 0 and type $(0,0)$, continued

- for any $\{v,v'\}\in Vert_{\Im}(\mathcal{D})$ and any $i=1,\dots,n$, the vertex $v$ is adjacent to an edge adjacent to $m(A_i)$ if and only if so is $v'$.

Finally define

$$E(\mathcal{D})=(Edge(\mathcal{D})\setminus Edge^{\infty}(\mathcal{D}))\cap m(\{1,\dots,\zeta-r\}) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta^{\Re}_{even}=\sum_{j\geq 0}\beta^{\Re}_{2j}.$$

**Definition 3.10.** *Let $(\mathcal{D},m)$ be a $(s,\kappa)$-real $d$-marked floor diagram. The $(s,\kappa)$-real multiplicity of $(\mathcal{D},m)$, denoted by $\mu^{\mathbb{R}}_{s,\kappa}(\mathcal{D},m)$, is defined by*

$$\mu^{\mathbb{R}}_{s,\kappa}(\mathcal{D},m)=2^{\beta^{\Re}_{even}}\ I^{\beta^{\Im}}\prod_{\{v,v'\}\in Vert_{\Im}(\mathcal{D})}(-1)^{o_v}\prod_{e\in E(\mathcal{D})}w(e)$$

*if $m(\Im(m,s))\bigcup Edge^{\infty}(\mathcal{D})$ contains all edges of $\mathcal{D}$ of even weight, and by*

$$\mu^{\mathbb{R}}_{s,\kappa}(\mathcal{D},m)=0$$

*otherwise.*

*If in addition $2\kappa=n$ and $(\mathcal{D},m)$ is $\varepsilon$-sided, we define an additional $(s,\kappa)$-real multiplicities of $(\mathcal{D},m)$ as follows*

$$\nu^{\mathbb{R},\varepsilon}_{s}(\mathcal{D},m)=(-1)^{\varepsilon|Vert_{\Im,1}(\mathcal{D})|}\ 2^{2r_m-r'_m+\beta^{\Re}_{even}}\ I^{\beta^{\Im}}\prod_{\{v,v'\}\in Vert_{\Im,2}(\mathcal{D})}(-1)^{o'_v}\prod_{e\in E(\mathcal{D})}w(e)$$

*if $(\mathcal{D}, m)$ is significant, and by*

$$\nu_s^{\mathbb{R},\varepsilon}(\mathcal{D}, m) = 0$$

*otherwise.*

Next, choose $\alpha^{\Re}, \beta^{\Re}, \alpha^{\Im}, \beta^{\Im}$ and define

$$FW^{\alpha^{\Re},\beta^{\Re},\alpha^{\Im},\beta^{\Im}}_{\widetilde{X}_n(\kappa)}(d, s) = \sum \mu^{\mathbb{R}}_{s,\kappa}(\mathcal{D}, m)$$

where the sum is taken over all $(s, \kappa)$-real $d$-marked floor diagrams of type $(\alpha^{\Re}, \beta^{\Re}, \alpha^{\Im}, \beta^{\Im})$.

If in addition $n = 2\kappa$, define the following numbers:

$$FW^{\alpha^{\Re},\beta^{\Re},\alpha^{\Im},\beta^{\Im}}_{\widetilde{X}_n(\kappa),\varepsilon}(d, s) = \sum \mu^{\mathbb{R}}_{s,\kappa}(\mathcal{D}, m)$$

where the sum is taken over all $\varepsilon$-sided $(s, \kappa)$-real $d$-marked floor diagrams of type $(\alpha^{\Re}, \beta^{\Re}, \alpha^{\Im}, \beta^{\Im})$, and

$$FW^{\alpha^{\Re},\beta^{\Re},\alpha^{\Im},\beta^{\Im}}_{\widetilde{X}_n(\kappa),\varepsilon,\varepsilon}(d, s) = \sum \nu^{\mathbb{R},\varepsilon}_s(\mathcal{D}, m)$$

where the sum is taken over all significant $\varepsilon$-sided $(s, \kappa)$-real $d$-marked floor diagrams of type $(\alpha^{\Re}, \beta^{\Re}, \alpha^{\Im}, \beta^{\Im})$. Note that by definition we have

$$FW^{\alpha^{\Re},\beta^{\Re},\alpha^{\Im},\beta^{\Im}}_{\widetilde{X}_n(\kappa)}(d, s) = FW^{\alpha^{\Re},\beta^{\Re},\alpha^{\Im},\beta^{\Im}}_{\widetilde{X}_n(\kappa),\varepsilon}(d, s) = FW^{\alpha^{\Re},\beta^{\Re},\alpha^{\Im},\beta^{\Im}}_{\widetilde{X}_n(\kappa),\varepsilon,\varepsilon}(d, s) = 0$$

if $d \cdot [E] \neq I\alpha^{\Re} + I\beta^{\Re} + 2I\alpha^{\Im} + 2I\beta^{\Im}$.

**Lemma 3.11.** *Given $n = 2\kappa$, $\varepsilon \in \{0, 1\}$, and $r \geq |\beta^{\Re}| + 2$, we have*

$$FW^{\alpha^{\Re},\beta^{\Re},\alpha^{\Im},\beta^{\Im}}_{\widetilde{X}_n(\kappa),\varepsilon}(d, s) = 0.$$

*Proof.* Let $(\mathcal{D}, m)$ be an $\varepsilon$-sided $(s, \kappa)$-real $d$-marked floor diagram of type $(\alpha^{\Re}, \beta^{\Re}, \alpha^{\Im}, \beta^{\Im})$. Since $\mathcal{D}$ is a tree, its subgraph formed by elements fixed by $\rho_{m,s,\kappa}$ is connected. In particular if $r \geq |\beta^{\Re}| + 2$, the set $Edges^{\Re}(\mathcal{D}) \setminus Edge^{\infty}(\mathcal{D})$ is not empty. Since any edge in this set has an even weight, the lemma follows from Definition 3.10. ☺

Next theorem relates the three series of numbers $FW$ to actual enumeration of real curves in $\widetilde{X}_n(\kappa)$. Recall that when $n = 2\kappa$, the connected component of $\mathbb{R}\widetilde{X}_n(\kappa) \setminus \mathbb{R}E$ with Euler characteristic $\varepsilon$ is denoted by $\widetilde{L}_\varepsilon$.

**Theorem 3.12.** *Let $\zeta_0, r, s, \kappa \geq 0$ be some integers such that $\zeta_0 = r + 2s$. Then there exists a generic $(E, s)$-compatible configuration $\underline{x}^\circ$ of $\zeta_0$ points in $\widetilde{X}_n$ such that:*

*(1) for any $d \in H_2(\widetilde{X}_n; \mathbb{Z})$ with $d \cdot [D] \geq 1$, any $\alpha^{\Re}, \beta^{\Re}, \alpha^{\Im}, \beta^{\Im} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\infty}_{\geq 0}$ such that*

$$d \cdot [D] - 1 + |\beta^{\Re}| + 2|\beta^{\Im}| = \zeta_0 \quad \text{and} \quad d \cdot [E] = I\alpha^{\Re} + I\beta^{\Re} + 2I\alpha^{\Im} + 2I\beta^{\Im},$$

*and any generic real configuration $\underline{x}_E \subset E$ of type $(\alpha^{\Re}, \alpha^{\Im})$, one has*

$$W^{\alpha^{\Re},\beta^{\Re},\alpha^{\Im},\beta^{\Im}}_{\widetilde{X}_n(\kappa)}(d, s, \underline{x}^\circ \sqcup \underline{x}_E) = FW^{\alpha^{\Re},\beta^{\Re},\alpha^{\Im},\beta^{\Im}}_{\widetilde{X}_n(\kappa)}(d, s).$$

*(2) If moreover $n = 2\kappa$, and $\underline{x}^\circ$ is $(E, s, \widetilde{L}_\varepsilon)$-compatible, then*

$$W^{\alpha^{\Re},\beta^{\Re},\alpha^{\Im},\beta^{\Im}}_{\widetilde{X}_n(\kappa),\widetilde{L}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{R}\widetilde{X}_n(\kappa)}(d, s, \underline{x}^\circ \sqcup \underline{x}_E) = FW^{\alpha^{\Re},\beta^{\Re},\alpha^{\Im},\beta^{\Im}}_{\widetilde{X}_n(\kappa),\varepsilon}(d, s),$$

*and*

$$W^{\alpha^{\Re},\beta^{\Re},\alpha^{\Im},\beta^{\Im}}_{\widetilde{X}_n(\kappa),\widetilde{L}_\varepsilon,,\widetilde{L}_\varepsilon}(d, s, \underline{x}^\circ \sqcup \underline{x}_E) = FW^{\alpha^{\Re},\beta^{\Re},\alpha^{\Im},\beta^{\Im}}_{\widetilde{X}_n(\kappa),\varepsilon,\varepsilon}(d, s).$$

**Example 3.13.** If $n \leq 5$, Theorem 3.12(1) computes Welschinger invariants of $X_n$ equipped with a standard real structure. In particular, applying Theorem 3.12 with $n = 0$, one verifies that

$$W_{\mathbb{C}P^2}([D], s) = W_{\mathbb{C}P^2}(2[D], s) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad W_{\mathbb{C}P^2}(3[D], s) = 8 - 2s.$$

**Example 3.14.** Fix $n = 6$ and $\zeta_0 = 5$. Given $0 \leq s \leq 2$, let $\underline{x}_s^\circ$ be a configuration whose existence is attested by Theorem 3.12 with $r = 6 - 2s$. Using Figures 2 , 3, 4, and 5, one computes all numbers $W^{0,\beta^{\Re},0,\beta^{\Im}}_{\widetilde{X}_6(\kappa)}(d_k, s, \underline{x}_s^\circ)$ for the classes $d_k = 6[D] - 2\sum_{i=1}^{6}[E_i] - k[E]$ with $k = 0, 1, 2$, as well as the numbers $W^{0,\beta^{\Re},0,\beta^{\Im}}_{\widetilde{X}_6(3),\widetilde{L}_\varepsilon,\widetilde{L}_\varepsilon}(d_0, s, \underline{x}_3^\circ)$. In the case $k = 2$, this value is 1 for $(\beta^{\Re}, \beta^{\Im})$ given in Table 1a, and 0 otherwise. In the case $k = 1$, the numbers $W^{0,\beta^{\Re},0,\beta^{\Im}}_{\widetilde{X}_6(\kappa)}(d_1, s, \underline{x}_s^\circ)$ vanish for all values of $\beta^{\Re}$ and $\beta^{\Im}$ not listed in Table 1b. In the case $k = 0$, all $(s, 3)$-real diagrams contributing to $W^{0,0,0,0}_{\widetilde{X}_6(3)}(d_0, s, \underline{x}_s^\circ)$ are $\varepsilon$-sided with $\varepsilon \in \{0, 1\}$, so we have $W^{0,0,0,0}_{\widetilde{X}_6(3)}(d_0, s, \underline{x}_s^\circ) = W^{0,0,0,0}_{\widetilde{X}_6(3),\widetilde{L}_\varepsilon,\mathbb{R}\widetilde{X}_6(3)}(d_0, s, \underline{x}_s^\circ)$. In Figures 3, 4, and 5, beside all floor diagrams is written the sum of $(s, \kappa)$-multiplicity of all corresponding $(s, \kappa)$-real marked floor diagrams of type $(0, \beta^{\Re}, 0, \beta^{\Im})$ with this underlying floor diagram. The numbers $W^{0,0,0,0}_{\widetilde{X}_6(\kappa)}(d_0, 0, \underline{x}_0^\circ)$ were first computed in [BP13, Proposition 3.1].

| $s$ | $\beta^{\Re}$ | $\beta^{\Im}$ |
|---|---|---|
| 0 | $4u_1$ | 0 |
| 1 | $2u_1$ | $u_1$ |
| 2 | 0 | $2u_1$ |

a) $W^{0,\beta^{\Re},0,\beta^{\Im}}_{\widetilde{X}_6(\kappa)}(d_2, s, \underline{x}_s^\circ) = 1$

| $s\backslash\kappa$ | | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | $\beta^{\Re} = 2u_1$ | 236 | 140 | 76 | 36 |
| 1 | $\beta^{\Re} = 2u_1$ | 80 | 50 | 28 | 14 |
| | $\beta^{\Im} = u_1$ | 62 | 28 | 10 | 0 |
| 2 | $\beta^{\Im} = u_1$ | 74 | 36 | 14 | 0 |

b) $W^{0,\beta^{\Re},0,\beta^{\Im}}_{\widetilde{X}_6(\kappa)}(d_1, s, \underline{x}_s^\circ)$

TABLE 1.

| $s\backslash\kappa, \varepsilon$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 522 | 236 | 78 | 0 | 160 | 96 |
| 1 | 390 | 164 | 50 | 0 | 64 | 32 |
| 2 | 286 | 128 | 50 | 20 | 24 | 8 |

TABLE 2. $W^{0,0,0,0}_{\widetilde{X}_6(\kappa)}(d_0, s, \underline{x}_s^\circ)$ and $W^{0,0,0,0}_{\widetilde{X}_6(3),\widetilde{L}_\varepsilon,\widetilde{L}_\varepsilon}(d_0, s, \underline{x}_s^\circ)$

## 4. Absolute invariants of $X_6$

4.1. **Gromov-Witten invariants.** When $n = 6$, Theorem 3.6 combined with [Vak00a, Theorem 4.5] allows one to computes Gromov-Witten invariants of $X_6$.

**Theorem 4.1.** *For any $d \in H_2(X_6; \mathbb{Z})$ such that $d \cdot [D] \geq 1$, and any genus $g \geq 0$, one has*

$$GW_{X_6}(d, g) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \begin{pmatrix} d \cdot [E] + 2k \\ k \end{pmatrix} \sum \mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D}, m)$$

*where the second sum is taken over all $(d - k[E])$-marked floor diagrams of genus $g$ and type $(0, (d \cdot [E] + 2k)u_1)$.*

**Example 4.2.** Theorem 4.1 together with Examples 3.7 and 3.8 implies that

$$GW_{X_6}(2c_1(X_6),0) = 2002 + \binom{2}{1} \times 616 + \binom{4}{2} \times 1 = 3240.$$

Performing analogous computations in genus up to 4, we obtain the value listed in Table 3. The

| $g$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $GW_{X_6}(2c_1(X_6),g)$ | 3240 | 1740 | 369 | 33 | 1 |

TABLE 3. $GW_{X_6}(2c_1(X_6),g)$

value in the rational case has been first computed by Göttsche and Pandharipande in [GP98, Section 5.2]. The cases of higher genus have been first treated in [Vak00a].

4.2. **Welschinger invariants.** Applying Theorem 3.12 with $n = 6$, one can also compute Welschinger invariants of $X_6$ with any real structure. Denote by $X_6(\kappa)$ with $\kappa = 0, \dots, 4$ the surface $X_6$ equipped with the real structure such that

$$\chi(\mathbb{R}X_6(\kappa)) = -5 + 2\kappa.$$

Denote also by $L_\varepsilon$ the connected component of $\mathbb{R}X_6(4)$ with Euler characteristic $\varepsilon$. Next theorem is an immediate corollary of Theorems 3.12 and [BP13, Theorem 2.2] or [BP14, Theorem 4] (see also Section 6.3 or [IKS13a] for a proof in the algebraic setting).

**Theorem 4.3.** *For any $d \in H_2(X_6;\mathbb{Z})$ such that $d \cdot [D] \geq 1$, any $r, s \geq 0$ such that $c_1(X_6) \cdot d - 1 = r + 2s$, any $\kappa \in \{0, \dots, 3\}$, and any $\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}$, one has*

$$W_{X_6(\kappa)}(d,s) = \sum_{k\geq 0} \sum_{k=r'+2s'} \sum_{\beta_1^{\Re}+2\beta_1^{\Im}=d\cdot[E]+2k} \binom{\beta_1^{\Re}}{r'} \binom{\beta_1^{\Im}}{s'} FW^{0,\beta_1^{\Re}u_1,0,\beta_1^{\Im}u_1}_{\widetilde{X}_6(\kappa)}(d,s),$$

$$W_{X_6(\kappa+1)}(d,s) = \sum_{k\geq 0} (-2)^k FW^{0,0,0,ku_1}_{\widetilde{X}_6(\kappa)}(d,s) \quad \text{if } \kappa \leq 2,$$

$$W_{X_6(4),L_{1+\varepsilon},\mathbb{R}X_6(4)}(d,s) = \sum_{k\geq 0} (-2)^k FW^{0,0,0,ku_1}_{\widetilde{X}_6(3),\varepsilon}(d,s) \quad \forall \varepsilon \in \{0,1\},$$

$$W_{X_6(4),L_{1+\varepsilon},L_{1+\varepsilon}}(d,s) = FW^{0,0,0,0}_{\widetilde{X}_6(3),\varepsilon,\varepsilon}(d,s) \quad \forall \varepsilon \in \{0,1\}.$$

Theorem 4.3 has the two following corollaries.

**Corollary 4.4.** *For any $d \in H_2(X_6;\mathbb{Z})$, one has*

$$W_{X_6(4),L_1,L_1}(d,0) \geq W_{X_6(4),L_2,L_2}(d,0) \geq 0.$$

*Moreover both invariants are divisible by $4^{\left[\frac{d\cdot[D]}{2}\right]-1}$.*

*Proof.* The first assertion follows immediately from Theorem 4.3, Definition 3.9, and the fact that $\psi_{0,0} = Id$. The second assertion follows from Definition 3.9 and the observation that any marked floor diagram which contributes to $FW^{0,0,0,0}_{\widetilde{X}_6(4),\varepsilon,\varepsilon}(d,s)$ has at least $\left[\frac{d\cdot[D]}{2}\right]$ vertices, hence at least $\left[\frac{d\cdot[D]}{2}\right]-1$ edges in $Edge(\mathcal{D}) \setminus Edge^{\infty}(\mathcal{D})$. ☺

The non-negativity of $W_{X_6(4),L_1,L_1}(d,0)$ has been first proved in [IKS13b]. Next corollary is a particular case of [BP13, Proposition 3.3] and [BP14, Theorem 2]. The proof presented here is slightly different and easier than the one used in [BP14, Theorem 2], which covers a more general situation.

**Corollary 4.5.** *For any $d \in H_2(X_6;\mathbb{Z})$ and any $\varepsilon \in \{1,2\}$, one has*

$$W_{X_6(4),L_\varepsilon,\mathbb{R}X_6(4)}(d,s) = 0$$

*as soon as $r \geq 2$.*

*Proof.* This is a consequence of Theorem 4.3 and Lemma 3.11. ☺

**Example 4.6.** Theorem 4.3 and Example 3.14 imply that Welschinger invariants of the surface $X_6$ for the class $2c_1(X_6)$ are the one listed in Table 4. I first computed the numbers $W_{X_6(0)}(2c_1(X_6),s)$ [Bru].

| $s\backslash\kappa$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 $L = L_1$ | 4 $L = L_2$ |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 1000 | 522 | 236 | 78 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 552 | 266 | 108 | 30 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 288 | 130 | 52 | 22 | 24 | 24 |

$W_{X_6(\kappa),L,\mathbb{R}X_6(\kappa)}(2c_1(X_6),s)$

| $s\backslash\varepsilon$ | 1 | 2 |
|---|---|---|
| 0 | 160 | 96 |
| 1 | 64 | 32 |
| 2 | 24 | 8 |

$W_{X_6(4),L_\varepsilon,L_\varepsilon}(2c_1(X_6),s)$

TABLE 4. Welschinger invariants of $X_6$ for the class $2c_1(X_6)$

The numbers $W_{X_6(\kappa)}(2c_1(X_6),0)$ with $\kappa = 1,\dots,3$, as well as $W_{X_6(4),L_1,L_1}(2c_1(X_6),0)$ have been first computed by Itenberg, Kharlamov and Shustin in [IKS13b]. The values $W_{X_6(4),L_\varepsilon,\mathbb{R}X_6(4)}(2c_1(X_6),2)$ have been first computed by Welschinger in [Wel07].

## 5. Proof of Theorems and 3.6 and 3.12

Here I apply the strategy detailed in Section 1.1. Recall that $\mathcal{N} = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_{E/\widetilde{X}_n} \oplus \mathbb{C})$, $E_\infty = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_{E/\widetilde{X}_n} \oplus \{0\})$, and $E_0 = \mathbb{P}(E \oplus \{1\})$.

Let us go back to the steps $(1)-(3)$ mentioned in Section 1.1. The degeneration of $\widetilde{X}_n$ performed in step (1) is standard, see [Ful84, Chapter 5] for example. Consider the complex variety $\mathcal{Y}$ obtained by blowing up $\widetilde{X}_n \times \mathbb{C}$ along $E \times \{0\}$. Then $\mathcal{Y}$ admits a natural flat projection $\pi : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that

- $\pi^{-1}(t) = \widetilde{X}_n$ for $t \neq 0$;
- $\pi^{-1}(0) = \widetilde{X}_n \cup \mathcal{N}$, the surfaces $\widetilde{X}_n$ and $\mathcal{N}$ intersecting transversely along $E$ in $\widetilde{X}_n$, and $E_\infty$ in $\mathcal{N}$.

If $\mathcal{E}$ denotes the Zariski closure of $E \times \mathbb{C}^*$ in $\mathcal{Y}$, then $\mathcal{E} \cap \pi^{-1}(0) = E_0$.

5.1. **Degeneration formula applied to $\mathcal{Y}$.** Choose $\underline{x}^\circ(t)$ (resp. $\underline{x}_E(t)$) a set of $d\cdot[D]-1+g+|\beta|$ (resp. $|\alpha|$) holomorphic sections $\mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{Y}$ (resp. $\mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{E}$), and denote $\underline{x}(t) = \underline{x}^\circ(t) \sqcup \underline{x}_E(t)$. Define $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\beta}(d,g,\underline{x}(0))$ to be the set $\left\{\overline{f} : \overline{C} \to \widetilde{X}_n \cup \mathcal{N}\right\}$ of limits, as stable maps, of maps in $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\beta}(d,g,\underline{x}(t))$ as $t$ goes to 0, and $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\beta}_*(d,g,\underline{x}(0))$ as in Section 2.2. Recall that $\overline{C}$ is a connected nodal curve with arithmetic genus $g$ such that

- $\underline{x}(0) \subset \overline{f}(\overline{C})$;
- any point $p \in \overline{f}^{-1}(\widetilde{X}_n \cap \mathcal{N})$ is a node of $\overline{C}$ which is the intersection of two irreducible components $\overline{C}'$ and $\overline{C}''$ of $\overline{C}$, with $\overline{f}(\overline{C}') \subset \widetilde{X}_n$ and $\overline{f}(\overline{C}'') \subset \mathcal{N}$;
- if in addition neither $\overline{f}(\overline{C}')$ nor $\overline{f}(\overline{C}'')$ is entirely mapped to $\widetilde{X}_n \cap \mathcal{N}$, then $p$ appears with the same multiplicity, denoted by $\mu_p$, in both $\overline{f}^*_{|\overline{C}'}(E)$ and $\overline{f}^*_{|\overline{C}''}(E_\infty)$.

If $\overline{C}_1, \dots, \overline{C}_k$ denote the irreducible components of $\overline{C}$ and if none of them is entirely mapped to $\widetilde{X}_n \cap \mathcal{N}$, define

$$\mu(\overline{f}) = \prod_{p \in \overline{f}^{-1}(\widetilde{X}_n \cap \mathcal{N})} \mu_p \prod_{p \in \underline{x}^\circ(0)} |\overline{f}^{-1}(p)| \prod_{i=1}^{k} \left( \frac{1}{|Aut(\overline{f}_{|\overline{C}_i})|} \right).$$

Note that some points in $\overline{f}^{-1}\left(\widetilde{X}_n \cap \mathcal{N}\right)$ might have the same image by $\overline{f}$. Recall that given a map $f_t$ in $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\beta}(d, g, \underline{x}(t))$ with $t \neq 0$, the multiplicity $\mu(f_t, \underline{x}^\circ(t))$ has been defined in Section 2.2.

**Proposition 5.1.** *Suppose that $\underline{x}(0)$ is generic. Then the set $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\beta}_*(d, g, \underline{x}(0))$ is finite, and only depends on $\underline{x}(0)$. Moreover if $\overline{f} : \overline{C} \to \widetilde{X}_n \cup \mathcal{N}$ is an element of $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\beta}(d, g, \underline{x}(0))$, then no irreducible component of $\overline{C}$ is entirely mapped to $\widetilde{X}_n \cap \mathcal{N}$. If in addition we assume that $\overline{C}$ has no component $\overline{C}'$ such that $\overline{f}(\overline{C}') = lE_i$ in $\widetilde{X}_n$ with $l \geq 2$, then*

$$\sum \mu(f_t, \underline{x}^\circ(t)) = \mu(\overline{f})$$

*where the sum is taken over all morphisms which converge to $\overline{f}$ as $t$ goes to $0$.*

*Proof.* Thanks to Propositions 2.1 and 2.7, the proof reduces to standard dimension estimations. The fact no component of $\overline{C}$ is entirely mapped to $X_n \cap \mathcal{N}$ follows from [IP04, Example 11.4 and Lemma 14.6].

Denote by $g_i$ the arithmetic genus of $\overline{C}_i$, by $d_i$ the homology class realized by $\overline{f}(\overline{C}_i)$ in either $\widetilde{X}_n$ or $\mathcal{N}$, and by $a_i$ the number of its intersection points with $\widetilde{X}_n \cap \mathcal{N}$. In the case $\overline{f}(\overline{C}_i) \subset \mathcal{N}$, denote by $b_i$ the number of its intersection points with $E_0$ not contained in $\underline{x}_E(0)$. By Propositions 2.1 and 2.7, if $\overline{f}(\overline{C}_i)$ contains $\zeta_i$ points of $\underline{x}^\circ(0)$, we have

$$d_i \cdot [D] - 1 + g_i + a_i \geq \zeta_i$$

if $\overline{f}(\overline{C}_i) \subset \widetilde{X}_n$, and

$$2d_i \cdot [F] - 1 + g_i + a_i + b_i \geq \zeta_i$$

if $\overline{f}(\overline{C}_i) \subset \mathcal{N}$. Moreover, the curves in $\widetilde{X}_n$ and in $\mathcal{N}$ have to match along $\widetilde{X}_n \cap \mathcal{N}$, which in regard to Propositions 2.1 and 2.7 provide $a := \left|\overline{f}^{-1}\left(\widetilde{X}_n \cap \mathcal{N}\right)\right|$ additional independent conditions. Altogether we obtain

$$\sum_{\overline{f}(\overline{C}_i) \subset \widetilde{X}_n} (d_i \cdot [D] - 1 + g_i + a_i) + \sum_{\overline{f}(\overline{C}_i) \subset \mathcal{N}} (2d_i \cdot [F] - 1 + g_i + a_i + b_i) \geq d \cdot [D] - 1 + g + |\beta| + a.$$

We clearly have the equalities

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i = 2a, \quad \sum b_i = |\beta|, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\overline{f}(\overline{C}_i) \subset \widetilde{X}_n} d_i + \sum_{\overline{f}(\overline{C}_i) \subset \mathcal{N}} (d_i \cdot [F])\,[E] = d,$$

and an Euler characteristic computation gives

$$a + 1 - k + \sum g_i = g.$$

Those latter equalities imply that

$$\sum_{\overline{f}(\overline{C}_i) \subset \widetilde{X}_n} (d_i \cdot [D] - 1 + g_i + a_i) + \sum_{\overline{f}(\overline{C}_i) \subset \mathcal{N}} (2d_i \cdot [F] - 1 + g_i + a_i + b_i) = d \cdot [D] - 1 + g + |\beta| + a.$$

In particular all the above inequalities are in fact equalities. Together with Proposition 2.1 and 2.7, this implies that the set $\mathcal{C}_*^{\alpha,\beta}(d,g,\underline{x}(0))$ is finite and only depends on $\underline{x}(0)$. The rest of the proposition follows now from [Li04, Theorem 17] (see also [SS13, Lemma 2.19]). ☺

**Remark 5.2.** The assumption that $\overline{f}$ does not contain a ramified covering of a $(-1)$-curve in $\widetilde{X}_n$ is needed since the set $\mathcal{C}^{0,u_l}(lE_i,0,\emptyset)$ has not the expected dimension. Again, one could remove this assumption by replacing $\mathcal{C}^{0,u_l}(lE_i,0,\emptyset)$ by its virtual fundamental class.

From now on, we assume that $\underline{x}(0)$ is generic, so that we can apply Proposition 5.1, and we fix an element $f : \overline{C} \to \widetilde{X}_n \cup \mathcal{N}$ of $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\beta}(d,g,\underline{x}(0))$. Next corollary is an immediate consequence of Propositions 2.1 and 5.1.

**Corollary 5.3.** *If $p$ and $p'$ are two nodes of $\overline{C}$ mapped to the same points of $\widetilde{X}_n \cap \mathcal{N}$, then $\{\overline{f}(p)\} = E \cap E_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$.*

**Corollary 5.4.** *Suppose that $d \neq l[E_i]$ with $l \geq 2$. Then any irreducible component of $\overline{C}$ entirely mapped to $E_i$ is isomorphically mapped to $E_i$.*

*Proof.* Let $l_i'$ be the number of connected components of $\overline{C}$ mapped to $E_i$, and let $l_i$ be the total multiplicity under which the curve $E_i$ appears in $\overline{f}(\overline{C})$. Note that $l_i \geq l_i'$ with equality if and only if any irreducible component of $\overline{C}$ mapped to $E_i$ is isomorphically mapped to $E_i$. We denote by $\overline{C}_{\mathcal{N}}$ the union of all irreducible components of $\overline{C}$ mapped to $\mathcal{N}$, and by $\overline{C}_{\widetilde{X}_n}$ the union of those which are mapped to $\widetilde{X}_n$ but not entirely to $E_i$.

Suppose that $d = d_D[D] - \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_j[E_j]$, and that $\overline{f}(\overline{C}_{\mathcal{N}})$ realizes the homology class $d_E[E_\infty] + d_F[F]$. Then the curve $\overline{f}_*(\overline{C}_{\widetilde{X}_n})$ realizes the homology class

$$(d_D - 2d_E)[D] - \sum_{j\neq i}(\mu_j - d_E)[E_j] - (\mu_i - d_E + l_i)[E_i].$$

In the degeneration of $\widetilde{X}_n$ to $\widetilde{X}_n \cup \mathcal{N}$, the curve $E_i$ degenerates to the union $\overline{E}_i$ of $E_i$ and the fiber of $\mathcal{N}$ passing through $E_i \cap E$. The sum of multiplicity of intersections over intersection points of $f(\overline{C}_{\widetilde{X}_n} \cup \overline{C}_{\mathcal{N}})$ with $\overline{E}_i$ and not contained in $\widetilde{X}_n \cap \mathcal{N}$ is then

$$\mu_i - d_E + l_i + (d_E - l_i') = \mu_i + l_i - l_i'.$$

On the other hand, all those intersections deform to $\mathcal{Y}$, hence we must have

$$d \cdot [E_i] = \mu_i \geq \mu_i + l_i - l_i'.$$

In conclusion $l_i = l_i'$ and we are done. ☺

Next corollary is an immediate combination of Propositions 5.1 and 2.8.

**Corollary 5.5.** *Let $\underline{x}^\circ_{\mathcal{N}} = \underline{x}^\circ(0) \cap \mathcal{N}$, and let $\overline{C}'$ an irreducible component of $\overline{C}$ mapped to $\mathcal{N}$. If $|\underline{x}^\circ_{\mathcal{N}} \cap \overline{f}(\overline{C}')| \leq 2$, then $\overline{f}(\overline{C}')$ realizes either the class $d_F[F]$ or $[E_\infty] + d_F[F]$ in $H_2(\mathcal{N};\mathbb{Z})$. Moreover we have $|\underline{x}^\circ_{\mathcal{N}} \cap \overline{f}(\overline{C}')| \leq 1$ in the former case, and $1 \leq |\underline{x}^\circ_{\mathcal{N}} \cap \overline{f}(\overline{C}')| \leq 2$ in the latter case.*

When enumerating real curves, we need to study carefully the possible limits of the nodes of the curves. Given a map $f : C \to X$ from a (possibly singular) complex algebraic curve, we say that $\{p,p'\} \subset C$ is a *nodal pair* if it is an isolated solution of the equation $f(x) = f(y)$. In particular if $\{p,p'\}$ is a nodal pair, then $p \neq p'$.

Denote by $\mathcal{P}(\overline{f})$ the set of points $p \in \overline{f}^{\,-1}\left(\widetilde{X}_n \cap \mathcal{N}\right)$ such that none of the restrictions of $\overline{f}$ on the local branches of $\overline{C}$ at $p$ is a non-trivial ramified covering onto its image.

**Proposition 5.6** ([SS13, Lemmas 2.10 and 2.19]). *Let $p \in \mathcal{P}(\overline{f})$, and let $U_p$ be a small neighborhood of $p$ in $\overline{C}$. Then when deforming $\overline{f}$ to an element of $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\beta}(d, g, \underline{x}(t))$, exactly $\mu_p - 1$ nodal pairs appear in the deformation of $U_p$.*

**Corollary 5.7.** *Let $f : C \to \widetilde{X}_n \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\beta}(d, g, \underline{x}(t))$, with $|t| << 1$, be a deformation of $\overline{f} : \overline{C} \to \pi^{-1}(0)$. Then any nodal pair of $C$ is either the deformation of a nodal pair of $\overline{C}$, or is contained in the deformation of a neighborhood of a point $p \in \mathcal{P}(\overline{f})$.*

*Proof.* Let us decompose the curve $\overline{C}$ as follows

$$\overline{C} = \overline{C}'_{\widetilde{X}_n} \bigcup \overline{C}'_{\mathcal{N}} \bigcup \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \overline{C}^{(i)} \right) \bigcup \overline{G}$$

where

- $\overline{C}'_{\widetilde{X}_n}$ is the union of irreducible components of $\overline{C}$ which are mapped to $\widetilde{X}_n$, but not entirely to one of the curves $E_i$;
- $\overline{C}'_{\mathcal{N}}$ is the union of irreducible components of $\overline{C}$ which are mapped to $\mathcal{N}$ and whose image does not realize a multiple of the fiber class;
- $\overline{C}^{(i)}$ is the union of irreducible components of $\overline{C}$ which are mapped to $E_i$; we denote by $l_i$ the number of such curves;
- $\overline{G}$ is the union of irreducible components of $\overline{C}$ which are mapped to $\mathcal{N}$ and whose image realizes a multiple of the fiber class; we denote by $l$ the sum of all those multiplicities.

Let us denote by $d_{\widetilde{X}_n} = d_D[D] - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i [E_i]$ the homology class realized by $\overline{f}(\overline{C}'_{\widetilde{X}_n})$ in $\widetilde{X}_n$, and by $d_{\mathcal{N}} = d_E[E_\infty] + d_F[F]$ the one realized by $\overline{f}(\overline{C}'_{\mathcal{N}})$ in $\mathcal{N}$. By the adjunction formula, the number of nodal pairs of $\overline{f}_{|\overline{C}'_{\widetilde{X}_n}}$ is

$$a_{\widetilde{X}_n} = \frac{d^2_{\widetilde{X}_n} - c_1(\widetilde{X}_n) \cdot d_{\widetilde{X}_n} + \chi(\overline{C}'_{\widetilde{X}_n})}{2}.$$

Moreover, according to Propositions 5.1 and 2.1, none of those pairs is mapped to $E$. Similarly, Corollary 5.4 implies that the number of nodal pairs of $\overline{f}_{|\overline{C}'_{\mathcal{N}}}$ which are not mapped to $E_\infty$ is exactly

$$a_{\mathcal{N}} = \frac{d^2_{\mathcal{N}} - c_1(\mathcal{N}) \cdot d_{\mathcal{N}} + \chi(\overline{C}'_{\mathcal{N}}) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} l_i(l_i - 1)}{2}.$$

Furthermore we have

$$d = (d_D + 2d_E)[D] - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mu_i + d_E - l_i)\,[E_i],$$

$$(d_{\mathcal{N}} + l[F]) \cdot [E_\infty] = (d_{\widetilde{X}_n} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} l_i [E_i]) \cdot [E], \quad \text{and} \quad \chi(\overline{C}'_{\widetilde{X}_n}) + \chi(\overline{C}'_{\mathcal{N}}) = 2 - 2g + 2a,$$

where $a$ is the number of intersection points of $\overline{C}'_{\widetilde{X}_n}$ and $\overline{C}'_{\mathcal{N}}$. Thus we deduce that the total number of nodal pairs of $\overline{f}$ which are not mapped to $\widetilde{X}_n \cap \mathcal{N}$ is exactly

$$a_{\widetilde{X}_n} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} l_i \mu_i + a_{\mathcal{N}} + l d_E = \frac{d^2 - c_1(\widetilde{X}_n) \cdot d + 2 - 2g}{2} - (d_{\widetilde{X}_n} \cdot [E] - l - a).$$

Each of these nodal pairs deform to a unique nodal pair of $f$. Combining this with Proposition 5.6 and the fact that

$$d_{\widetilde{X}_n} \cdot [E] - l - a = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}(\overline{f})} (\mu_p - 1),$$

the corollary now follows from the adjunction formula. ☺

Thanks to Proposition 5.1, we know how many elements of $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\beta}(d,g,\underline{x}(t))$ converge, as $t$ goes to 0, to a given element $\overline{f}$ of $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\beta}(d,g,\underline{x}(0))$. In the case when the situation is real, we now determine how many of those complex maps are real. So let us assume that $\widetilde{X}_n$ is endowed with the real structure $\widetilde{X}_n(\kappa)$. The previous degeneration $\mathcal{Y}\to\mathbb{C}$ has a canonical real structure compatible with the one of $\widetilde{X}_n$, and let us choose the set of sections $\underline{x}:\mathbb{C}\to\mathcal{Y}$ to be real.

Given $p\in\mathbb{R}(\widetilde{X}_n\cap\mathcal{N})$, choose a neighborhood $V_p$ of $p$ in $\mathbb{R}(\widetilde{X}_n\cup\mathcal{N})$ homeomorphic to the union of two disks. The set $V_p\setminus\mathbb{R}(\widetilde{X}_n\cap\mathcal{N})$ has four connected components $V_{p,1},V_{p,2}\subset\mathbb{R}\widetilde{X}_n$ and $V_{p,3},V_{p,4}\subset\mathbb{R}\mathcal{N}$, labeled so that when smoothing $\mathbb{R}(\widetilde{X}_n\cup\mathcal{N})$ to $\mathbb{R}\pi^{-1}(t)$ with $t>0$, the components $V_{p,1}$ and $V_{p,3}$ one hand hand, and $V_{p,2}$ and $V_{p,4}$ on the other hand, glue together, see Figure 6a. Denote respectively by $V_{p,1,3}$ and $V_{p,2,4}$ a deformation of $V_{p,1}\cup V_{p,3}$ and $V_{p,2}\cup V_{p,4}$ in $\mathbb{R}\pi^{-1}(t)$ with $t>0$.




a) b)

FIGURE 6. Real deformations of a real map $\overline{f}:\overline{C}\to\widetilde{X}_n\cup\mathcal{N}$

Let us fix a real element $\overline{f}:\overline{C}\to\widetilde{X}_n\cup\mathcal{N}$ of $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\beta}(d,g,\underline{x}(0))$. Given $q\in\overline{f}^{\,-1}(\widetilde{X}_n\cap\mathcal{N})$, denote by $\overline{C}'_q$ the irreducible component of $\overline{C}$ containing $q$ and mapped to $\mathcal{N}$.

Given a pair $\{q,\overline{q}\}$ of conjugated elements in $\overline{f}^{\,-1}(\widetilde{X}_n\cap\mathcal{N})$, define $\mu_{\{q,\overline{q}\}}=1$ if $\overline{f}(\overline{C}'_q)\cap\underline{x}(0)=\emptyset$, and $\mu_{\{q,\overline{q}\}}=\mu_q$ otherwise. Note that $\mu_q=\mu_{\overline{q}}$ so $\mu_{\{q,\overline{q}\}}$ is well defined. Recall that $\overline{f}(\overline{C}'_q)\cap\underline{x}(0)=\emptyset$ implies that $\overline{f}(\overline{C}'_q)$ is a multiple fiber of $\mathcal{N}$. We denote by $\xi_0$ the product of the $\mu_{\{q,\overline{q}\}}$ where $\{q,\overline{q}\}$ ranges over all pairs of conjugated elements in $\overline{f}^{\,-1}(\widetilde{X}_n\cap\mathcal{N})$.

Given $q\in\mathbb{R}\left(\overline{f}^{\,-1}(\widetilde{X}_n\cap\mathcal{N})\right)$, denote by $U_q$ a small neighborhood of $q$ in $\mathbb{R}\overline{C}$. If $\mu_q$ is even, define the integer $\xi_q$ as follows:

- if $\overline{f}(U_q)\subset V_{\overline{f}(q),1}\cup V_{\overline{f}(q),4}$ or $\overline{f}(U_q)\subset V_{\overline{f}(q),2}\cup V_{\overline{f}(q),3}$, then $\xi_q=0$;
- if $\overline{f}(U_q)\subset V_{\overline{f}(q),1}\cup V_{\overline{f}(q),3}$ or $\overline{f}(U_q)\subset V_{\overline{f}(q),2}\cup V_{\overline{f}(q),4}$, then $\xi_q=1$ if $\overline{f}(\overline{C}'_q)\cap\underline{x}(0)=\emptyset$, and $\xi_q=2$ otherwise.

Finally, define $\xi(\overline{f})$ as the product of $\xi_0$ with all the $\xi_q$ where $q$ ranges over all points in $\mathbb{R}\left(\overline{f}^{\,-1}(\widetilde{X}_n\cap\mathcal{N})\right)$ with $\mu_q$ even.

**Proposition 5.8** ([IKS13a, Lemma 17]). *The real map $\overline{f}$ is the limit of exactly $\xi(\overline{f})$ real maps in $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\beta}(d,g,\underline{x}(t))$ with $t>0$. Moreover for each $q\in\mathcal{P}(\overline{f})$, one has*

- *if $\mu_q$ is odd, then any real deformation of $\overline{f}$ has exactly $a$ solitary nodes in both $V_{\overline{f}(q),1,3}$ and $V_{\overline{f}(q),2,4}$, with $a=\frac{\mu_q-1}{2}$ or $a=0$ (see Figure 6b);*

- *if $\mu_q$ is even, then half of the real deformations of $\overline{f}$ have exactly $\frac{\mu_q-2}{2}$ solitary nodes in $V_{\overline{f}(q),1,3}$ and $\frac{\mu_q}{2}$ solitary nodes in $V_{\overline{f}(q),2,4}$, while the other half of real deformations of $\overline{f}$ have no solitary nodes in $V_{\overline{f}(q),1,3} \cup V_{\overline{f}(q),2,4}$ (see Figure 7).*




a)

FIGURE 7. Real deformations of a real map $\overline{f} : \overline{C} \to \widetilde{X}_n \cup \mathcal{N}$, continued

5.2. **Proof of Theorem 3.6.** Theorem 3.6 is proved by a recursive use of Proposition 5.1. The fact that such a recursion is indeed possible is ensured by Corollary 5.4: if $d \neq l[E_i]$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $l \geq 2$, then the same holds for the class realized by the image of any irreducible component of $\overline{C}$.

The union $Y$ of finitely many irreducible algebraic varieties $Y_1, \dots, Y_k$ intersecting transversely is called a *chain* if $Y_i \cap Y_j \neq \emptyset$ only when $|i-j| = 1$. In this case denote by $Z_i^+$ (resp. $Z_i^-$) the intersection $Y_i \cap Y_{i+1}$ viewed as a subvariety of $Y_i$ (resp. $Y_{i+1}$), and write

$$Y = Y_k \ {}_{Z_{k-1}^-}\cup_{Z_{k-1}^+} Y_{k-1} \ {}_{Z_{k-2}^-}\cup_{Z_{k-2}^+} \cdots \ {}_{Z_1^-}\cup_{Z_1^+} Y_1.$$

Assume now that $d \cdot [D] \geq 1$ and $d \cdot [D] - 1 + g + |\beta| \geq 1$, all remaining cases being covered by Proposition 2.3. Recall that we have chosen two non-negative integers $r$ and $s$ such that

$$d \cdot [D] - 1 + g + |\beta| = r + 2s,$$

and that $s > 0$ implies that $g = 0$. By iterating the degeneration process of $\widetilde{X}_n$ described in Section 5.1, we construct a flat morphism $\pi : \mathcal{Z} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that

- $\pi^{-1}(t) = \widetilde{X}_n$ for $t \neq 0$;
- $\pi^{-1}(0)$ is a chain of $X_n$ and $r+s+1$ copies of $\mathcal{N}$:

$$\pi^{-1}(0) = \widetilde{X}_n \ {}_{E}\cup_{E_\infty} \mathcal{N}_{s+r} \ {}_{E_0}\cup_{E_\infty} \mathcal{N}_{s+r-1} \ {}_{E_0}\cup_{E_\infty} \cdots \ {}_{E_0}\cup_{E_\infty} \mathcal{N}_0.$$

Choose $\underline{x}^\circ(t)$ a generic set of $d\cdot[D]-1+g+|\beta|$ holomorphic sections $\mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{Z}$ such that $\underline{x}^\circ(0)$ contains exactly one point (resp. two points) in each $\mathcal{N}_i$ with $i \geq s+1$ (resp. $1 \leq i \leq s$). Choose $\underline{x}_E(t)$ a generic set of $|\alpha|$ holomorphic sections $\mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{Z}$ such that $\underline{x}_E(t) \in E$ for any $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$. In particular $\underline{x}_E(0)$ is contained in the divisor $E_0$ of $\mathcal{N}_0$. Define $\underline{x}(t) = \underline{x}^\circ(t) \sqcup \underline{x}_E(t)$. Let $\overline{f} : \overline{C} \to \pi^{-1}(0)$ be a limit of maps in $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\beta}(d, g, \underline{x}(t))$ as $t$ goes to 0. It follows from Propositions 2.3, 2.8, 5.1, 5.4, and 5.5 that

$$\overline{C} = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k_1} \overline{C}_i \bigcup_{i=k_1+1}^{k_2} \overline{C}_i \bigcup_{i=k_2+1}^{k_3} \overline{C}_i\right)\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{l} \overline{C}'_i \bigcup_{i=l+1}^{l+|\alpha|+|\beta|} \overline{C}'_i\right)\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \bigcup_{j=1}^{l_i} \overline{C}_j^{(i)}\right)$$

where:

(1) the curves $\overline{C}_i$ are pairwise disjoint irreducible rational curves; if $i \leq k_1$ (resp. $k_1+1 \leq i \leq k_2$, $i \geq k_2+1$), then $\overline{f}(\overline{C}_i)$ realizes the class $[E_\infty] + d_{F,i}[F]$ in $\mathcal{N}$ (resp. $[D] - [E_{j_i}]$ in $\widetilde{X}_n$, $[D]$ in $\widetilde{X}_n$);

(2) the curves $\overline{C}_i'$ are pairwise disjoint chains of rational curves, and $\overline{f}(\overline{C}_i')$ is a chain of (equimultiple) fibers of the $\mathcal{N}_j$'s; if $i \leq l$ (resp. $i \geq l+1$), then $\overline{C}_i'$ intersects exactly two (resp. one) curves $\overline{C}_j$;
(3) the curves $\overline{C}_j^{(i)}$ are pairwise disjoint chains of rational curves; exactly one component of $\overline{C}_j^{(i)}$ is mapped (isomorphically) to $E_i$, while all the others are mapped to a chain of (simple) fibers; moreover $\overline{C}_j^{(i)}$ intersects a unique $\overline{C}_a$ with $a \leq k_1$, and does not intersect any curve $\overline{C}_{b'}'$ nor $\overline{C}_b$ with $b \geq k_1+1$.

Note that by Proposition 2.3, we have

$$\overline{f}\left(\overline{C}\right) \cap \mathcal{N}_0 = \overline{f}\left(\bigcup_{i=l+1}^{l+|\alpha|+|\beta|} \overline{C}_i'\right) \cap \mathcal{N}_0.$$

**Lemma 5.9.** *One has*

$$k_3 = d\cdot[D] - 2k_1 - k_2, \quad l_i = k_1 + k_2 - d\cdot[E_i], \quad \textit{and} \quad l = d\cdot[D] - k_1 - 1 + g.$$

*Proof.* By counting intersection points of $\overline{f}(\overline{C})$ with the degeneration in $\widetilde{X}_n \cup \mathcal{N}$ of a generic curve in $[D]$ or $[D]-[E_i]$, we get

$$d\cdot[D] = 2k_1 + k_2 + k_3 \quad \text{and} \quad d\cdot([D]-[E_i]) = l_i + k_1 + k_3,$$

which gives the first two equalities.

Computing the Euler characteristic of $\overline{C}$ in two ways yields

$$2\left(k_1+k_2+k_3+l+l'+\sum_{i=1}^{6} l_i\right) - 2l - l' - \sum_{i=1}^{6} l_i = 2 - 2g + 2l + l' + \sum_{i=1}^{6} l_i,$$

which gives the third equality. ☺

Given $i \leq k_1$, Denote by $a_i$ the integer such that $\overline{f}(\overline{C}_i) \subset \mathcal{N}_{a_i}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $a_i \geq a_j$ if $i > j$. Denote also by $A_{\overline{C}}$ the set composed of couples $(\overline{C}_a, i)$ such that either

$$a \leq k_1 \text{ and } \overline{C}_a \text{ is disjoint from } \bigcup_{j=1}^{l_i} \overline{C}_j^{(i)},$$

or

$$k_1 + 1 \leq a \leq k_2 \text{ and } [\overline{f}(\overline{C}_a)] = [D] - [E_i].$$

Let us construct an oriented weighted graph $\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}}$ as follows:

- the vertices of $\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}}$ are the elements the disjoint union of two sets $Vert^\circ(\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}})$ and $Vert^\infty(\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}})$:
  - Vertices in $Vert^\circ(\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}})$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the curves $\overline{C}_i$, and are denoted by $v_i$.
  - Vertices in $Vert^\infty(\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}})$ are in one-to-one correspondence with elements of $A_{\overline{C}} \cup \{1,\dots,|\alpha|+|\beta|\}$, and are denoted respectively by $v^\infty_{\overline{C}_a,i}$ and $v_i^\infty$.
- edges of $\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}}$ are in one-to-one correspondence with elements of $A_{\overline{C}} \cup \{1,\dots,|\alpha|+|\beta|+l\}$:
  - The edge $e_{\overline{C}_a,i}$ corresponding to $(\overline{C}_a, i) \in A_{\overline{C}}$ is adjacent to the vertices $v^\infty_{\overline{C}_a,i}$ and $v_a$, oriented from the former to the latter. The weight of $e_{\overline{C}_a,i}$ is equal to 1.
  - The edge $e_i$ corresponding to $i \in \{1+l,\dots,|\alpha|+|\beta|+l\}$ is adjacent to the vertices $v^\infty_{i-l}$ and $v_a$, oriented from the former to the latter, where $a$ is such that $\overline{C}_a \cap \overline{C}_i' \neq \emptyset$. The weight of $e_i$ is equal to the degree of the covering $\overline{f}_{|\overline{C}_i'}$.

– The edge $e_i$ corresponding to $i \in \{1, l\}$ is adjacent to the vertices $v_a$ and $v_b$ with $a < b$, oriented from the former to the latter, where $a$ and $b$ are such that $\overline{C}'_i$ intersects $\overline{C}_a$ and $\overline{C}_b$; The weight of $e_i$ is equal to the degree of the covering $\overline{f}_{|\overline{C}'_i}$.

**Lemma 5.10.** *The oriented weighted graph $\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}}$ is a floor diagram of degree $d \cdot [D]$ and genus $g$.*

*Proof.* We only have to compute $b_1(\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}})$ and $\sum_{v \in Vert^\infty(\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}})} div(v)$, the other properties of a floor diagram following immediately from the construction of $\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}}$. We have

$$\sum_{v \in Vert^\infty(\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}})} div(v) = d \cdot [E] + n(k_1 + k_2) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} l_i = d \cdot [E] + \sum_{i=1}^{n} d \cdot [E_i] = 2d \cdot [D].$$

According Lemma 5.9, we have

$$b_1(\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}}) = 1 - |Vert(\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}})| + l = g,$$

and we are done. ☺

The floor diagram $\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}}$ is naturally equipped with a marking. Let $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n$ be some disjoint sets such that $|A_i| = d \cdot [E_i]$ for $i = 1, \dots n$, and $A_0 = \{1, \dots, d \cdot [D] - 1 + g + |\alpha| + |\beta|\}$. Denote by $p_{2s+i}$ with $1 \leq i \leq r$ (resp. $p_{2i-1}$ and $p_{2i}$ with $1 \leq i \leq s$) the point in $\underline{x}^\circ(0) \cap \mathcal{N}_{2s+i}$ (resp the two points in $\underline{x}^\circ(0) \cap \mathcal{N}_i$), and define $A'_0$ to be the union of all pairs $\{2a_i - 1, 2a_i\}$ which are contained in $\overline{f}(\overline{C}_i)$. Denote also $\underline{x}_E(0) = (p_{i,j})_{0 \leq j \leq \alpha_{i,j}, i \geq 1}$.

Define a map $m_{\overline{C}} : (A_0 \setminus A'_0) \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_i \to \mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}}$ as follows:

- for $i = 1, \dots, n$, the restriction of $m_{\overline{C}}$ on $A_i$ is a bijection to the set $\{e_{\overline{C}_a, i} \mid (\overline{C}_a, i) \in A_{\overline{C}}\}$;
- for $i \in A_0 \setminus A'_0$ and $i \geq |\alpha| + 1$, set $m_{\overline{C}}(i) = v_j$ (resp. $e_j$) if $p_i \in \overline{f}(\overline{C}_j)$ (resp. $p_i \in \overline{f}(\overline{C}'_j)$);
- for $0 \leq j \leq \alpha_i$, we set $m_{\overline{C}}\left(\sum_{a=1}^{i-1} \alpha_a + j\right) = v_b^\infty$ if $p_{i,j} \in \overline{f}(\overline{C}'_b)$.

The map $m_{\overline{C}}$ is injective and increasing by construction.

It follows from Propositions 2.8 and 5.1 that $\mathcal{D}' = \mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}} \setminus \left(Im(m_{\overline{C}}) \cup Vert^\infty(\mathcal{D})\right)$ contains as many vertices as edges, and that any element of $\mathcal{D}'$ is adjacent to at least one other element of $\mathcal{D}'$. Suppose that there exist an element $u$ of $\mathcal{D}'$ which is adjacent to only one other element $v$ of $\mathcal{D}'$. Then either $u$ or $v$ corresponds to a component $\overline{C}_i$ of $\overline{C}$. Extend the map $m_{\overline{C}}$ on $\{2a_i - 1, 2a_i\}$ to $\{u, v\}$ in the unique way so that it remains an increasing map. Extend inductively $m_{\overline{C}}$ in this way as long as $\mathcal{D}'$ contains an element adjacent to only one other element of $\mathcal{D}'$.

**Lemma 5.11.** *The resulting map $m_{\overline{C}}$ is a $d$-marking of $\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}}$ of type $(\alpha, \beta)$.*

*Proof.* The only thing to show is that $m_{\overline{C}}$ is surjective. The set $\mathcal{D}'$ still contains as many vertices as edges, and any edge in $\mathcal{D}'$ is adjacent to two vertices in $\mathcal{D}'$. Hence it is either empty or a disjoint collection of loops. Since $g = 0$ if $s > 0$, this latter case cannot occur. ☺

**Remark 5.12.** The above lemma is one of the two places where the assumption that $g = 0$ if $s > 0$ is used. With a little extra-care, one can adapt this construction also in the case where $g > 0$ and $s > 0$.

Given a $d$-marked floor diagram $(\mathcal{D}, m)$ of degree $d \cdot [D]$ and genus $g$, we define $B_{\mathcal{D},m}$ to be the set of edges $e$ of $\mathcal{D}$ which have an adjacent floor $v$ such that $m(\{2i-1, 2i\}) = \{v, e\}$ for some $i = 1, \dots, s$. Note that $B_{\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}}, m_{\overline{C}}} = Edge(\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}}) \cap m(A'_0)$. Theorem 3.6 now follows from the two following lemmas.

**Lemma 5.13.** *The map $\overline{f} \mapsto (\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}}, m_{\overline{C}})$ is surjective from the set $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\beta}(d, g, \underline{x}(0))$ to the set of $d$-marked floor diagram of type $(\alpha, \beta)$, degree $d \cdot [D]$, and genus $g$. More precisely, such a marked floor diagram $(\mathcal{D}, m)$ is the image of exactly $\prod_{e \in B_{\mathcal{D},m}} w(e)$ elements of $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\beta}(d, g, \underline{x}(0))$.*

*Proof.* The number of possibilities to reconstruct $\overline{f}$ out of $(\mathcal{D}, m)$ is given by Propositions 2.3 and 2.8. ☺

**Lemma 5.14.** *A morphism $\overline{f} : \overline{C} \to \pi^{-1}(0)$ is the limit of exactly*

$$\frac{\mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}})}{\prod_{e \in B_{\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}}, m_{\overline{C}}}} w(e)}$$

*elements of $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\beta}(d, g, \underline{x}(t))$ as $t$ goes to 0.*

*Proof.* This follows from Proposition 5.1. ☺

5.3. **Proof of Theorem 3.12.** Now let us suppose that $\widetilde{X}_n$ is equipped with the real structure $\widetilde{X}_n(\kappa)$, and that $g = 0$. The previous degeneration $\mathcal{Z} \to \mathbb{C}$ can obviously be equipped with a real structure such that $\pi^{-1}(t) = \widetilde{X}_n(\kappa)$ if $t \in \mathbb{R}^*$, and $\pi^{-1}(t) = \widetilde{X}_n(\kappa) \cup \mathcal{N}_{s+r} \cup \ldots \cup \mathcal{N}_0$ where $\mathcal{N}$ is equipped with the real structure for which $\pi_E : \mathcal{N} \to E_\infty$ is real. Note that $\mathbb{R}E \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{N} \neq \emptyset$. Choose the configuration $\underline{x}_E(t)$ to be of type $(\alpha^{\Re}, \alpha^{\Im})$ with $\alpha^{\Re} + 2\alpha^{\Im} = \alpha$, the configuration $\underline{x}^\circ(t)$ to be $(E, s)$-compatible for $t \neq 0$, and $\underline{x}(0) \cap \mathcal{N}_i$ to be a pair of complex conjugated points (resp. to be a real point) if $1 \leq i \leq s$ (resp. $i \geq s+1$). There remain two steps to end the proof of Theorem 3.12:

(1) identify which elements of $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\beta}(d, 0, \underline{x}(0))$ are real;
(2) for each such element $\overline{f}$, determine how many real elements of $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\beta}(d, g, \underline{x}(t))$ converge to $\overline{f}$ as $t$ goes to 0, and determine their different real multiplicities.

The first step is straightforward.

**Lemma 5.15.** *An element $\overline{f} : \overline{C} \to \pi^{-1}(0)$ of $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\beta}(d, 0, \underline{x}(0))$ is real if and only if the marked floor diagram $(\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}}, m_{\overline{C}})$ is $(s, \kappa)$-real.*

*In this case $(\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}}, m_{\overline{C}})$ is of type $(\alpha^{\Re}, \beta^{\Re}, \alpha^{\Im}, \beta^{\Im})$ if and only if exactly $\alpha_i^{\Re} + \beta_i^{\Re}$ (resp. $2\alpha_i^{\Im} + 2\beta_i^{\Im}$) irreducible components of $\overline{C}$ are mapped with degree $i$ to a real (resp. non-real) fiber of $\mathcal{N}_0$.*

*Proof.* Suppose that $\overline{f}$ is real. Then the action of the complex conjugation on $\underline{x}(0)$ and on the irreducible components of $\overline{C}$ induces an involution on $\bigcup_{i=0}^n A_i$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}}$ which turns $(\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}}, m_{\overline{C}})$ into a $(s, \kappa)$-real marked floor diagram.

Conversely, if $(\mathcal{D}, m)$ is a $(s, \kappa)$-real marked floor diagram, Propositions 2.3 and 2.8 together with Lemma 2.9 implies that any map $\overline{f}$ in $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\beta}(d, 0, \underline{x}(0))$ such that $(\mathcal{D}, m) = (\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}}, m_{\overline{C}})$ is real.

The last statement follows from the construction of $(\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}}, m_{\overline{C}})$. ☺

Let us fix a real element $\overline{f} : \overline{C} \to \pi^{-1}(0)$ of $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\beta}(d, 0, \underline{x}(0))$, and denote by $(\alpha^{\Re}, \beta^{\Re}, \alpha^{\Im}, \beta^{\Im})$ the type of $(\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}}, m_{\overline{C}})$. The number of nodal pairs of $\overline{f}$ composed of two complex conjugated elements is denoted by $m^\circ(\overline{f})$. Recall that the integer $o_v$ associated to an element $\{v, v'\} \in Vert^{\Im}(\mathcal{D})$ has been defined in Section 3.3.

**Lemma 5.16.** *One has*

$$m^\circ(\overline{f}) = \prod_{\{v,v'\} \in Vert_{\Im}(\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}})} (-1)^{o_v}.$$

*Proof.* If follows from Proposition 2.3, 2.8, and Corollary 5.5 that a nodal pair contributing to $m^\circ(\overline{f})$ contains two points in two conjugated irreducible components of $\overline{C}$. Since two complex conjugated fibers in $\mathcal{N}$ do not intersect, these two components must correspond to two floors in $Vert_{\Im}(\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}})$. For homological reasons $o_v$ has the same parity as the number of nodal pairs contained in these two components and mapped to $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{N} \setminus (E_0 \cup E_\infty)$. ☺

For $t$ a small enough non-null real number, denote respectively by $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{C}_{\overline{f}}(d,0,\underline{x}(t))$ and $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{C}_{\overline{f},L_\varepsilon}(d,0,\underline{x}(t))$ the sets of elements of $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{C}^{\alpha^{\Re},\beta^{\Re},\alpha^{\Im},\beta^{\Im}}(d,0,\underline{x}(t))$ and $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{C}_{L_\varepsilon}^{\alpha^{\Re},\beta^{\Re},\alpha^{\Im},\beta^{\Im}}(d,0,\underline{x}(t))$ which converge to $\overline{f}$ as $t$ goes to 0.

**Lemma 5.17.** *One has*

$$\sum_{f\in\mathbb{R}\mathcal{C}_{\overline{f}}(d,0,\underline{x}(t))}(-1)^{m_{\mathbb{R}\tilde{X}_{n(\kappa)}}(f(C))}=\frac{\mu^{\mathbb{R}}_{r,\kappa}(\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}},m_{\overline{C}})}{\prod_{e\in B_{\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}},m_{\overline{C}}}}w(e)}.$$

*Proof.* This follows from Lemma 5.16, Corollary 5.7, and Proposition 5.8. ☺

Combining Lemmas 5.17 and 5.13, we obtain Theorem 3.12(1).

Let us suppose now that $n=2\kappa$. Recall that $\widetilde{L}_\varepsilon$ denotes the connected component of $\mathbb{R}\widetilde{X}_n(\kappa)\setminus\mathbb{R}E$ with Euler characteristic $\varepsilon$. The surface $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{N}_i\setminus(\mathbb{R}E_0\cup\mathbb{R}E_\infty)$ has two connected components that are denoted by $N_i^{0,1}$ in such a way that $N_i^1$ deforms to the interior of $\mathbb{R}E$ for $t\in\mathbb{R}^*$. Define also $\overline{N}_i^\varepsilon$ to be the topological closure of $N_i^\varepsilon$ in $\mathcal{N}_i$. Note that $\underline{x}^\circ(0)$ deforms to an $(E,s,\widetilde{L}_\varepsilon)$-compatible configuration if and only if $\underline{x}^\circ(0)\subset\bigcup_i N_i^\varepsilon$. In this case $\underline{x}^\circ(0)$ is said to be $(E,s,\widetilde{L}_\varepsilon)$-compatible.

**Lemma 5.18.** *If $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{C}_{\overline{f},L_\varepsilon}(d,0,\underline{x}(t))\neq\emptyset$, then $(\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}},m_{\overline{C}})$ is $\varepsilon$-sided and $\underline{x}^\circ(0)$ is $(E,s,\widetilde{L}_\varepsilon)$-compatible. Moreover one has $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{C}_{\overline{f},L_\varepsilon}(d,0,\underline{x}(t))=\mathbb{R}\mathcal{C}_{\overline{f}}(d,0,\underline{x}(t))$.*

*Proof.* If $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{C}_{\overline{f},L_\varepsilon}(d,0,\underline{x}(t))\neq\emptyset$, then $\overline{f}(\mathbb{R}\overline{C})\subset\widetilde{L}_\varepsilon\bigcup_i\overline{N}_i^\varepsilon$. This implies that $\underline{x}^\circ(0)$ is $(E,s,\widetilde{L}_\varepsilon)$-compatible, and that any edge in $Edge_{\Re}(\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}})$ has even weight. If in addition $\varepsilon=1$, then no curve $\overline{C}_i$ with $i\geq k_1+1$ can be a real component of $\overline{C}$ since the real part of a real line in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ cannot be contained in the interior of a real ellipse. Conversely, if $\overline{f}(\mathbb{R}\overline{C})\subset\widetilde{L}_\varepsilon\bigcup_i\overline{N}_i^\varepsilon$, then any map $f$ in $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{C}_{\overline{f}}(d,0,\underline{x}(t))$ must satisfy $f(\mathbb{R}C)\subset\widetilde{L}_\varepsilon\cup\mathbb{R}E$. ☺

Combining Lemmas 5.18 and 5.17, we obtain the first assertion of Theorem 3.12(2).

From now on, let us assume that $\underline{x}^\circ(0)$ is $(E,s,\widetilde{L}_\varepsilon)$-compatible, and that $\overline{f}:\overline{C}\to\pi^{-1}(0)$ satisfies $\overline{f}(\mathbb{R}\overline{C})\subset\widetilde{L}_\varepsilon\bigcup_i\overline{N}_i^\varepsilon$. Denote by $m_\varepsilon^\circ(\overline{f})$ the number of nodal pairs of $\overline{f}$ composed of two complex conjugated points and mapped to $L_\varepsilon\bigcup_i N_i^\varepsilon$. Consider the three following situations (recall that the involution $\rho_{s,\kappa}$ is defined at the beginning of Section 3.3):

(1) There exists $\{v,v'\}\in Vert_{\Im}(\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}})$ and $i=1,\dots,\kappa$ such that $v$ is adjacent to an edge adjacent to $m(A_{2i-1})$ and $v'$ is not. In this case let $j\in A_{2i-1}$ such that $v$ is adjacent to the edge adjacent to $m_{\overline{C}}(j)$. Since $(\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}},m_{\overline{C}})$ is $(s,\kappa)$-real, the vertex $v'$ is adjacent to the edge adjacent to $m_{\overline{C}}(\rho_{s,\kappa}(j))$.
(2) We are not in the above situation, and $Edge_{\Im}(\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}})\setminus\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n m_{\overline{C}}(A_i)\right)$ contains an edge of odd weight. In this case, since $\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}}$ is a tree, there exists $j\in m_{\overline{C}}^{-1}\left(Edge_{\Im}(\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}})\right)$ such that $m_{\overline{C}}(j)$ is of odd weight and adjacent to a floor of $\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}}$ fixed by $\rho_{s,\kappa}$.
(3) None of the two above situations occur.

**Remark 5.19.** Note that the assumption that $g=0$ whenever $s>0$ appears in case (2). Again, one could adapt the arguments to avoid this assumption, at the cost of some extra work.

In case (3) above, set $\Delta_{\overline{C}}=\{(\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}},m_{\overline{C}})\}$. In the case (1) and (2), define $m'_{\overline{C}}$ to be the marking of $\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}}$ which coincide with $m_{\overline{C}}$ outside $\{j,\rho_{s,\kappa}(j)\}$ and with $m'_{\overline{C}}(j)=m_{\overline{C}}(\rho_{s,\kappa}(j))$ and $m'_{\overline{C}}(\rho_{s,\kappa}(j))=m(j)$. Clearly $(\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}},m'_{\overline{C}})$ is a $(s,\kappa)$-real $d$-marked floor diagram of the same type as $(\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}},m_{\overline{C}})$, and set $\Delta_{\overline{C}}=\{(\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}},m_{\overline{C}}),(\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}},m'_{\overline{C}})\}$. Note that neither $i$ nor $j$ might be unique, however this does not matter in what follows. Recall that the integer $o'_v$ associated to an element $\{v,v'\}\in Vert^{\Im}(\mathcal{D})$ has been defined in Section 3.3.

**Lemma 5.20.** *If $\Delta_{\overline{C}} = \{(\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}}, m_{\overline{C}})\}$, then*

$$m_\varepsilon^\circ(\overline{f}) = (-1)^{\varepsilon|Vert_{\Im,1}(\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}})|} \prod_{\{v,v'\}\in Vert_{\Im,2}(\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}})} (-1)^{o'_v}.$$

*Otherwise let $\overline{f}' : \overline{C}' \to \pi^{-1}(0)$ be a real element of $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\beta}(d,0,\underline{x}(0))$ such that $(\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}'}, m_{\overline{C}'}) = (\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}}, m'_{\overline{C}})$. Then one has*

$$m_\varepsilon^\circ(\overline{f}) + m_\varepsilon^\circ(\overline{f}') = 0.$$

*Proof.* This follows from Lemmas 2.6 and 2.10. ☺

**Corollary 5.21.** *If $\Delta_{\overline{C}} = \{(\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}}, m_{\overline{C}})\}$, then*

$$\sum_{f\in\mathbb{R}\mathcal{C}_{\overline{f},L_\varepsilon}(d,0,\underline{x}(t))} (-1)^{m_{L_\varepsilon}(f(C))} = \frac{\nu_r^{\mathbb{R},\varepsilon}(\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}}, m_{\overline{C}})}{\prod_{e\in B_{\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}}, m_{\overline{C}}}} w(e)}.$$

*Otherwise let $\overline{f}' : \overline{C}' \to \pi^{-1}(0)$ be a real element of $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\beta}(d,0,\underline{x}(0))$ such that $(\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}'}, m_{\overline{C}'}) = (\mathcal{D}_{\overline{C}}, m'_{\overline{C}})$. Then one has*

$$\sum (-1)^{m_{L_\varepsilon}(f(C))} = 0,$$

*where the sum is taken over all elements $f : C \to \widetilde{X}_n$ in $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{C}_{\overline{f},L_\varepsilon}(d,0,\underline{x}(t)) \cup \mathbb{R}\mathcal{C}_{\overline{f}',L_\varepsilon}(d,0,\underline{x}(t))$.*

*Proof.* This follows from Lemmas 2.9 and 5.16, Corollary 5.7, and Propositions 5.8. ☺

Now the second identity in Theorem 3.12(2) follows immediately from a combination of Corollary 5.21 with Lemmas 5.13 and 5.15.

## 6. Absolute invariants of $X_7$

6.1. **Strategy.** In this section, absolute invariants of $X_7$ are expressed in terms of invariants of $\widetilde{X}_8$ by applying Li's degeneration formula to the degeneration of $X_7$ described in next proposition.

**Proposition 6.1.** *There exists a flat degeneration $\pi : \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}} \to \mathbb{C}$ of $X_7$ with $\pi^{-1}(0) = \widetilde{X}_6 \cup \widetilde{X}_2$, where $\widetilde{X}_6 \cap \widetilde{X}_2$ is the distinguished curve $E$ in both $\widetilde{X}_6$ and $\widetilde{X}_2$.*

*Proof.* Start with the classical flat degeneration $\pi_0 : \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_0 \to \mathbb{C}$ of $X_6$ with $\pi^{-1}(0) = \widetilde{X}_6 \cup (\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1)$, where $\widetilde{X}_6 \cap (\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1)$ is the curve $E$ in $\widetilde{X}_6$, and is a hyperplane section in $\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$. The 3-fold $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_0$ can be obtained by blowing up at the point $([0:0:0:1],0)$ the singular hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}P^3 \times \mathbb{C}$ with equation $P_3(x,y,z) + wP_2(x,y,z) + w^3t^2 = 0$, where

- $P_i(x,y,z)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $i$;
- the curves defined in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ by $P_2$ and $P_3$ are smooth and intersect transversely.

Note that the $\widetilde{X}_6$ component of $\pi^{-1}(0)$ is precisely the blow up of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ at the six points in $\{P_2(x,y,z) = 0\} \cap \{P_3(x,y,z) = 0\}$.

Next, choose a holomorphic section $p_0 : \mathbb{C} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_0$ such that $p_0(0) \in (\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1) \setminus \widetilde{X}_6$, and blow up the divisor $p_0(\mathbb{C})$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_0$. The obtained 3-fold $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}$ is a flat degeneration of $X_7$ with the desired properties (recall that $\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ blown up at a point is also $\mathbb{C}P^2$ blown up at two points). ☺

**Remark 6.2.** Although we started with $\mathbb{C}P^2$ blown up in seven points, the degeneration $\pi : \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}} \to \mathbb{C}$ distinguishes eight special points on $E$. Namely, these points are the intersection points of $E$ with a $(-1)$-curve contained in either $\widetilde{X}_6$ or $\widetilde{X}_2$. This explains why absolute invariants of $X_7$ can be expressed in terms of invariants of $\widetilde{X}_8$ rather than those of $\widetilde{X}_7$ (see the proof of Theorem 6.6 for details).

**Remark 6.3.** By choosing suitable real polynomials $P_i(x,y,z)$, one constructs a flat degeneration $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_0$ as above with a real structure such that $\pi^{-1}(t) = X_6(\kappa)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}^*$, and

- $\pi^{-1}(0) = \widetilde{X}_6(\kappa) \cup Q(0)$ if $\kappa \leq 3$;
- $\pi^{-1}(0) = \widetilde{X}_6(\kappa - 1) \cup Q(2)$ if $\kappa \geq 1$;

where $Q(\varepsilon)$ denotes $\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ equipped with the real structure satisfying $\mathbb{R}Q(\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$ and $\chi(\mathbb{R}Q(\varepsilon)) = \varepsilon$.

All the results from this section are obtained by applying Li's degeneration formula and its real counterpart to $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}$ and a set of sections $\underline{x} : \mathbb{C} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}$ satisfying $\underline{x}(0) \subset \widetilde{X}_6 \setminus \widetilde{X}_2$. As mentioned in the introduction, no non-trivial covering appears during this degeneration.

6.2. **Gromov-Witten invariants.** Some additional notation are needed to state Theorem 6.6. Given $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\{a_i\}_{i \in I}$ a finite set of integer numbers, define

$$\binom{a}{\{a_i\}_{i\in I}} = \frac{a!}{(a - \sum_{i\in I} a_i)! \prod_{i \in I} a_i!}.$$

Recall also that $(2l)!! = (2l-1)(2l-3)\dots 1$.

Given a graph $\Gamma$, denote by $\lambda_{v,v'}$ the number of edges between the distinct vertices $v$ and $v'$ of $\Gamma$, by $\lambda_{v,v}$ twice the number of loops of $\Gamma$ based at the vertex $v$, and by $k^\circ_\Gamma$ the number of edges of $\Gamma$.

In this section, we consider curves in $X_7$ and $\widetilde{X}_8$ (and even in $\widetilde{X}_2$ in the proofs of Theorems 6.6 and 6.9). In order to avoid confusions, let us use the following notation: $D$ denotes the pullback of a generic line in both surfaces, and $E_1, \dots E_7$ (resp. $\widetilde{E}_1, \dots \widetilde{E}_8$) denote the $(-1)$-curves coming from the presentation of $X_7$ (resp. $\widetilde{X}_8$) as a blow up of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ (resp. of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ at eight points on a conic). Finally, let $V_8 \subset H_2(\widetilde{X}_8; \mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{0\}$ be the set of effective classes $d \neq l\widetilde{E}_i$ with $l \geq 2$ or $i = 7, 8$.

**Definition 6.4.** *A $X_7$-*graph *is a connected graph $\Gamma$ together with three quantities $d_v \in V_8$, $g_v \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and $\beta_v = \beta_{v,1}u_1 + \beta_{v,2}u_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^\infty_{\geq 0}$ associated to each vertex $v$ of $\Gamma$, such that $I\beta_v = d_v \cdot [E]$.*

*An isomorphism between $\widetilde{X}_7$-graphs is an isomorphism of graphs preserving the three quantities associated to each vertex.*

An $X_7$-graphs is always considered up to isomorphism. Given a $X_7$-graph $\Gamma$, define

$$d_\Gamma = \sum_{v \in Vert(\Gamma)} d_v, \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_\Gamma = \sum_{v \in Vert(\Gamma)} \beta_v.$$

Given $g, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $d \in H_2(X_7; \mathbb{Z})$ such that $d \cdot [D] \geq 1$ (if not the corresponding Gromov-Witten invariants are straightforward to compute), let $\mathcal{S}_7(d, g, k)$ be the set of all pairs $(\Gamma, P_\Gamma)$ where

- $\Gamma$ is a $X_7$-graph such that
$$\sum_{v \in Vert(\Gamma)} g_v + b_1(\Gamma) = g$$
and
$$d = (d_\Gamma \cdot [D] + 2k)[D] - \sum_{i=1}^{6} \left(d_\Gamma \cdot [\widetilde{E}_i] + k\right)[E_i] - \left(k^\circ_\Gamma + \beta_{\Gamma,2} + d_\Gamma \cdot ([\widetilde{E}_7] + [\widetilde{E}_8])\right)[E_7];$$
- $P_\Gamma = \bigcup_{v \in Vert(\Gamma)} U_v$ is a partition of the set $\{1, \dots, c_1(X_7) \cdot d - 1 + g\}$ such that $|U_v| = d_v \cdot [D] - 1 + g_v + |\beta_v|$.

Given $(\Gamma, P_\Gamma) \in \mathcal{S}_7(d, g, k)$, define

$$k^{\circ\circ} = k - \beta_{\Gamma,2} - k^\circ_\Gamma - d_\Gamma \cdot [\widetilde{E}_7].$$

Denote by $\sigma(\Gamma)$ the number of bijections of $Vert(\Gamma)$ to itself which are induced by an automorphism of the graph $\Gamma$. Define the following complex multiplicities for $(\Gamma, P_\Gamma) \in \mathcal{S}_7(d,g,k)$ and $v \in Vert(\Gamma)$:

$$\mu^{\mathbb{C}}(v) = \lambda_{v,v}!! \binom{\beta_{v,1}}{\{\lambda_{v,v'}\}_{v' \in Vert(\Gamma)}} GW^{0,\beta_v}_{\widetilde{X}_8}(d_v, g_v),$$

and

$$\mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\Gamma, P_\Gamma) = \frac{I^{\beta_\Gamma}}{\sigma(\Gamma)} \binom{\beta_{\Gamma,1} - 2k^\circ_\Gamma}{k^{\circ\circ}} \prod_{v \neq v' \in Vert(\Gamma)} \lambda_{v,v'}! \prod_{v \in Vert(\Gamma)} \mu^{\mathbb{C}}(v).$$

Note that given $d$ and $g$, there exists only finitely elements in $\bigcup_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{S}_7(d,g,k)$ with a positive multiplicity. Also given $(\Gamma, P_\Gamma) \in \mathcal{S}_7(d,0,k)$, we have $\lambda_{v,v'} \leq 1$ (resp. $\lambda_{v,v} = 0$) for each pair of distinct vertices (resp. each vertex) of $\Gamma$.

**Example 6.5.** There exists element(s) in $\mathcal{S}_7(2c_1(X_7), 0, k)$ with a positive multiplicity in the following cases:

$\Gamma =$ 


- $k = 1, d_v = 4[D] - \sum_1^8 [\widetilde{E}_i]$:
$$\mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\Gamma, P_\Gamma) = 392;$$
- $k = 2, d_v = 2[D] - a_7[\widetilde{E}_7] - a_8[\widetilde{E}_8]$, with $a_7 + a_8 + \beta_{v,2} = 2$:
$$\sum \mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\Gamma, P_\Gamma) = 34;$$

$\Gamma =$


- $k = 2, d_v = 2[D] - [\widetilde{E}_i] - a_7[\widetilde{E}_7] - a_8[\widetilde{E}_8], d_{v'} = [\widetilde{E}_i]$, with $a_7 + a_8 + \beta_{v,2} = 1$ and $1 \leq i \leq 6$:
$$\sum \mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\Gamma, P_\Gamma) = 72;$$
- $k = 2, d_v = [D] - a_7[\widetilde{E}_7] - a_8[\widetilde{E}_8], d_{v'} = [D]$, with $a_7 + a_8 = 1$:
$$\sum \mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\Gamma, P_\Gamma) = 12;$$

$\Gamma =$ 


- $k = 2, d_v = 2[D] - [\widetilde{E}_i] - [\widetilde{E}_j], d_{v'} = [\widetilde{E}_i], d_{v''} = [\widetilde{E}_j]$, with $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 6$:
$$\sum \mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\Gamma, P_\Gamma) = 30;$$
- $k = 2, d_v = [D], d_{v'} = [\widetilde{E}_i], d_{v''} = [D] - [\widetilde{E}_i]$, with $1 \leq i \leq 6$:
$$\sum \mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\Gamma, P_\Gamma) = 36.$$

To get the above sum of multiplicities, I used Theorem 3.6 and Figure 8 to compute the following numbers:

$$GW_{\widetilde{X}_8}(4[D] - \sum_{i=1}^8 [\widetilde{E}_i], 0) = 392 \quad \text{and} \quad GW^{0,u_1+u_2}_{\widetilde{X}_1}(2[D] - [\widetilde{E}_1], 0) = GW^{0,2u_2}_{\mathbb{C}P^2}(2[D], 0) = 4.$$

Next theorem reduces the computation of $GW_{X_7}$ to the computation of $GW_{\widetilde{X}_8}$.




$\sum \mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D}, m) = 16$

| $s\backslash\kappa,\varepsilon$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |




$\sum \mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D}, m) = 72$

| $s\backslash\kappa,\varepsilon$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 24 | 18 | 12 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |




$\sum \mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D}, m) = 112$

| $s\backslash\kappa,\varepsilon$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 16 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 |



$\sum \mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D}, m) = 56$

| $s\backslash\kappa,\varepsilon$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 56 | 26 | 12 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 56 | 26 | 12 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

$\sum \mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D}, m) = 16$

| $s\backslash\kappa,\varepsilon$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |

$\sum \mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D}, m) = 64$

| $s\backslash\kappa,\varepsilon$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

$\sum \mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D}, m) = 56$

| $s\backslash\kappa,\varepsilon$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 56 | 30 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 56 | 30 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

$\mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D}, m) = 4$

| $s\backslash\kappa,\varepsilon$ | | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | $\beta_2^{\Re} = 2$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 1 | $\beta_2^{\Im} = 1$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |

$\mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D}, m) = 4$

| $s\backslash\kappa,\varepsilon$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

$\mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D}, m) = 1$

| $s\backslash\kappa,\varepsilon$ | | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | $\beta_1^{\Re} = 2$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | $\beta_1^{\Im} = 1$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

FIGURE 8. Marked floor diagrams of genus 0 and degree 4 and 2 used in Example 6.5

**Theorem 6.6.** *Let $g \geq 0$ and $d \in H_2(X_7; \mathbb{Z})$ such that $d \cdot [D] \geq 1$. Then one has*

$$GW_{X_7}(d, g) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{(\Gamma, P_\Gamma) \in \mathcal{S}_7(d,g,k)} \mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\Gamma, P_\Gamma).$$

*Proof.* The proof follows the lines of Section 5.1. Let $E = \widetilde{X}_6 \cap \widetilde{X}_2$, and $\widetilde{E}_1'$ and $\widetilde{E}_2'$ be the two $(-1)$-curves in $\widetilde{X}_2$ intersecting $E$. Denote respectively by $p_7$ and $p_8$ the corresponding intersection

points. Let $T_1,\dots,T_6$ be the six rational curves in $\widetilde{X}_2$ such that $T_i^2 = T_i\cdot\widetilde{E}_2' = 0$, and such that $T_i$ passes through $\widetilde{E}_i\cap E$. Denote also by $\widetilde{E}_7'$ the $(-1)$-curve arising from the blown up of $\mathbb{C}P^1\times\mathbb{C}P^1$ at the point $p_0(0)$ (alternatively, $\widetilde{E}_7'$ is the $(-1)$-curve in $\widetilde{X}_2$ which does not intersect $E$). We may further assume that we chose $\widetilde{E}_1'$ and $E_1,\dots,E_7$ such that the seven curves $\widetilde{E}_1\cup T_1,\dots\widetilde{E}_6\cup T_6$, and $\widetilde{E}_7'$ in $\pi^{-1}(0)$ respectively deform to $E_1,\dots,E_7$ in $X_7$.

Let us choose $\underline{x}(t)$ a generic set of $c_1(X_7)\cdot d-1+g$ sections $\mathbb{C}\to\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}$ such that $\underline{x}(0)\subset\widetilde{X}_6\setminus\widetilde{X}_2$. For each $t\neq 0$, we denote by $\mathcal{C}(d,g,\underline{x}(t))$ the set of maps $f:C\to X_7$ with $C$ an irreducible curves of geometric genus $g$, such that $f(C)$ realizes the class $d$ in $H_2(X_7;\mathbb{Z})$, and contains all points in $\underline{x}(t)$. We denote by $\mathcal{C}(d,g,\underline{x}(0))$ the set of limits, as $t$ goes to 0, of elements $\mathcal{C}(d,g,\underline{x}(t))$.

Exactly as in the proof of Proposition 5.1, we have that the set $\mathcal{C}(d,g,\underline{x}(0))$ is finite, and that its cardinal does not depend on $\underline{x}(0)$ as long as this latter is generic. We also deduce that if $\overline{f}:\overline{C}\to\pi^{-1}(0)$ is an element of $\mathcal{C}(d,g,\underline{x}(0))$, and $p$ and $p'$ are two points on $\overline{C}$ with the same image on $E$, then $\{\overline{f}(p)\}=E\cap\widetilde{E}_i$ or $\{\overline{f}(p)\}=E\cap\widetilde{E}_i'$. Denote by $\overline{C}_{\widetilde{X}_6}$ the union of irreducible components of $\overline{C}$ mapped to $\widetilde{X}_6$, and by $\overline{C}_{\widetilde{X}_2}$ the union of those mapped to $\widetilde{X}_2$.

The same proof as for Corollary 5.4 combined with Proposition 2.1 yields that the restriction of $\overline{f}$ on each irreducible component of $\overline{C}$ is birational onto its image. Proposition 2.3 applied to curves in $\widetilde{X}_2$ gives that if $\overline{C}'$ is an irreducible component of $\overline{C}_{\widetilde{X}_2}$, then one of the four following situations occurs:

(1) $\overline{f}(\overline{C}')$ realizes the class $[D]$, and intersect $E$ in two points determined by $\overline{f}(\overline{C}_{\widetilde{X}_6})$, distinct from $p_7$ and $p_8$;
(2) $\overline{f}(\overline{C}')$ realizes the class $[D]$, and is tangent to $E$ at a point determined by $\overline{f}(\overline{C}_{\widetilde{X}_6})$, distinct from $p_7$ and $p_8$;
(3) $\overline{f}(\overline{C}')$ realizes the class $[D]-[\widetilde{E}_i']$, $i=1,2$, and intersects $E$ in a point determined by $\overline{f}(\overline{C}_{\widetilde{X}_6})$, distinct from $p_7$ and $p_8$;
(4) $\overline{f}(\overline{C}')$ realizes the class $[\widetilde{E}_i']$, $i=1,2$.

Let $a$ be the number of components in cases (1) and (2), let $b$ be the number of components in case (3) with $i=1$, let $c$ be the number of components in case (4) with $i=1$, and let $d_{\widetilde{X}_6}$ be the homology class realized by $\overline{f}(\overline{C}_{\widetilde{X}_6})$ in $H_2(\widetilde{X}_6;\mathbb{Z})$. Then one has

$$d=\Big(d_{\widetilde{X}_6}\cdot[D]-2(a+b+c)\Big)[D]-\sum_{i=1}^{6}\Big(d_{\widetilde{X}_6}\cdot[\widetilde{E}_i]+a+b+c\Big)[E_i]+(a+c)[E_7].$$

Let us construct an $X_7$-graph $\Gamma_{\overline{f}}$ out of an element $\overline{f}$ of $\mathcal{C}(d,g,\underline{x}(0))$ as follows:

- vertices $v$ of $\Gamma_{\overline{f}}$ are in one-to-one correspondence with irreducible components $\overline{C}_v$ of $\overline{C}_{\widetilde{X}_6}$; the quantities $d_v$, $g_v$, and $\beta_v$ record respectively the homology class realized by $\overline{f}(\overline{C}_v)$ in $\widetilde{X}_6$ blown up at $p_7$ and $p_8$, the genus of $\overline{C}_v$, and the intersections of the strict transforms of $\overline{f}(\overline{C}_v)$ and $E$ in $\widetilde{X}_8$;
- edges of $\Gamma_{\overline{f}}$ are in one-to-one correspondence with irreducible components of $\overline{C}_{\widetilde{X}_2}$ in case (1) above; each such component $\overline{C}'$ correspond to an edge between $v$ and $v'$, where $\overline{C}_v$ and $\overline{C}_{v'}$ are the components of $\overline{C}_{\widetilde{X}_6}$ intersecting $\overline{C}'$ (note that we may have $v=v'$).

If $\underline{x}(0)=\{p_1,\dots,p_{c_1(X_7)\cdot d-1+g}\}$, then define $U_v\subset\{1,\dots,c_1(X_7)\cdot d-1+g\}$ for $v\in Vert(\Gamma_{\overline{f}})$ as the set corresponding to points in $\underline{x}(0)$ contained in $\overline{f}(\overline{C}_v)$. Note that $|U_v|=[D]\cdot d_v-1+g_v+[\beta_v|$ by the same arguments as in the proof of Proposition 5.1. Finally denote by $P_{\overline{f}}$ the partition of $\{1,\dots,c_1(X_7)\cdot d-1+g\}$ defined by the sets $U_v$, where $v$ ranges over all vertices of $\Gamma$.

It follows from the above arguments that $(\Gamma_{\overline{f}}, P_{\overline{f}})$ is an element of $\mathcal{S}_7(d, g, a+b+c)$. The theorem now follows from the fact that for any $(\Gamma, P_\Gamma) \in \mathcal{S}_7(d, g, a+b+c)$, the multiplicity $\mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\Gamma, P_\Gamma)$ is precisely the number of elements of $\mathcal{C}(d, g, \underline{x}(t))$ converging, as $t$ goes to 0, to an element $\overline{f}$ in $\mathcal{C}(d, g, \underline{x}(0))$ with $(\Gamma_{\overline{f}}, P_{\overline{f}}) = (\Gamma, P_\Gamma)$. ☺

**Remark 6.7.** I consider the degeneration $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}$ having in mind the enumeration of real curves, see Section 6.3. If one is only interested in the computation of Gromov-Witten invariants of $X_7$, then it is probably simpler to consider the degeneration of $X_7$ to $\widetilde{X}_7 \cup \widetilde{X}_1$, the resulting formula being the same. In this perspective, Theorem 6.6 is then analogous to [BM13, Theorem 2.9, Example 2.11].

**Example 6.8.** Thanks to Theorem 6.6 and Example 6.5, one verifies that

$$GW_{X_7}(2c_1(X_7), 0) = 576.$$

Performing analogous computations in genus up to 3, we obtain the value listed in Table 5. The value in the rational case has been first computed by Göttsche and Pandharipande in [GP98, Section 5.2]. The cases of higher genus have been first treated in [SS13]. The value $GW_{X_7}(2c_1(X_7), 1) = 204$

| $g$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| $GW_{X_7}(2c_1(X_7), g)$ | 576 | 204 | 26 | 1 |

TABLE 5. $GW_{X_7}(2c_1(X_7), g)$

corrects the incorrect value announced in [SS13, Example 3.2].

6.3. **Welschinger invariants.** Denote by $X_7(\kappa)$ with $\kappa = 0, \dots, 3$, and by $X_7^{\pm}(4)$ the surface $X_7$ equipped with the real structure such that:

$$\mathbb{R}X_7(\kappa) = \mathbb{R}P^2_{7-2\kappa}, \quad \mathbb{R}X_7^-(4) = \mathbb{R}P^2 \sqcup \mathbb{R}P^2, \quad \mathbb{R}X_7^+(4) = S^2 \sqcup \mathbb{R}P^2_1.$$

Recall that these are all real structures on $X_7$ with a non-orientable real part, and represent half of the possible real structures on $X_7$. Note that

$$\chi(\mathbb{R}X_7^{\pm}(\kappa)) = -6 + 2\kappa.$$

For $\kappa = 0, \dots, 3$, define the two involutions $\tau_\kappa^0$ and $\tau_\kappa^1$ on $H_2(\widetilde{X}_8; \mathbb{Z})$ as follows: $\tau_\kappa^0$ (resp. $\tau_\kappa^1$) fixes the elements $[D]$ and $[\widetilde{E}_i]$ with $i \in \{2\kappa+1, \dots, 8\}$ (resp. $i \in \{2\kappa+1, \dots, 6\}$), and exchanges the elements $[\widetilde{E}_{2i-1}]$ and $[\widetilde{E}_{2i}]$ with $i \in \{1, \dots, \kappa\}$ (resp. $i \in \{1, \dots, \kappa, 4\}$). These two involutions take into account that for each real structure on $\widetilde{X}_6$, there are two possible real structures on $\widetilde{X}_2$, depending on the real structure on $\pi^{-1}(0)$.

From now on, let us fix $g = 0$, an integer $\kappa \in \{0, \dots, 3\}$, and a class $d \in H_2(X_7; \mathbb{Z})$. Set $\zeta = c_1(X_7) \cdot d - 1$ and $A = \{1, \dots, \zeta\}$, and choose two integer $r, s \geq 0$ such that $\zeta = r + 2s$. Define the involution $\rho_s$ on $A$ as follows: $\rho_{s|\{2i-1,2i\}}$ is the non-trivial transposition for $1 \leq i \leq s$, and $\rho_{s|\{2s+1,\zeta\}} = Id$.

Given $\varepsilon \in \{0, 1\}$, denote by $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{S}_7^{\varepsilon}(d, k, s, \kappa)$ the set of triples $(\Gamma, P_\Gamma, \tau)$ where

- $(\Gamma, P_\Gamma) \in \mathcal{S}_7(d, 0, k)$;
- $\tau : \Gamma \to \Gamma$ is an involution such that for any vertex $v$ of $\Gamma$, one has $\beta_v = \beta_{\tau(v)}$, $d_{\tau(v)} = \tau_\kappa^{\varepsilon}(d)$, and $\rho_s(U_v) = U_{\tau(v)}$;
- to each vertex $v$ fixed by $\tau$ is associated a decomposition $\beta_v = \beta_v^{\Re} + 2\beta_v^{\Im}$ with $\beta_v^{\Re}, \beta_v^{\Im} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\infty}_{\geq 0}$.

Given $(\Gamma, P_\Gamma, \tau) \in \mathbb{R}\mathcal{S}_7^\varepsilon(d,k,s,\kappa)$, denote by $\sigma(\Gamma,\tau)$ the number of bijections of $Vert(\Gamma)$ to itself which are induced by an automorphism of the graph $\Gamma$ commuting with $\tau$. Note that $\tau = Id$ if $s = 0$. Denote also by $Vert_\Im(\Gamma)$ (resp. $k_\Gamma^{\circ,\Im}$) the set of pairs of vertices (resp. the number of pairs of edges) exchanged by $\tau$, and by $Vert_\Re(\Gamma)$ (resp. $k_\Gamma^{\circ,\Re}$) the set of vertices (resp. the number of edges) fixed by $\tau$. Next, define

$$\beta_\Gamma^\Re = \sum_{v\in Vert_\Re(\Gamma)} \beta_v^\Re, \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_\Gamma^\Im = \sum_{v\in Vert_\Re(\Gamma)} \beta_v^\Im + \sum_{\{v,v'\}\in Vert_\Im(\Gamma)} \beta_v.$$

Let us associate different real multiplicities to elements of $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{S}_7^\varepsilon(d,k,\kappa)$, acounting all possible smoothings of $\mathbb{R}\pi^{-1}(0)$.

Given $\{v,v'\} \in Vert_\Im(\Gamma)$, define

$$\mu^\mathbb{R}(\{v,v'\}) = (-1)^{d_v\cdot d_{v'}} \binom{\beta_{v,1}}{\{\lambda_{v,v''}\}_{v''\in Vert(\Gamma)}} GW^{0,\beta_v}_{\widetilde{X}_8(\kappa)}(d_v,0).$$

Let $v \in Vert_\Re(\Gamma)$. Denote respectively by $r_v$ and $s_v$ the number of points in $U_v$ fixed by $\rho_s$ and the number of pairs of points in $U_v$ exchanged by $\rho_s$. By definition we have $|U_v| = r_v + 2s_v$. Denote also by $k_v^{\circ,\Im}$ the number of pairs of edges of $\Gamma$ adjacent to $v$ and exchanged by $\tau$. Define

$$\mu^{\mathbb{R},\varepsilon}_{s,\kappa}(v) = 2^{k_v^{\circ,\Im}} \binom{\beta^\Re_{v,1}}{\{\lambda_{v,v'}\}_{v'\in Vert_\Re(\Gamma)}} \binom{\beta^\Im_{v,1}}{\{\lambda_{v,v'}\}_{\{v',v''\}\in Vert_\Im(\Gamma)}} FW^{0,\beta_v^\Re,0,\beta_v^\Im}_{\widetilde{X}_8(\kappa+\varepsilon)}(d_v^\varepsilon, s_v),$$

where $d_v^0 = d_v$, and $d_v^1$ is obtained from $d_v$ by exchanging[3] the coefficients of $\widetilde{E}_{2\kappa-1}$ and $\widetilde{E}_7$, and $\widetilde{E}_{2\kappa}$ and $\widetilde{E}_8$. Define also

$$\eta^\mathbb{R}_{s,\varepsilon}(v) = 2^{k_v^{\circ,\Im}} \binom{\beta^\Re_{v,1}}{\{\lambda_{v,v'}\}_{v'\in Vert_\Re(\Gamma)}} \binom{\beta^\Im_{v,1}}{\{\lambda_{v,v'}\}_{\{v',v''\}\in Vert^\Im(\Gamma)}} FW^{0,\beta_v^\Re,0,\beta_v^\Im}_{\widetilde{X}_8(4),\varepsilon}(d_v, s_v),$$

and

$$\nu^\mathbb{R}_{s,\varepsilon}(v) = FW^{0,\beta_v^\Re,0,\beta_v^\Im}_{\widetilde{X}_8(4),\varepsilon,\varepsilon}(d_v, s_v).$$

Let $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{S}^0_{7,m}(d,k,s,\kappa)$ be the subset of $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{S}^0_7(d,k,s,\kappa)$ formed by elements with $\beta^\Re_{\Gamma,2} = 0$. Given $(\Gamma, P_\Gamma, \tau) \in \mathbb{R}\mathcal{S}^0_{7,m}(d,k,s,\kappa)$, define the following multiplicity:

$$\mu^{\mathbb{R},0}_{s,\kappa}(\Gamma,P_\Gamma,\tau) = \frac{(-1)^{k_\Gamma^{\circ,\Im}+\beta^\Im_{\Gamma,2}}\ I^{\beta^\Im_\Gamma}}{\sigma(\Gamma,\tau)} \prod_{v\in Vert_\Re(\Gamma)} \mu^{\mathbb{R},0}_{s,\kappa}(v) \prod_{\{v,v'\}\in Vert_\Im(\Gamma)} \mu^\mathbb{R}(\{v,v'\})\times$$

$$\times \sum_{k^{\circ\circ}=r'+2s'} \binom{\beta^\Re_{\Gamma,1}-2k_\Gamma^{\circ,\Re}}{r'} \binom{\beta^\Im_{\Gamma,1}-2k_\Gamma^{\circ,\Im}}{s'}.$$

Let $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{S}^1_{7,m}(d,k,s,\kappa)$ be the subset of $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{S}^1_7(d,k,s,\kappa)$ formed by elements with $\beta^\Re_\Gamma = 2k_\Gamma^{\circ,\Re}u_1$ and $k^{\circ\circ} = \beta^\Im_{\Gamma,1} - 2k_\Gamma^{\circ,\Im}$. Given $(\Gamma,P_\Gamma,\tau) \in \mathbb{R}\mathcal{S}^1_{7,m}(d,k,s,\kappa)$, define the following multiplicity

$$\mu^{\mathbb{R},1}_{s,\kappa}(\Gamma,P_\Gamma,\tau) = \frac{(-1)^{k_\Gamma^{\circ,\Im}}\ (-2)^{|\beta^\Im_\Gamma|-2k_\Gamma^{\circ,\Im}}}{\sigma(\Gamma,\tau)} \prod_{v\in Vert_\Re(\Gamma)} \mu^{\mathbb{R},1}_{s,\kappa}(v) \prod_{\{v,v'\}\in Vert_\Im(\Gamma)} \mu^\mathbb{R}(\{v,v'\}).$$

[3]This additional complication is purely formal and comes from the convention used to define the numbers $FW$ in section 3.3.

Note that $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{S}^1_{7,m}(d,k,s,3)$ is composed of elements with $\beta^{\Re}_{\Gamma}=k^{\circ,\Re}_{\Gamma}=0$. Given $(\Gamma,P_\Gamma,\tau)\in\mathbb{R}\mathcal{S}^1_{7,m}(d,k,s,3)$ and $\varepsilon\in\{0,1\}$, define the following multiplicity

$$\eta^{\mathbb{R}}_{s,\varepsilon}(\Gamma,P_\Gamma,\tau)=\frac{(-1)^{k^{\circ,\Im}_\Gamma}\ (-2)^{|\beta^{\Im}_\Gamma|-2k^{\circ,\Im}_\Gamma}}{\sigma(\Gamma,\tau)}\prod_{v\in Vert_{\Re}(\Gamma)}\eta^{\mathbb{R}}_{s,\varepsilon}(v)\prod_{\{v,v'\}\in Vert_{\Im}(\Gamma)}\mu^{\mathbb{R}}(\{v,v'\}).$$

Let $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{S}^1_{7,2}(d,k,s,3)$ (resp. $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{S}^1_{7,3}(d,k,s,3)$) be the subset of $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{S}^1_7(d,k,s,3)$ formed by elements with $k^\circ_\Gamma=\beta_{\Gamma,1}=0$ (resp. $k^\circ_\Gamma=\beta_{\Gamma,1}=\beta^{\Re}_{\Gamma,2}=0$). Note that any element of $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{S}^1_{7,2}(d,k,s,3)$ or $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{S}^1_{7,3}(d,k,s,3)$ has a single vertex.

In the following theorem, the connected component of $\mathbb{R}X^+_7(4)$ with Euler characteristic $\varepsilon$ is denoted by $L_\varepsilon$.

**Theorem 6.9.** *Let $d\in H_2(X_7;\mathbb{Z})$ such that $d\cdot[D]\geq 1$, and $r,s\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $c_1(X_7)\cdot d-1=r+2s$. Then one has*

$$W_{X_7(\kappa)}(d,s)=\sum_{k\geq 0}\sum_{(\Gamma,P_\Gamma,\tau)\in\mathbb{R}\mathcal{S}^0_{7,m}(d,k,s,\kappa)}\mu^{\mathbb{R},0}_{s,\kappa}(\Gamma,P_\Gamma,\tau)\quad \textit{if } \kappa\in\{0,\dots,3\},$$

$$W_{X_7(\kappa+1)}(d,s)=\sum_{k\geq 0}\sum_{(\Gamma,P_\Gamma,\tau)\in\mathbb{R}\mathcal{S}^1_{7,m}(d,k,s,\kappa)}\mu^{\mathbb{R},1}_{s,\kappa}(\Gamma,P_\Gamma,\tau)\quad \textit{if } \kappa\in\{0,\dots,2\},$$

$$W_{X^-_7(4),\mathbb{R}P^2,\mathbb{R}X^-_7(4)}(d,s)=\sum_{k\geq 0}\sum_{(\Gamma,P_\Gamma,\tau)\in\mathbb{R}\mathcal{S}^1_{7,m}(d,k,s,3)}\eta^{\mathbb{R}}_{s,\varepsilon}(\Gamma,P_\Gamma,\tau)\quad\forall\varepsilon\in\{0,1\},$$

$$W_{X^+_7(4),L_{2\varepsilon},\mathbb{R}X^+_7(4)}(d,s)=\sum_{k\geq 0}\sum_{(\Gamma,P_\Gamma,\tau)\in\mathbb{R}\mathcal{S}^1_{7,m}(d,k,s,3)}\eta^{\mathbb{R}}_{s,\varepsilon}(\Gamma,P_\Gamma,\tau)\quad\forall\varepsilon\in\{0,1\},$$

$$W_{X^-_7(4),L_1,L_1}(d,s)=\sum_{k\geq 0}\sum_{(\Gamma,P_\Gamma,\tau)\in\mathbb{R}\mathcal{S}^1_{7,2}(d,k,s,3)}2^{\beta^{\Re}_{\Gamma,2}+\beta^{\Im}_{\Gamma,2}}\nu^{\mathbb{R}}_{s,1}(v),$$

$$W_{X^-_7(4),L_1,L_1}(d,s)=\sum_{k\geq 0}\sum_{(\Gamma,P_\Gamma,\tau)\in\mathbb{R}\mathcal{S}^1_{7,3}(d,k,s,3)}(-2)^{\beta^{\Im}_{\Gamma 2}}\nu^{\mathbb{R}}_{s,0}(v),$$

$$W_{X^+_7(4),L_0,L_0}(d,s)=\sum_{k\geq 0}\sum_{(\Gamma,P_\Gamma,\tau)\in\mathbb{R}\mathcal{S}^1_{7,2}(d,k,s,3)}2^{\beta^{\Re}_{\Gamma,2}+\beta^{\Im}_{\Gamma,2}}\nu^{\mathbb{R}}_{s,0}(v),$$

$$W_{X^+_7(4),L_2,L_2}(d,s)=\sum_{k\geq 0}\sum_{(\Gamma,P_\Gamma,\tau)\in\mathbb{R}\mathcal{S}^1_{7,3}(d,k,s,3)}(-2)^{\beta^{\Im}_{\Gamma 2}}\nu^{\mathbb{R}}_{s,1}(v).$$

*Proof.* We use the notations introduced in Section 6.1, and in the proof of Theorem 6.6. Denote by $\widetilde{L}'_\varepsilon$ the connected component of $\mathbb{R}\widetilde{X}_2(\kappa)\setminus\mathbb{R}E$ with Euler characteristic $\varepsilon$. In what follows, by a real structure on $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}$, I mean a real structure turning $\pi:\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}\to\mathbb{C}$ into a real map.

According to Remark 6.3, there exists a flat degeneration $\pi:\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}\to\mathbb{C}$ of $X_7$ as in Proposition 6.1 endowed with a real structure such that one of the following holds:

- $\mathbb{R}\pi^{-1}(0)=\widetilde{X}_6(\kappa)\cup\widetilde{X}_2(0)$ with $0\leq\kappa\leq 3$; in this case the two points $p_7$ and $p_8$ are real, and $\mathbb{R}\pi^{-1}(t)=X_7(\kappa)$ for $t\neq 0$.
- $\mathbb{R}\pi^{-1}(0)=\widetilde{X}_6(\kappa)\cup\widetilde{X}_2(1)$ with $0\leq\kappa\leq 2$; in this case the two points $p_7$ and $p_8$ are complex conjugated, and $\mathbb{R}\pi^{-1}(t)=X_7(\kappa+1)$ for $t\neq 0$.
- $\mathbb{R}\pi^{-1}(0)=\widetilde{X}_6(3)\cup\widetilde{X}_2(1)$ and the component $\widetilde{L}'_\varepsilon$ of $\widetilde{X}_2(1)$ is glued to the component $\widetilde{L}_0$ of $\widetilde{X}_6(3)$ in the smoothing of $\mathbb{R}\pi^{-1}(0)$; in this case the two points $p_7$ and $p_8$ are complex conjugated, and for $t\neq 0$ one has $\mathbb{R}\pi^{-1}(t)=X^-_7(4)$ if $\varepsilon=1$, and $\mathbb{R}\pi^{-1}(t)=X^+_7(4)$ if $\varepsilon=0$.

Now choose the configuration $\underline{x}(t)$ to be real, with $r$ real points and $s$ pairs of complex conjugated points, and such that $\underline{x}(0)$ is a real configuration whose existence is attested by Theorem 3.12. Let $\overline{f} : \overline{C} \to \pi^{-1}(0)$ be a real element of $\mathcal{C}(d, 0, \underline{x}(t))$. If $\mathbb{R}\pi^{-1}(0) = \widetilde{X}_6(\kappa) \cup \widetilde{X}_2(\varepsilon)$, then the complex conjugation induces an involution $\tau_{\overline{f}}$ on $(\Gamma_{\overline{f}}, P_{\overline{f}})$ such that $(\Gamma_{\overline{f}}, P_{\overline{f}}, \tau_{\overline{f}}) \in \mathbb{R}\mathcal{S}_7^{\varepsilon}(d, k, s, \kappa)$.

Let $(\Gamma, P_\Gamma, \tau) \in \mathbb{R}\mathcal{S}_7^{\varepsilon}(d, k, s, \kappa)$, and let $D(t)$ be the set of real elements in $\mathcal{C}(d, g, \underline{x}(t))$ converging, as $t$ goes to 0, to a real element $\overline{f}$ in $\mathcal{C}(d, g, \underline{x}(0))$ with $(\Gamma_{\overline{f}}, P_{\overline{f}}, \tau_{\overline{f}}) = (\Gamma, P_\Gamma, \tau)$. It remains us to compute the total contribution to the various Welschinger invariants of all elements of $D(t)$. To do so, we just note that, exactly as in Corollary 5.7, a nodal pair of any deformation in $\mathcal{C}(d, 0, \underline{x}(t))$ of $\overline{f}$ is either a deformation of a nodal pair of $\overline{f}$ not mapped to $E$, or is contained in the deformation of a small neighborhood of a point in $\overline{f}^{-1}\left(E \setminus \left(\widetilde{E}'_1 \cup \widetilde{E}'_2 \cup_{i=1}^{6} \widetilde{E}_i\right)\right)$.

For the first four identities of the theorem, the only thing to compute is the parity of the number $a_{\overline{f}}$ of nodal pairs not mapped to $E$ and contained in two complex conjugated irreducible components of $\overline{C}$. Let $\overline{C}_v$ and $\overline{C}_{v'}$ be two such complex conjugated irreducible components of $\overline{C}$. Suppose that $\overline{f}(\overline{C}_v)$ is not a real $(-1)$-curve, and passes $b$ times through $\mathbb{R}E \cap \left(\widetilde{E}'_1 \cup \widetilde{E}'_2 \cup_{i=1}^{6} \widetilde{E}_i\right)$, then the contribution of $\overline{C}_v$ and $\overline{C}_{v'}$ to $a_{\overline{f}}$ is equal to $d_v \cdot d_{v'} - b$ modulo two. Note that to any real intersection point of $\overline{f}(\overline{C}_v)$ with $E \cap \left(\widetilde{E}'_1 \cup \widetilde{E}'_2 \cup_{i=1}^{6} \widetilde{E}_i\right)$ will correspond a pair of complex conjugated irreducible components $\overline{C}_w$ and $\overline{C}_{w'}$ of $\overline{C}$ such that $\overline{C}_w \cap \overline{C}_v \neq \emptyset$ and $\overline{f}(\overline{C}_w) = f(\overline{C}_{w'}) = \widetilde{E}_i$ or $\widetilde{E}'_i$. Moreover if $\overline{C}_v \subset \overline{C}_{\widetilde{X}_2}$, then $d_v \cdot d_{v'} = 1$ if $d_v = [D]$, and $d_v \cdot d_{v'} = 0$ if $d_v = [D] - [\widetilde{E}_i]$. Altogether we obtain

$$a_{\overline{f}} = \sum_{\{v,v'\} \in Vert_{\Im}(\Gamma)} d_v \cdot d_{v'} + k_\Gamma^{\circ,\Im} + \beta_{\Gamma_2}^{\Im} \mod 2$$

which only depends on $(\Gamma_{\overline{f}}, P_{\overline{f}}, \tau_{\overline{f}})$. Now the first four identities of Theorem 6.9 follow from Proposition 5.8.

Suppose now that $\mathbb{R}\pi^{-1}(t) = X_7^{\pm}(4)$. Clearly if $k^{\circ,\Re} \neq 0$, then $D(t) = \emptyset$. Next, if $k^{\circ,\Im} \neq 0$, Lemma 2.6 implies that the total contribution to $W_{X_7^{\pm}(4), L_\varepsilon, L_\varepsilon}(d, s)$ of elements of $D(t)$ is equal to 0. To end the proof of Theorem 6.9, it remains to notice that in $\widetilde{X}_2(1)$, the real part of the line tangent to $E$ at a real point is contained in $\widetilde{L}'_0 \cup \mathbb{R}E$, and to use one more time Lemma 2.6. ☺

Theorem 6.9 has the following corollaries.

**Corollary 6.10.** *For any $d \in H_2(X_7; \mathbb{Z})$, one has*

$$W_{X_7^+(4), L_0, L_0}(d, 0) \geq W_{X_7^+(4), L_2, L_2}(d, 0) \geq 0.$$

*Moreover both invariant are divisible by $4^{\left[\frac{d \cdot [D]}{2}\right] - \min(d \cdot E_i) - 1}$, as well as $W_{X_7^-(4), \mathbb{R}P^2, \mathbb{R}P^2}(d, 0)$.*

*Proof.* By choosing $E_7$ such that $d \cdot E_7 = \min(d \cdot E_i)$, we obtain $k \leq \min(d \cdot E_i)$, and so $d_\Gamma \cdot [D] \geq d \cdot [D] - 2\min(d \cdot E_i)$. The rest of the proof is similar to that for Corollary 4.4. ☺

The non-negativity of Welschinger invariants of $X_7$ when $s = 0$ has been first established in [IKS13a].

**Corollary 6.11.** *For any $d \in H_2(X_7; \mathbb{Z})$, one has*

$$W_{X_7^+(4), L_0, \mathbb{R}X_7^+(4)}(d, s) = W_{X_7^+(4), L_2, \mathbb{R}X_7^+(4)}(d, s) = W_{X_7^-(4), \mathbb{R}P^2, \mathbb{R}X_7^-(4)}(d, s).$$

*Moreover this number equals 0 as soon as $r \geq 2$.*

*Proof.* The only thing to prove is the last assertion of the corollary. Since $k_\Gamma^{\circ,\Re}=0$ and $\Gamma$ is a tree, there exists a unique vertex in $Vert_\Re(\Gamma)$. Now the corollary follows from Theorem 6.9 and Lemma 3.11 ☺

**Example 6.12.** Theorem 6.9 together with Example 6.5 implies that Welschinger invariants of the real surfaces $X_7^\pm(\kappa)$ are the one listed in Table 6. The invariants $W_{X_7(\kappa)}(2c_1(X_7),s)$ have been first

| $s\backslash\kappa$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4− | 4+ $L=\mathbb{R}P^2_1$ | 4+ $L=S^2$ |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 224 | 128 | 64 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 132 | 68 | 28 | 4 | -12 | -12 | -12 |

$W_{X_7^\pm(\kappa),L,\mathbb{R}X_7^\pm(\kappa)}(2c_1(X_7),s)$

| $s$ | |
|---|---|
| 0 | 32 |
| 1 | 12 |

$W_{X_7^-(4),\mathbb{R}P^2,\mathbb{R}P^2}(2c_1(X_7),s)$

| $s\backslash\varepsilon$ | 0 | 2 |
|---|---|---|
| 0 | 48 | 16 |
| 1 | 20 | 4 |

$W_{X_7^+(4),L_\varepsilon,L_\varepsilon}(2c_1(X_7),s)$

TABLE 6. Welschinger invariants of $X_7$ for the class $2c_1(X_7)$

computed in [HS12]. In addition to the present text, the invariants $W_{X_7^+(4),L_\varepsilon,L_\varepsilon}(2c_1(X_7),0)$ and $W_{X_7^-(4),\mathbb{R}P^2,\mathbb{R}P^2}(2c_1(X_7),0)$ have also been computed in [IKS13a].

To get the above sum of multiplicities, I used Theorem 3.6 and Figure 8 to compute the numbers $FW^{0,0,0,0}(4[D]-\sum_{i=1}^8[E_i],s)$ listed in Table 7. As in Example 3.14, we have $FW^{0,0,0,0}_{\widetilde{X}_8(4)}(4[D]-\sum_{i=1}^8[E_i],s)=FW^{0,0,0,0}_{\widetilde{X}_8(4),\varepsilon}(4[D]-\sum_{i=1}^8[E_i],s)$.

| $s\backslash\kappa,\varepsilon$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 120 | 62 | 28 | 10 | 0 | 32 | 16 |
| 1 | 136 | 74 | 36 | 14 | 0 | 16 | 8 |

TABLE 7. $FW^{0,0,0,0}_{\widetilde{X}_8(\kappa)}(4[D]-\sum_{i=1}^8[E_i],s)$ and and $FW^{0,0,0,0}_{\widetilde{X}_8(\kappa),\varepsilon,\varepsilon}(4[D]-\sum_{i=1}^8[E_i],s)$

**Remark 6.13.** The invariant $W_{(X,c),L,L'}(d,s)$ is said to be *sharp* if there exists a real configuration $\underline{x}$ with $s$ pairs of complex conjugated points such that $|\mathbb{R}\mathcal{C}(d,0,\underline{x})|=|W_{(X,c),L,L'}(d,s)|$. It follows from the above computations that $W_{X_7^+(4),L_0,\mathbb{R}X_7^+(4)}(2c_1(X_7),1)$ is not sharp. This shows that [Wel07, Theorem 1.1] does not extend to all real structures on $X_7$ (see Section 8.1). The invariant $W_{X_7^-(4),\mathbb{R}P^2,\mathbb{R}X_7^-(4)}(2c_1(X_7),1)$ is sharp, see Section 8.1.

## 7. Absolute invariants of $X_8$

7.1. **Strategy.** Let us start with the degeneration $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}$ of $X_7$ considered in Section 6.1. Choose an additional generic holomorphic section $p_0':\mathbb{C}\to\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}$ such that $p_0'(0)\in\widetilde{X}_6\setminus\widetilde{X}_2$, and denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}$ the blow up $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}$ along the divisor $p_0'(\mathbb{C})$. The map $\pi:\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}\to\mathbb{C}$ naturally extends to a flat map $\pi:\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}\to\mathbb{C}$,

which is a degeneration of $X_8$ to $\pi^{-1}(0) = \widetilde{X}_{8,1} \cup \widetilde{X}_2$. Recall that $\widetilde{X}_{n,1}$ denotes $\mathbb{C}P^2$ blown-up at $n$ points lying on a conic, and at one additional point outside the conic.

Exactly as Gromov-Witten and Welschinger invariants of $X_7$ haven been computed by enumerating curves in $\widetilde{X}_8$, Gromov-Witten and Welschinger invariants of $X_8$ are reduced here to enumeration of curves in $\widetilde{X}_{8,1}$. This enumeration is performed in [SS13] in the case of complex curves, and in [IKS13a] in the case of real curves passing through a configuration of real points in $CH$ position. The important properties of such type of configurations are summarized in Proposition 7.4.

Although I do not see any obstruction to enumerate real and complex curves in $\widetilde{X}_{8,1}$ using the floor diagrams techniques, I chose not to do it for the sake of shortness. I refer instead to [SS13, IKS13a] for details. Hence I compute here Welschinger invariants only for configurations of real points. For the same shortness reason, I decided to restrict to standard real structures on $\widetilde{X}_{8,1}$. In particular, with some additional work one should be able to generalize Theorem 7.5 to compute $W_{(X_8,c),L,L'}(d,s)$ for $s>0$ and more real structures on $X_8$.

7.2. **Gromov-Witten invariants.** I use here notations introduced in Section 6, with the following adjustment in a choice of basis for $H_2(X_8;\mathbb{Z})$ and $H_2(\widetilde{X}_{8,1};\mathbb{Z})$: $E_1,\dots E_8$ (resp. $\widetilde{E}_1,\dots \widetilde{E}_9$) denote the $(-1)$-curves coming from the presentation of $X_8$ (resp. $\widetilde{X}_{8,1}$) as a blow up of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ (resp. of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ at eight points lying on a conic and one point outside this conic, $\widetilde{E}_9$ being the $(-1)$-curve corresponding to this latter point). Let us also denote by $V_{8,1} \subset H_2(\widetilde{X}_{8,1};\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{0\}$ the set of effective classes $d$ such that $d_v \neq l[\widetilde{E}_i]$ with $l \geq 2$ or $i = 7,8$, and $d_v \neq l([D] - [\widetilde{E}_9])$ with $l \geq 3$.

**Definition 7.1.** *A $X_8$-graph is a connected graph $\Gamma$ together with three quantities $d_v \in V_{8,1}$, $g_v \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and $\beta_v = \beta_{v,1}u_1 + \beta_{v,2}u_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^{\infty}_{\geq 0}$ associated to each vertex $v$ of $\Gamma$ such that $I\beta_v = d_v \cdot [E]$.*

*An isomorphism between $X_8$-graphs is an isomorphism of graphs preserving the three quantities associated to each vertex.*

An $X_8$-graphs is always considered up to isomorphism. Given $g,k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $d \in H_2(X_8;\mathbb{Z})$ such that $d \cdot [D] \geq 1$, let $\mathcal{S}_8(d,g,k)$ be the set of all pairs $(\Gamma, P_\Gamma)$ where

- $\Gamma$ is a $X_8$-graph such that
$$\sum_{v \in Vert(\Gamma)} g_v + b_1(\Gamma) = g$$
and
$$d = (d_\Gamma \cdot [D] + 2k)[D] - \sum_{i=1}^{6} \left(d_\Gamma \cdot [\widetilde{E}_i] + k\right)[E_i] - \left(k^\circ_\Gamma + \beta_{\Gamma,2} + d_\Gamma \cdot ([\widetilde{E}_7] + [\widetilde{E}_8])\right)[E_7] - (d_\Gamma \cdot [\widetilde{E}_9])[E_8];$$
- $P_\Gamma = \bigcup_{v \in Vert(\Gamma)} U_v$ is a partition of the set $\{1,\dots,c_1(X_8)\cdot d - 1 + g\}$ such that $|U_v| = d_v \cdot [D] - 1 + g_v + |\beta_v|$.

Given $(\Gamma, P_\Gamma) \in \mathcal{S}_8(d,g,k)$ and $v \in Vert(\Gamma)$, define the complex multiplicities
$$\mu^{\mathbb{C}}(v) = \lambda_{v,v}!! \binom{\beta_{v,1}}{\{\lambda_{v,v'}\}_{v' \in Vert(\Gamma)}} GW^{0,\beta_v}_{\widetilde{X}_{8,1}}(d_v, g_v),$$
and
$$\mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\Gamma, P_\Gamma) = \frac{I^{\beta_\Gamma}}{\sigma(\Gamma)} \binom{\beta_{\Gamma,1} - 2k^\circ_\Gamma}{k^{\circ\circ}} \prod_{v \neq v' \in Vert(\Gamma)} \lambda_{v,v'}! \prod_{v \in Vert(\Gamma)} \mu^{\mathbb{C}}(v).$$

Next theorem reduces the computation of the numbers $GW_{X_8}(d,g)$ to the computation of the numbers $GW_{\widetilde{X}_{8,1}}(d,g)$.

**Theorem 7.2.** *Let $g \geq 0$ and $d \in H_2(X_8;\mathbb{Z})$ such that $d \cdot [D] \geq 1$. Then one has*

$$GW_{X_8}(d,g) = \sum_{k\geq 0} \sum_{(\Gamma,P_\Gamma)\in\mathcal{S}_8(d,g,k)} \mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\Gamma,P_\Gamma).$$

*Proof.* The proof is word by word the proof of Theorem 6.6, using [SS13, Propositions 2.1 and 2.5] applied to $\widetilde{X}_{8,1}$ instead of Propositions 2.1 and 2.3. ☺

**Example 7.3.** Using Theorem 7.2 one computes

$$GW_{X_8}(2c_1(X_8),0) = 90.$$

Analogous computations in genus up to 2 provide the values listed in Table 8. The rational case has been first computed in [GP98, Section 5.2]. The computation of $GW_{X_8}(2c_1(X_8),0)$ can be detailed

| $g$ | 0 | 1 | 2 |
|---|---|---|---|
| $GW_{X_8}(2c_1(X_8),g)$ | 90 | 18 | 1 |

TABLE 8. $GW_{X_8}(2c_1(X_8),g)$

as follows. There exists element(s) in $\mathcal{S}_8(2c_1(X_8),0,k)$ with a positive multiplicity in the following cases:

$\Gamma =$ 


- $k=1, d_v = 4[D] - \sum_1^8[\widetilde{E}_i] - 2[\widetilde{E}_9]$:
$$\mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\Gamma,P_\Gamma) = 70;$$
- $k=2, d_v = 2[D] - 2[\widetilde{E}_9]$, $\beta^{\mathbb{C}}_{v,2} = 2$:
$$\sum \mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\Gamma,P_\Gamma) = 4;$$

$\Gamma =$ 


- $k=2, d_v = [D] - a_7[\widetilde{E}_7] - a_8[\widetilde{E}_8] - [\widetilde{E}_9], d_{v'} = [D] - [\widetilde{E}_9]$, with $a_7 + a_8 = 1$:
$$\sum \mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\Gamma,P_\Gamma) = 4;$$

$\Gamma =$ 


- $k=2, d_v = [D] - [\widetilde{E}_9], d_{v'} = [\widetilde{E}_i], d_{v''} = [D] - [\widetilde{E}_i] - [\widetilde{E}_9]$, with $1 \leq i \leq 6$:
$$\sum \mu^{\mathbb{C}}(\Gamma,P_\Gamma) = 12.$$

Only the first above multiplicity is not trivial to compute, and I used [SS13, Theorem 2.1] to get

$$GW^{0,0}_{\widetilde{X}_{8,1}}(4[D] - \sum_{i=1}^{8}[\widetilde{E}_i] - 2[\widetilde{E}_9], 0) = 70.$$

7.3. **Welschinger invariants.** Denote by $X_8(\kappa)$ with $\kappa = 0, \dots, 3$, and $X_8^{\pm}(4)$ the surface $X_8$ equipped with the real structure such that

$$\mathbb{R}X_8(\kappa) = \mathbb{R}P^2_{8-2\kappa}, \quad \mathbb{R}X_8^-(4) = \mathbb{R}P^2_1 \sqcup \mathbb{R}P^2, \quad \mathbb{R}X_8^+(4) = S^2 \sqcup \mathbb{R}P^2_2.$$

These real structures on $X_8$ represent 6 of the 11 deformation classes of real Del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1. Note that

$$\chi(\mathbb{R}X_8^{\pm}(\kappa)) = -7 + 2\kappa.$$

For $\kappa = 0, \dots, 3$, define two involutions $\tau_\kappa^0$ and $\tau_\kappa^1$ on $H_2(\widetilde{X}_{8,1}; \mathbb{Z})$ as follows: $\tau_\kappa^0$ (resp. $\tau_\kappa^1$) fixes the elements $[D]$, $[\widetilde{E}_9]$, and $[\widetilde{E}_i]$ with $i \in \{2\kappa+1, \dots, 8\}$ (resp. $i \in \{2\kappa+1, \dots, 6\}$), and exchanges the elements $[\widetilde{E}_{2i-1}]$ and $[\widetilde{E}_{2i}]$ with $i \in \{1, \dots, \kappa\}$ (resp. $i \in \{1, \dots, \kappa, 4\}$). Denote by $\widetilde{X}_{8,1}(\kappa)$ the surface $\widetilde{X}_{8,1}$ equipped with the real structure induced by the blowing up of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ at $\kappa$ pairs of complex conjugated points on a conic $E$, $8-2\kappa$ real points on $\mathbb{R}E$, and a real point in the exterior of $\mathbb{R}E$. Given $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$, the connected component of $\mathbb{R}\widetilde{X}_{8,1}(4) \setminus \mathbb{R}E$ with Euler characteristic $\varepsilon$ is denoted $\overline{L}_\varepsilon$.

Let $\kappa = 0, \dots, 4$, and let $L$ be a connected component of $\mathbb{R}\widetilde{X}_{8,1}(\kappa) \setminus E$. Given $d \in V_{8,1} \setminus \{2([D] - [\widetilde{E}_9])\}$ and $\underline{x}$ a generic configuration of $d \cdot c_1(\widetilde{X}_{8,1}) - 1$ points in $L$, denote by $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{C}_{8,1}(d, \kappa, \underline{x})$ the set of rational real curves in $\widetilde{X}_{8,1}(\kappa)$, realizing the class $d$ and containing $\underline{x}$. Denote by $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{C}_{8,1,L}(d, \kappa, \underline{x})$ the subset of $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{C}_{8,1}(d, \kappa, \underline{x})$ consisting of curves whose real part, except maybe their solitary nodes, is contained in $L \cup \mathbb{R}E$. Define

$$W_{\widetilde{X}_{8,1}(\kappa)}(d, \underline{x}) = \sum_{C \in \mathbb{R}\mathcal{C}_{8,1}(d, \underline{x})} (-1)^{m_{\mathbb{R}\widetilde{X}_{8,1}(\kappa)}(C)}, \quad \text{and} \quad W_{\widetilde{X}_{8,1}(4),L}(d, \underline{x}) = \sum_{C \in \mathbb{R}\mathcal{C}_{8,1,L}(d, \underline{x})} (-1)^{m_{\mathbb{R}\widetilde{X}_{8,1}(4)}(C)}.$$

**Proposition 7.4.** *Let $\kappa = 0, \dots, 4$, and $L$ a connected component of $\mathbb{R}\widetilde{X}_{8,1}(\kappa) \setminus E$. Then for any $\zeta_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, there exists a generic configuration $\underline{x}$ of $\zeta_0$ points in $L$ with the following property: for any $d \in V_{8,1} \setminus \{2(D - E_0)\}$ and any subset $\underline{x}'$ of $\underline{x}$ such that $|\underline{x}'| = d \cdot c_1(\widetilde{X}_{8,1}) - 1$, one has*

- *$W_{\widetilde{X}_{8,1}(\kappa)}(d, \underline{x}') \geq 0$ and $W_{\widetilde{X}_{8,1}(4),L}(d, \underline{x}') \geq 0$;*
- *given any curve $C \in \mathbb{R}\mathcal{C}_{8,1}(d, \kappa, \underline{x}')$, all intersection points of $C$ and $E$ are transverse and real.*

*Moreover once $d$ and $L$ are chosen, the numbers $W_{\widetilde{X}_{8,1}(\kappa)}(d, \underline{x}')$ and $W_{\widetilde{X}_{8,1}(4),L}(d, \underline{x}')$ do not depend on the choice of $\underline{x}'$.*

*Proof.* The proof is entirely analogous to the proof of [IKS13a, Theorem 3]. ☺

Let us choose once for all $d \in H_2(X_8; \mathbb{Z})$ such that $[D] \cdot d \geq 1$, and a configuration $\underline{x}$ of $d \cdot c_1(X_8) - 1$ points in $L$ whose existence is attested by Proposition 7.4. In particular when $\underline{x}' \subset \underline{x}$, it is safe to use the shorter notation $W_{\widetilde{X}_{8,1}(\kappa)}(d)$ and $W_{\widetilde{X}_{8,1}(4),L}(d)$ instead of $W_{\widetilde{X}_{8,1}(\kappa)}(d, \underline{x}')$ and $W_{\widetilde{X}_{8,1}(4),L}(d, \underline{x}')$.

Let $\varepsilon \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\kappa = 0, \dots, 3$, and denote by $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{S}_8^\varepsilon(d, k, \kappa)$ the set of couples $(\Gamma, P_\Gamma) \in \mathcal{S}_8(d, 0, k)$ such that $d_v = \tau_\kappa^\varepsilon(d_v)$ and $\beta_v = \beta_{v,1} u_1$ for any $v \in Vert(\Gamma)$. Given $(\Gamma, P_\Gamma) \in \mathbb{R}\mathcal{S}_8^\varepsilon(d, k, \kappa)$ and $v \in Vert(\Gamma)$, define

$$\mu_\kappa^{\mathbb{R},\varepsilon}(v) = \binom{\beta_{v,1}}{\{\lambda_{v,v'}\}_{v' \in Vert(\Gamma)}} W_{\widetilde{X}_{8,1}(\kappa+\varepsilon)}(d_v^\varepsilon),$$

where $d_v^0 = d_v$, and $d_v^1$ is obtained from $d_v$ by exchanging the coefficients of $E_{2\kappa-1}$ and $E_7$, and $E_{2\kappa}$ and $E_8$, and

$$\eta_\varepsilon^{\mathbb{R}}(v) = \binom{\beta_{v,1}}{\{\lambda_{v,v'}\}_{v' \in Vert(\Gamma)}} W_{\widetilde{X}_{8,1}(4),\widetilde{L}_{2\varepsilon-1}}(d_v).$$

Given $(\Gamma, P_\Gamma) \in \mathbb{R}\mathcal{S}_8^0(d,k,\kappa)$, define the following multiplicity

$$\mu_\kappa^{\mathbb{R},0}(\Gamma, P_\Gamma) = \frac{1}{\sigma(\Gamma)} \binom{\beta_{\Gamma,1} - 2k_\Gamma^\circ}{k^{\circ\circ}} \prod_{v \in Vert(\Gamma)} \mu_\kappa^{\mathbb{R},0}(v).$$

Let $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{S}_{8,m}^1(d,k,\kappa)$ be the subset of $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{S}_8^1(d,k,\kappa)$ formed by elements with $\beta_\Gamma = k^\circ u_1$ and $k^{\circ\circ} = 0$. Given $(\Gamma, P_\Gamma) \in \mathbb{R}\mathcal{S}_{8,m}^1(d,k,\kappa)$, define the following multiplicity

$$\mu_\kappa^{\mathbb{R},1}(\Gamma, P_\Gamma) = \frac{1}{\sigma(\Gamma)} \prod_{v \in Vert(\Gamma)} \mu_{s,\kappa}^{\mathbb{R},1}(v).$$

Note that $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{S}_{8,m}^1(d,k,4)$ is composed of graphs which are reduced to a vertex. As usual, $L_\varepsilon$ denotes the connected component of $\mathbb{R}X_8^\pm(4)$ with Euler characteristic $\varepsilon$.

**Theorem 7.5.** *Given $d \in H_2(X_8;\mathbb{Z})$ with $d \cdot [D] \geq 1$, one has*

$$W_{X_8(\kappa)}(d,0) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{(\Gamma,P_\Gamma) \in \mathbb{R}\mathcal{S}_8^0(d,k,\kappa)} \mu_\kappa^{\mathbb{R},0}(\Gamma, P_\Gamma) \quad \text{if } \kappa \leq 3,$$

$$W_{X_8(\kappa+1)}(d,0) = \sum_{k \geq u0} \sum_{(\Gamma,P_\Gamma) \in \mathbb{R}\mathcal{S}_{8,m}^1(d,k,\kappa)} \mu_\kappa^{\mathbb{R},1}(\Gamma, P_\Gamma) \quad \text{if } \kappa \leq 2,$$

$$W_{X_8^-(4),L_\varepsilon}(d,0) = W_{X_8^+(4),L_{3\varepsilon-1}}(d,0) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{(\Gamma,P_\Gamma) \in \mathbb{R}\mathcal{S}_{8,m}^1(d,k,3)} \eta_\varepsilon^{\mathbb{R}}(v) \quad \forall \varepsilon \in \{0,1\}.$$

*Proof.* The proof is entirely analogous to the proof of Theorem 6.9, while Proposition 7.4 ensures that all involutions $\tau$ are trivial. ☺

Theorems 7.2 and 7.5 have the following usual corollaries.

**Corollary 7.6.** *For any $d \in H_2(X_8;\mathbb{Z})$ and $\kappa \in \{0,\dots,3\}$, one has*

$$W_{X_8(\kappa)}(d,0) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad W_{X_8^\pm(4),L_\varepsilon,\mathbb{R}X_8^\pm(4)}(d,0) \geq 0.$$

**Corollary 7.7.** *For any $d \in H_2(X_8;\mathbb{Z})$ one has*

$$W_{X_8(0)}(d,0) = GW_{X_8}(d,0) \mod 4.$$

*Proof.* Thanks to Theorems 7.2 and 7.5, we are left to prove that there exists $\underline{x}$ is as in Proposition 7.4 such that $W_{\widetilde{X}_{8,1}(0)}(d') = GW_{X_8}(d',0) \mod 4$ for all $d' \in H_2(\widetilde{X}_{8,1};\mathbb{Z})$ such that $d' \cdot c_1(\widetilde{X}_{8,1}) - 1 \leq d \cdot c_1(X_8) - 1$. One can construct such a configuration exactly as in [IKS13a, Theorem 3, see proof of Theorem 10]. ☺

**Corollary 7.8.** *For any $d \in H_2(X_8;\mathbb{Z})$, one has*

$$W_{X_8^\pm(4),L,\mathbb{R}X_8^\pm(4)}(d,s) = 0$$

*as soon as $r \geq 2$.*

**Example 7.9.** Using Theorem 7.5, one computes the Welschinger invariants of $X_8^\pm(\kappa)$ listed in Table 9. The invariants $W_{X_8(\kappa)}(2c_1(X_8),0)$ with $\kappa \leq 3$ have been first computed by Horev and Solomon in [HS12]. To get the above numbers, I used the method of [IKS13a, Theorem 3] to find configurations $\underline{x}$ as in Proposition 7.4, and obtained the values of $W_{\widetilde{X}_{8,1}(\kappa),L}(4[D] - \sum_{i=1}^8 [\widetilde{E}_i] - 2[\widetilde{E}_9])$ listed in Table 10[4].

[4]In the published version of this paper, the number $W_{\widetilde{X}_{8,1}(4),\overline{L}_1}(4[D] - \sum_{i=1}^8 [\widetilde{E}_i] - 2[\widetilde{E}_9])$ is erroneously claimed to be equal to 4.

| $\kappa$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4− $L=\mathbb{R}P^2_1$ | 4− $L=\mathbb{R}P^2$ | 4+ $L=\mathbb{R}P^2_2$ | 4+ $L=S^2$ |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $W_{X_8^\pm(\kappa),L,\mathbb{R}X_8^\pm(\kappa)}(2c_1(X_8),0)$ | 46 | 30 | 18 | 10 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |

TABLE 9. Welschinger invariants of $X_8$ for the class $2c_1(X_8)$

| $\kappa$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 $L=\overline{L}_{-1}$ | 4 $L=\overline{L}_1$ |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $FW$ | 30 | 18 | 10 | 6 | 6 | 6 |

TABLE 10. $W_{\widetilde{X}_{8,1}(\kappa),L}(4[D]-\sum_{i=1}^8[\widetilde{E}_i]-2[\widetilde{E}_9],\underline{x})$

## 8. Concluding remarks

8.1. **Floor diagrams relative to a conic with empty real part.** Recall that the invariant $W_{(X,c),L,L'}(d,s)$ is said to be sharp if there exists a real configuration $\underline{x}$ with $s$ pairs of complex conjugated points such that $|\mathbb{R}\mathcal{C}(d,0,\underline{x})|=|W_{(X,c),L,L'}(d,s)|$. When $r=0$ or 1, Welschinger proved in [Wel07] the sharpness of $W_{(X,c),L}(d,s)$ when $L$ is homeomorphic to either $T^2$, $S^2$, or $\mathbb{R}P^2$, with the additional assumption that $(X,c)=X_{2\kappa}(\kappa)$ with $\kappa\leq 3$ in the latter case. In the case of $\mathbb{C}P^2$, one possible way to prove this result is by degenerating $\mathbb{C}P^2$ into the union of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ and the normal bundle of a real conic with an empty real part.

The methods exposed in this paper adapt without any problem to the case when $r=0$ or 1 and $E$ has an empty real part. In particular, adaptations of Theorems 3.12 and 6.9 in this case allow one to extend [Wel07, Theorem 1.1] to the real surface $X_7^-(4)$.

**Proposition 8.1.** *Let $d\in H_2(X_7;\mathbb{Z})$, $r\in\{0,1\}$, and $s\geq 0$ such that $c_1(X_7)\cdot d-1=r+2s$. Then $W_{X_7^-(4),\mathbb{R}P^2,\mathbb{R}X_7^-(4)}(d,s)$ is sharp and has the same sign as $(-1)^{\frac{d^2-c_1(X_7)\cdot d+2}{2}}$.*

Recently, Kollár proved in [Kol14] the optimality of some real enumerative invariants of projective spaces of any dimension, by specializing the constraints to a real quadric with an empty real part. It could be interesting to try to generalize Kollár's examples, and to tackle the optimality problem of the invariants defined in [Wel05b, GZ13] via floor diagrams relative to a quadric in $\mathbb{C}P^n$.

8.2. **Other Welschinger invariants of $X_8$.** Since this paper is already rather long, I restricted in Section 7 to the case $s=0$ and to standard real structures on $\widetilde{X}_{8,1}$. However I do not see any obstruction other than technical to extend Section 7 to the enumeration of real curves in $\widetilde{X}_{8,1}$ for arbitrary $r,s$ and any real structure on $\widetilde{X}_{8,1}$. In particular Theorem 7.5 should generalize to Welschinger invariant of $X_8$ for almost all, if not all, real structures. The standard methods from [IKS13b, IKS13a, BM08] should also apply here to study logarithmic asymptotic of Welschinger invariants.

The method of this paper should also apply to compute the invariants recently defined in [Shu14].

8.3. **Sign of Welschinger invariants.** The sign of Welschinger invariants seem to obey to some mysterious rule related to the topology of the real part of the ambient manifold. The present work together with [Wel07], [IKS09], [IKS13b], [BP13], and [BP14] explicit this rule in a few cases, namely when $L=T^2$, or $S^2$ and $r=0,1$, when $X=X_8$ and $s$ is very small, or when $L$ intersects a real Lagrangian sphere in a single point and $r=1$. In the particular case of Del Pezzo surfaces, floor diagrams relative to a conic, with either empty or non-empty real part, provide a unified way to

prove this rule when either $r$ or $s$ is small. Unfortunately, the rule controlling the sign of Welschinger invariants in its full generality still remains mysterious.

As an example, I describe how the signs of Welschinger invariants of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ seem to behave: as $r$ goes from $3d-1$ to 0 or 1, the numbers $W_{\mathbb{C}P^2}(d,s)$ are first positive, and starting from some mysterious threshold, have an alternating sign. This observation has been made experimentally using Solomon's real version of WDVV equations [Sol] for $\mathbb{C}P^2$.

8.4. **Relation with tropical Welschinger invariants and refined Severi degrees.** Invariance of Gromov-Witten and Welschinger invariants combined with Theorems 4.1, 4.3, 6.6, 6.9, 7.2, and 7.5 provide non-trivial relations among marked floor diagrams counted with their various multiplicities. It is not obvious to me how those relations follow from a purely combinatorial study of marked floor diagrams.

Denote by $W_{\widetilde{X}_n(\kappa)}^{\alpha^{\Re},\beta^{\Re},\alpha^{\Im},\beta^{\Im}}(d,g,s,\underline{x})$ the straightforward generalization to any genus of the numbers $W_{\widetilde{X}_n(\kappa)}^{\alpha^{\Re},\beta^{\Re},\alpha^{\Im},\beta^{\Im}}(d,s,\underline{x})$ defined in Section 2.3. In the case when $s=0$, all definitions from Section 3.3 also make sense for positive genus, and Theorem 3.12 still holds (the proof is exactly the same, see Remarks 5.12 and 5.19). If $\underline{x}^\circ$ is a configuration of real points in $\mathbb{R}\widetilde{X}_n(\kappa)$ as in the proof of Theorems 3.6 and 3.12, then one sees easily from the proof of Theorem 3.12 that the numbers $W_{\widetilde{X}_n(\kappa)}^{0,\beta_1^{\Re}u_1,0,\beta_1^{\Im}u_1}(d,g,0,\underline{x}^\circ\sqcup\underline{x}_E)$ do not depend on the position in each copy of $\mathcal{N}$ of the points in $\underline{x}^\circ$.

More surprisingly, the numbers $W_{\widetilde{X}_n(\kappa)}^{0,(d\cdot E)u_1,0,0}(d,g,0,\underline{x}^\circ\sqcup\underline{x}_E)$ I computed on a few examples, with $\underline{x}^\circ$ as in the proof of Theorems 3.6 and 3.12, also satisfy relations analogous to Theorems 4.3, 6.9, and 7.5 for positive genus. Furthermore in the case of $X_3$, the numbers I obtained in this way are the corresponding tropical Welschinger invariants (see [IKS09] for a definition). This observation is certainly in favor of the existence of a more conceptual definition and signification of those tropical Welschinger invariants. Up to my knowledge, only some tropical Welschinger invariants of the second Hirzebruch surface yet found such an interpretation in [BP13, BP14], where they are shown to correspond to genuine Welschinger invariants of the quadric ellipsoid.

Tropical Welschinger invariants are also related to *refined Severi degrees* [GS13, Blo12, BG14, IM13]. Still in the case $s=0$, it would have been possible to define and compute analogous polynomials interpolating between real and complex multiplicities of marked floor diagrams relative to a conic. Unfortunately, no relations, even conjectural, are known yet between refined Severi degrees and Welschinger invariants when $s>0$. Since I was interested here in the computation of those latter for any values of $s$ and $r$, I chose not to develop the refined Severi degree aspect of my computations.

## References


[AB] F. Ardila and E. Brugallé. Piecewise polynomiality of double Gromov-Witten invariants of Hirzebruch surfaces. In preparation.

[AB13] F. Ardila and F. Block. Universal polynomials for Severi degrees of toric surfaces. *Adv. Math.*, 237:165–193, 2013.

[ABLdM11] A. Arroyo, E. Brugallé, and L. López de Medrano. Recursive formula for Welschinger invariants. *Int Math Res Notices*, 5:1107–1134, 2011.

[BG14] F. Block and L. Göttsche. Refined curve counting with tropical geometry. arXiv:1407.2901, 2014.

[Blo11] F. Block. Relative node polynomials for plane curves. In *23rd International Conference on Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics (FPSAC 2011)*, Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci. Proc., AO, pages 199–210. Assoc. Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci., Nancy, 2011.

[Blo12] F. Block. q-floor diagrams computing refined Severi degrees for plane curves. *DMTCS Proceedings*, 0(01), 2012.

[BM] E. Brugallé and G. Mikhalkin. Floor decompositions of tropical curves : the general case. In preparation, a preliminary version is available at http://www.math.jussieu.fr/∼brugalle/articles/FDn/FDGeneral.pdf.

[BM07] E. Brugallé and G. Mikhalkin. Enumeration of curves via floor diagrams. *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences de Paris*, série I, 345(6):329–334, 2007.

[BM08] E. Brugallé and G. Mikhalkin. Floor decompositions of tropical curves : the planar case. *Proceedings of 15th Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference*, pages 64–90, 2008.

[BM13] E. Brugallé and H. Markwig. Deformation of tropical Hirzebruch surfaces and enumerative geometry. arXiv:1303.1340, 2013.

[BP13] E. Brugallé and N. Puignau. Behavior of Welschinger invariants under Morse simplifications. *Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova*, 130:147–153, 2013.

[BP14] E. Brugallé and N. Puignau. On Welschinger invariants of 4-symplectic manifolds. arXiv:1406.5969, 2014.

[Bru] E. Brugallé. Floor diagrams relative to a conic. "Real structures on complex varieties : new results and perspectives" conference, CIRM, June 2010.

[BS] E. Brugallé and J. Solomon. The open Gromov-Witten-Welschinger theory of real rational surfaces. In preparation.

[CH98] L. Caporaso and J. Harris. Counting plane curves of any genus. *Invent. Math.*, 131(2):345–392, 1998.

[CP12] Y. Cooper and R. Pandharipande. A Fock space approach to severi degrees. arXiv:1210.8062, 2012.

[DK00] A. I. Degtyarev and V. M. Kharlamov. Topological properties of real algebraic varieties: Rokhlin's way. *Russian Math. Surveys*, 55(4):735–814, 2000.

[EGH00] Y. Eliashberg, A. Givental, and H. Hofer. Introduction to symplectic field theory. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, (Special Volume, Part II):560–673, 2000. GAFA 2000 (Tel Aviv, 1999).

[FM10] S. Fomin and G. Mikhalkin. Labelled floor diagrams for plane curves. *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, 12:1453–1496, 2010.

[Ful84] W. Fulton. *Introduction to Intersection Theory in Algebraic Geometry*, volume 54 of *BMS Regional Conf. Ser. in Math.* Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1984.

[GP98] L. Göttsche and R. Pandharipande. The quantum cohomology of blow-ups of $\mathbf{P}^2$ and enumerative geometry. *J. Differential Geom.*, 48(1):61–90, 1998.

[GS13] L. Göttsche and V. Shende. The chi-y genera of relative Hilbert schemes for linear systems on Abelian and K3 surfaces. arXiv:1307.4316, 2013.

[GZ13] P. Georgieva and A. Zinger. Enumeration of real curves in $\mathbb{C}P^{2n-1}$ and a WDVV relation for real Gromov-Witten invariants. arXiv:1309.4079, 2013.

[HS12] A. Horev and J. Solomon. The open Gromov-Witten-Welschinger theory of blowups of the projective plane. arXiv:1210.4034, 2012.

[IKS03] I. Itenberg, V. Kharlamov, and E. Shustin. Welschinger invariant and enumeration of real rational curves. *Int. Math. Research Notices*, 49:2639–2653, 2003.

[IKS04] I. Itenberg, V. Kharlamov, and E. Shustin. Logarithmic equivalence of Welschinger and Gromov-Witten invariants. *Uspehi Mat. Nauk*, 59(6):85–110, 2004. (in Russian). English version: Russian Math. Surveys 59 (2004), no. 6, 1093-1116.

[IKS09] I. Itenberg, V. Kharlamov, and E. Shustin. A Caporaso-Harris type formula for Welschinger invariants of real toric Del Pezzo surfaces. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 84:87–126, 2009.

[IKS13a] I. Itenberg, V. Kharlamov, and E. Shustin. Welschinger invariants of real Del Pezzo surfaces of degree $\geq 2$. arXiv:1312.2921, 2013.

[IKS13b] I. Itenberg, V. Kharlamov, and E. Shustin. Welschinger invariants of real del Pezzo surfaces of degree $\geq 3$. *Math. Ann.*, 355(3):849–878, 2013.

[IKS13c] I. Itenberg, V. Kharlamov, and E. Shustin. Welschinger invariants of small non-toric Del Pezzo surfaces. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 15(2):539–594, 2013.

[IKS14] I. Itenberg, V. Kharlamov, and E. Shustin. Welschinger invariants revisited. arXiv:1409.3966, 2014.

[IM13] I. Itenberg and G. Mikhalkin. On Block-Göttsche multiplicities for planar tropical curves. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (23):5289–5320, 2013.

[IP98] E.-N. Ionel and T. H. Parker. Gromov-Witten invariants of symplectic sums. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 5(5):563–576, 1998.

[IP04] E.-N Ionel and T. H. Parker. The symplectic sum formula for Gromov-Witten invariants. *Ann. of Math.*, 159(2):935–1025, 2004.

[Kol97] J. Kollár. Real algebraic surfaces. arxiv:alg-geom/9712003, 1997.

[Kol14] J. Kollár. Examples of vanishing Gromov-Witten-Welschinger invariants. arXiv:1401.2387, 2014.

[Li02] J. Li. A degeneration formula of GW-invariants. *J. Differential Geom.*, 60(2):199–293, 2002.

[Li04] J. Li. Lecture notes on relative GW-invariants. In *Intersection theory and moduli*, ICTP Lect. Notes, XIX, pages 41–96 (electronic). Abdus Salam Int. Cent. Theoret. Phys., Trieste, 2004.

[LO14] F. Liu and B. Osserman. Severi degrees on toric surfaces. arXiv:1401.7023, 2014.

[LR01] A. Li and Y. Ruan. Symplectic surgery and Gromov-Witten invariants of Calabi-Yau 3-folds. *Invent. Math.*, 145(1):151–218, 2001.

[Mik05] G. Mikhalkin. Enumerative tropical algebraic geometry in $\mathbb{R}^2$. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 18(2):313–377, 2005.

[Shu14] E. Shustin. On higher genus welschinger invariants of del pezzo surfaces. *Intern. Math. Res. Notices*, 2014. doi: 10.1093/imrn/rnu148.

[Sol] J. Solomon. A differential equation for the open Gromov-Witten potential. In preparation.

[SS13] M. Shoval and E. Shustin. On Gromov-Witten invariants of Del Pezzo surfaces. *Internat. J. Math.*, 24(7):1350054, 44, 2013.

[Teh13] M. F. Tehrani. Open Gromov-Witten theory on symplectic manifolds and symplectic cutting. *Adv. Math.*, 232:238–270, 2013.

[TZ14] M.F. Tehrani and A. Zinger. On symplectic sum formulas in Gromov-Witten theory. arXiv:1404.1898, 2014.

[Vak00a] R. Vakil. Counting curves on rational surfaces. *Manuscripta math.*, 102:53–84, 2000.

[Vak00b] R. Vakil. The enumerative geometry of rational and elliptic curves in projective space. *J. Reine Angew. Math. (Crelle's Journal)*, 529:101–153, 2000.

[Vak06] R. Vakil. Schubert induction. *Annals of Math.*, 164:489–512, 2006.

[Wel03] J.Y. Welschinger. Invariants of real rational symplectic 4-manifolds and lower bounds in real enumerative geometry. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 336(4):341–344, 2003.

[Wel05a] J. Y. Welschinger. Invariants of real symplectic 4-manifolds and lower bounds in real enumerative geometry. *Invent. Math.*, 162(1):195–234, 2005.

[Wel05b] J. Y. Welschinger. Spinor states of real rational curves in real algebraic convex 3-manifolds and enumerative invariants. *Duke Math. J.*, 127(1):89–121, 2005.

[Wel07] J. Y. Welschinger. Optimalité, congruences et calculs d'invariants des variétés symplectiques réelles de dimension quatre. arXiv:0707.4317, 2007.

École polytechnique, Centre Mathématiques Laurent Schwartz, 91 128 Palaiseau Cedex, France

Université Pierre et Marie Curie, 4 Place Jussieu, 75 005 Paris, France
*E-mail address*: erwan.brugalle@math.cnrs.fr